Characterization and Modeling of Sheared Edge Failure in Advanced High Strength Steel

by **Nikky Pathak**

A thesis presented to the University of Waterloo in fulfilment of the thesis requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Mechanical and Mechatronics Engineering Waterloo, Ontario, Canada, 2018

© Nikky Pathak 2018

Examining Committee Membership

The following served on the Examining Committee for this thesis. The decision of the Examining Committee is by majority vote.

External Examiner: Chester Van Tyne Professor, Colorado School of Mines

Supervisors:

Michael Worswick Professor, University of Waterloo

Cliff Butcher Assistant Professor, University of Waterloo

Internal Member:

Kaan Inal Associate Professor, University of Waterloo

Mary Wells Professor, University of Waterloo

Internal-External Member:

Rob Gracie Associate Professor, University of Waterloo

AUTHOR'S DECLARATION

This thesis consists of material all of which I authored or co-authored: see Statement of Contributions included in the thesis. This is a true copy of the thesis, including any required final revisions, as accepted by my examiners.

I understand that my thesis may be made electronically available to the public.

STATEMENT OF CONTRIBUTIONS

The following co-authors have contributed to the current work:

Professor Michael Worswick and Professor Cliff Butcher supervised this Ph.D. thesis.

Professor Cliff Butcher contributed significantly in implementation of the UMAT

Dr. Erika Bellhouse assisted with preparing metallographic specimen.

Dr. Jeff Gao provided materials and access to the metallographic laboratory.

Dr. Jerome Adrien assisted in performing the tomography experiments and Professor Eric Maire supervised the tomography experiments.

Armin Abedini helped in yield function calibration

The balance of the research is my own work.

ABSTRACT

Edge failure is one of the major problems associated with forming of advanced high strength steels (AHSS) such as dual-phase (DP) steels. The development of ferritic-bainitic steels such as complex-phase (CP) steels have improved the performance of AHSS in industrial forming operations and is gaining attention in academia as well as industry. As a result, there is an interest in developing numerical techniques to predict sheared edge failure in forming simulations and optimize forming operations in the automotive industry for vehicle lightweighing. The primary objective of this thesis is to examine the influence of shearing on edge stretchability and damage evolution in two different grades of AHSS: CP and DP steels and develop damage-based models to predict sheared edge failure.

The stretch-flangeability of DP and CP steels were evaluated using a hole expansion test for different edge conditions to isolate to the influence of a range of factors thought to influence edge formability. The results demonstrate that work hardening and void damage at the sheared edge govern formability while the sheared surface quality plays a minor or secondary role. A comparison of the edge stretching limits of DP and CP steels demonstrates the advantages of a ferritic-bainitic microstructure for forming operations with severe local deformation as in a stretch-flanging operation.

The failure mechanisms in the CP and DP steels were systematically characterized by interrupting hole tension tests at different strain levels. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) analysis conducted on interrupted hole tension specimens revealed the ductile failure mechanism as being operative in the CP and DP steels for the different edge conditions and microstructures. Damage histories were developed from the interrupted samples using optical microscopy and quantitative stereology measurement of void nucleation, growth and coalescence, paired with *in situ* digital image correlation (DIC) strain measurements during the mechanical testing. The trend of damage evolution differs for the sheared edge in contrast with the reamed edge because the shearing process alters the microstructure in the shear affected zone (SAZ) by introducing work-hardening and damage behind the sheared edge.

Two independent experimental techniques were applied to characterize the residual strain distribution within the shear-affected zone for CP800 and DP780 steels based on (a) the tendency of grains to orient in the direction of shearing and (b) work-hardening introduced within the

deformed shear zone. The first technique was developed by applying finite strain theory to calculate the equivalent strain from microstructural measurements of grain rotation. The second strain measurement technique also involved using the same interrupted shear tests and DIC strains followed by microhardness measurements to develop a correlation between the equivalent strain and hardness. These techniques were applied to estimate the strain-distribution behind the sheared edge generated during the shearing process.

The influence of stress-state on micro-void nucleation was evaluated experimentally for the CP and DP steels and a stress-state dependent nucleation model was developed. Stress state was varied by considering four specimen geometries: the equi-biaxial Nakazima test, a plane strain v-bend test, a central hole tension test for uniaxial loading and a simple shear test. 3D micro-tomography and quantitative stereology measurement of void nucleation paired with *in situ* digital image correlation (DIC) strain measurement was conducted on the interrupted samples to quantify damage as a function of equivalent strain. The influence of stress-state on damage evolution was observed for both materials with very little void nucleation under shear deformation but extensive void damage under biaxial tension. Of particular interest, Lode parameter-dependency of void nucleation strain surface as a function of stress-triaxiality and Lode parameter using a modified form of Chu and Needleman nucleation criterion.

The critical damage parameters controlling the ductile failure process were identified from the void histories determined using 3D tomography to develop a micromechanics-based fracture model. An uncoupled anisotropic damage-based fracture model was formulated within an LS-DYNA user-defined material subroutine. The pre-strain and damage introduced during the shearing process were mapped onto finite element models of edge formability. The proposed model was validated for the hole tension experiments and found to predict failure efficiently and accurately for the CP800 and DP780 alloys with a reamed or sheared edge conditions.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisor Prof. Michael Worswick, who apart from being a great researcher and mentor, is also one of the most considerate persons I have come across. I would like to thank him for his strong support, patience, guidance throughout and also for providing me freedom to conduct my research.

I would also like to thank my co-supervisor Prof. Cliff Butcher, without whom this research could not have been accomplished. His experience and knowledge of fracture characterization and micromechanics-based models were fundamental in shaping this work. I would like to express my gratitude for his encouragement and constant availability for technical discussions.

I would like to thank Prof. Eric Maire from INSA, Lyon for providing me the opportunity to conduct tomography experiments and his guidance. Support from this research from ArcelorMittal Dofasco and AUTO21 is gratefully acknowledged, especially Erika Bellhouse, Rex Holden and Sam Pao for willingness to help in any way possible.

The experimental work in the lab would not be possible without the assistance from the MME staff and I am grateful to Eckhard Budziarek, Andy Barber, Jeff Wemp and Tom Gawel for their support in the lab and laughter outside. I would like to thank Rick Forgett, Karl Janzen and Charlie Boyle from Engineering Machine shop for coming up with innovative ideas to machine my unconventional tomography samples. I want to thank Laurie Wilfong for her administrative support and Jose Imbert and Alexander Bardelcik for helping me throughout my academic life in Waterloo.

I would like to express my heartfelt thanks to grad friends through all these years: Kaab and Sam for movie nights, Armin and Luke for valuable discussions, Ekta for amazing food, Taamjeed for introducing high-rate shear and Sante for entertainment. Shout-outs to Amir, Cale, Cameron, Jacqueline, Pedram, Rohit, Ryan, Srihari and Yonathan. I would also like to thank the staff at Tim Horton's for making the many thousands of cups of coffee that kept me going in past 5 years.

I will always remember endless laughter with Meenu, Deepak, Jalaj and Shitikanth during UNO nights. I had a great time with my apartment-mate Meenu and her interesting philosophical outlook and cooking made staying with her even more enjoyable. I would like to thank Jalaj and

Shitikanth for introducing me to Squash and Deepak for his political entertainment. I would always relish party nights at Westcourt place with my friends: Sandeep, Shivam, Deepak, Shitikanth, Pampa, Shilpa. A great thanks to KD and Nithya for making my stay at Waterloo wonderful, a detailed poker lesson and developing my taste-buds.

I can't thank my parents enough who sacrificed so much to give my sister (Neha) and I a better life. My parents have been a pillar of strength and it is their untiring support and encouragement that gave me the determination and will to pursue my endeavors. I would like to thank Neha *didi* and Rohit *jiju* for their constant support, love, care and pampering. Special thanks to Vihaan, the newest addition in family, for his giggles and laughter. I am so grateful to my new family: parent-in law, Sonam *didi*, Raja *bhaiy*a and Saina for their unending support. Lastly, my husband, Nitish, for putting up with the seemingly never-ending thesis and related crankiness. I am fortunate to have him and his unyielding love, support and patience.

To my family

Table of Contents

Examining Committee Membershipii
AUTHOR'S DECLARATION
STATEMENT OF CONTRIBUTIONS iv
ABSTRACTv
Acknowledgementsvii
Dedication ix
List of Figures xii
List of Tablesxv
1. Introduction
2. Literature Review
2.1. Sheared process and characteristics of the sheared edge
2.2. Sheared Edge Stretching-limit
2.3. Fracture mechanism in AHSS
2.3.1. Void nucleation
2.3.2. Void growth and coalescence
2.4. Numerical Modeling of Ductile Failure
2.4.1. Modeling of void nucleation
2.4.2. Modeling void growth
2.4.3. Modeling void coalescence
2.4.4. Alternative approaches to modelling ductile failure
2.5. Finite element simulation of the hole expansion test
2.6. Current state of knowledge in the sheared edge stretching
3. Objective
4. Summary of the Research Results
4.1. Assessment of the Critical Parameters Influencing the Edge Stretchability of Advanced High Strength Steel Sheet
4.1.1. Influence of the Punch Geometry
4.1.2. Influence of the Edge Condition
4.2. Damage Evolution in Complex-Phase and Dual-Phase Steels during Edge Stretching 19
4.2.1. Damage Development Resulting from Shearing and Hole Tensile Deformation 20
4.2.2. Quantitative Examination of Damage Progression

4 S	.3. Exp heared Ec	erimental Techniques for Residual Shear Strain Measurement with Applications to lge Stretching of Advanced High Strength Steel						
4.3.1. Test		Correlating Shear Angle and Hardness Measurement with Shear Strain using Shea 25						
	4.3.2.	Characterization of the Sheared Edge						
4 A	.4. Exp	erimental Stress State-Dependent Void Nucleation Behaviour for Two 800 MPa High Strength Steels						
	4.4.1.	Damage Evolution under Proportional Loading						
	4.4.2. V	bid Nucleation Model						
4 H	.5. Mic Iigh Stren	ro-Mechanics based Fracture Model to Predict Edge Failure in 800 MPa Advanced gth Steels						
	4.5.1.	Damage Evolution during the Edge Stretching						
	4.5.2.	Constitutive Model						
5.	Discussi	on						
6.	Conclus	ions						
7.	Future V	Vork						
Ref	erences							
AP	PENDIX	A: Part 1 50						
AP	PENDIX	B: Part 2						
AP	PENDIX	C: Part 3						
AP	PENDIX	D: Part 4						
AP	PENDIX	E: Part 5						

List of Figures

Figure 1: A sectioned DP780 sample that shows geometrical features of a mechanically pierced
edge, consisting of SAZ and four edge zones as indicated in the figure
Figure 2: Ductile damage evolution, (a) Initial state; (b) void nucleation; (c) void growth; (d)
void coalescence (Chen, 2004)
Figure 3: Damage initiation mechanism at the vicinity of ferrite-martensite interface includes (a)
micro-void initiation within the ferrite phase followed by (b) void growth and decohesion, (c)
decohesion along the interface (d) up to the final fracture where some of the ferrite phase remains
attached to the martensite island (Ghadbeigi et al., 2013)
Figure 4: Modes of void coalescence. (a) Necking of intervoid ligament or coalescence in a
layer. (b),(c) Coalescence in a micro-shear band. (d)"Necklace" coalescence or coalescence in
columns. Major loading axis is vertical in all. Loading is axisymmetric in (a)–(c) and plane strain
in (d) (Benzerga, 2000)7
Figure 5: 3-D representation of the population of cavities inside the deforming sample in its
initial state (a) and (b) just before fracture (Landron et al., 2011, 2012, 2013)7
Figure 6: Modified Mohr-Column fracture locus for plane stress conditions, plotted on the plane
of equivalent strain to fracture versus stress-triaxiality (Bai and Weirzbicki, 2008) 11
Figure 7: Finite element model of the hole expansion test showing predicted contours of
equivalent stress (Butcher et al., 2013)
Figure 8: HER values for the reamed and sheared edges expanded by the flat and conical
punches for the materials (a) CP590 and (b) DP600
Figure 9: Hole expansion ratios of the CP and DP steels with the sheared-polished and sheared
edge conditions (the error bars shows the 95% confidence intervals)
Figure 10: Hole expansion ratios of the CP steels with the sheared-polished and sheared edge
conditions (the error bars shows the 95% confidence intervals)
Figure 11: Specimen geometry used for hole tension tests
Figure 12: Histories of nominal stress versus equivalent strain at the reamed and sheared hole
edge for the CP800 specimens interrupted at different strains
Figure 13: SEM micrographs of the interrupted DP780 reamed edge hole tension specimens at
different strain levels: (a) 0.16, (b) 0.24, (c) 0.31 and (d) 0.45

Figure 14: SEM micrographs of the interrupted CP800 reamed edge hole tension specimens at different strain levels: (a) 0.45, (b) 0.55, (c) 0.63, (d) 0.74 and (e) 0.92 22 Figure 15: Contour plot of the equivalent strain and in-plane optical image of the CP800 reamed edge divided into six different strain levels along corresponding optical image. Equivalent strain Figure 16: Average void density versus equivalent strain for (a) CP800 and (b) DP780. Note that the number of measurements at higher strain drops to unity so that a standard deviation cannot be Figure 17: Simple shear specimen geometry adapted from Piers et al. (Peirs, et al., 2012). The Figure 18: Strain contour plot of (A1) DP780 and (B1) CP800 shear specimen. Micrographs of Figure 19: A comparison of major strain obtained from DIC analysis and grain rotation technique Figure 20: Variation in the equivalent strain with the normalized microhardness for (a) CP800 Figure 21: Sheared edge of CP800 indicating hardness mapping and Nital etch to highlight grain Figure 22: Strain distribution as a function of distance from the sheared edge and top edge for the Figure 23: Major and minor strains paths in the region of maximum deformation subjected to Figure 24: 3D views of damage within the (a) CP800 and (b) DP780 hole tension specimens Figure 25: Average void density versus equivalent strain for (a) CP800 and (b) DP780 steels (symbols). Solid lines are predictions using Lode parameter-dependent strain-based Chu and Needleman nucleation rule using Eq. (8 for the various loading conditions of the (a) CP800 and Figure 26: Representation of nucleation strain (ε_N) surface as a function stress-triaxiality (T) and Lode angle parameter (L) for the (a) CP800 and (b) DP780 steels with plane stress curve and

Figure 27: Average void density versus equivalent strain for (a) CP800 and (b) DP780 reamed
and sheared edges
Figure 28: Load-displacement response during the (a) CP800 and (b) DP780 hole tension tests 36
Figure 29: Initial strain-distribution behind the (a) CP800 and (b) DP780 sheared edge and initial
damage developed during the shearing process behind the (c) CP800 and (d) DP780 sheared
edge
Figure 30: The comparison of measured and predicted void area fraction as a function of
equivalent strain for the (a) CP800 and (b) DP780 edges
equivalent strain for the (a) CP800 and (b) DP780 edges

List of Tables

Table 1: Inverse pow	ver law parameters	(a and b) f	or the CP800) and DP780	steels	
Table 2: Nucleation	parameters for the	different st	ress-states of	f the CP800	and DP780	steels 32

1. Introduction

Shearing of a material prior to forming is very common in the automotive industry and this process alters the material at the edge through severe non-uniform deformation. Consequently, a significant portion of the formability of a material is consumed by the shearing process and can result in a premature failure during a subsequent forming operation. Producing a machined edge in industrial parts will improve edge formability but at the expense of production cost and time. This problem becomes more severe with multi-phase AHSS such as ferritic-martensitic dualphase (DP) steels due to the severe stress-gradients created between the ferrite and martensite phases (Avramovic-Cingara et al., 2009). For steel manufacturers, increasing demand for AHSS in industrial stretch-flanging operations has provided an incentive to manipulate the microstructures of AHSS grades that has led to the development of ferritic-bainitic alloys such as complex-phase (CP) steels (Pathak et al., 2017a). A better understanding of the factors governing failure during sheared edge stretching is necessary to further improve the performance of AHSS steels and predict edge failure in industrial forming operations. Thus, the motivation of the current work is to experimentally characterize the factors controlling the formability of a sheared edge in AHSS and to develop an accurate constitutive model for the simulation of sheared edge stretching operations. Detailed damage characterization measurements, assessing void nucleation, growth and coalescence, were conducted to identify critical parameters controlling fracture in CP and DP steels and the manner in which edge shearing alters the damage processes during edge stretching. A unified framework for a micromechanics-based fracture model is proposed. The main beneficiaries of this work will be those who are interested in the accurate and efficient simulation of sheared edge failure in practical forming simulations of automotive components. The accurate prediction of sheared edge failure is also necessary for those who are seeking to optimize shearing processes.

This thesis has been written in a "manuscript-based" style that includes first this synopsis of the thesis work, followed by several parts documenting each aspect of the research. The synopsis comprises a concise review of the current state of the art in sheared edge stretching, an outline of the objectives of this thesis research and a summary of the research results, followed by discussion, conclusions and future work. The remainder of the thesis consists of five individual manuscripts that detail the work done and results accomplished to fulfill the objectives.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Sheared process and characteristics of the sheared edge

In the shearing process, a punch is used to push the work-piece against the die, which is fixed and separates the sheet metal into two pieces at the edge of the shearing punch and die. The clearance is the gap between the die and punch and is typically expressed as a percentage of the sheet thickness. The shearing process introduces two zones; a shear face (sheared edge) and a zone of deformation behind the shear face known as the shear-affected zone (SAZ) (Levy and Van Tyne, 2012).

A typical sheared edge consists of four zones: (a) rollover zone, (b) burnish zone, (c) fracture zone and (d) shear burr as shown in Figure 1. The roll-over zone is formed in the sheet due to the deformation caused by the initial penetration of the shearing punch into the sheet. The shearing punch penetrates further into the material, the straight and vertical profile of burnish zone is created at the sheared-edge. The crack that develops at the end of the burnish zone propagates through the thickness to create the fracture zone. A shear burr is formed at the intersection of the fracture surface and the surface of the shear burr zone with respect to the punch influences the stretchability of the sheared edge which gives rise to what are referred to as either burr-up or burr-down configurations in hole expansion testing.



Figure 1: A sectioned DP780 sample that shows geometrical features of a mechanically pierced edge, consisting of SAZ and four edge zones as indicated in the figure.

The shear-affected zone (SAZ), shown in Figure 1, is characterized by severe workhardening and damage formed during the shearing process. Since material hardness is an indicator of the level of work hardening (pre-strain), the SAZ profile can be measured using micro-hardness tests. When the hardness at the edge saturates to a baseline value, the end of SAZ is detected (Wu *et al.*, 2012; Konieczny and Henderson, 2007; Davies, 1983). The deformation pattern in the SAZ includes a large shear-induced rotation of the grains that increases with proximity to the sheared edge. Wu *et al.* (2012) sectioned the sheared edge in the through-thickness direction and measured the angle of rotation by highlighting the material flow lines. The flow line tilting angles measured on the sheared edge were then used to compute the strain due to work-hardening in the SAZ using finite-strain theory, as explained by Wu *et al.* (2012).

2.2. Sheared Edge Stretching-limit

Hole expansion tests are commonly used to evaluate the formability of a material in stretch flanging operations. The test consists of expanding a hole with a punch until a through-thickness crack appears. The hole expansion ratio (HER) is the formability metric and is expressed as the ratio of the change in diameter to the original hole diameter as defined by the following equation:

$$HER(\%) = 100 \left(\frac{d_f - d_o}{d_o} \right) \tag{1}$$

where d_o is the initial hole diameter and d_f is the inside hole diameter at fracture. The ISO 16630:2009 (ISO-16630, 2009) standard for the hole expansion test recommends using a conical punch with a 60° included angle to expand the hole. The dependency of the HER on various process parameters such as punch geometry, clearance of the shear dies and edge condition have been well documented in the literature. Konieczny and Henderson (2007) considered different punch geometries: flat, conical and hemispherical and the largest final hole diameter was achieved using a conical punch while the minimum resulted from using a cylindrical punch. An alternate test to predict failure for the sheared edge stretching is a tensile specimen with a hole processed at the center (Wang *et al.*, 2012). Unlike the hole expansion test, there is no influence of burr-orientation or punch geometry on the edge stretching response during the hole tension test. However, the most significant influence on the HER is exerted by the edge condition. The formability of edges created using milling, punching and laser-cutting have been evaluated using the hole expansion test by Lee *et al.* (2007) and Konieczny and Henderson (2007). The performance of the milled (reamed) edge was found to be superior among all the edge conditions

and a considerable loss in formability was seen with the sheared edge (Lee *et al.*, 2007). Since imposing tensile stress on a sheared edge is very common in industrial forming operations, the assessment of factors that reduces (or improves) formability of the sheared edge is very important.

The features of the sheared edge and SAZ are mainly considered to be responsible for reducing the formability of the sheared edge and have been the main focus in the literature. Keeler (1971) and Smith (1990) showed that the HER decreases with increasing burr-height. Levy and Van Tyne (2012), and Davies (1983) studied the influence of the SAZ on formability by removing the SAZ from the punched edge and observed a considerable increase in the HER of a processed punched edge. Butcher *et al.* (2014) used normalizing heat treatments on punched edges to remove strain-hardening prior to the hole expansion test and no significant difference was observed between the HER of the normalized punched edge and reamed edge. Recently, Pathak *et al.* (2016) conducted comprehensive hole expansion testing and reported that the work-hardening within the SAZ is the primary cause of the reduced formability of the sheared edges.

2.3. Fracture mechanism in AHSS

The fracture of ductile materials generally occurs through a process that involves nucleation, growth and coalescence of microscopic voids and these mechanisms are illustrated in Figure 2. A ductile material is typically comprised of second phase particles and/or inclusions in a matrix, in some cases with pre-existing microscopic voids. During plastic deformation, additional cavities are formed (void nucleation) and grow until they coalescence with neighboring voids to create micro-cracks. These cracks propagate and coalescence with other cracks and this induced damage causes rapid softening in the material leading to a localized neck and reduction in load bearing capacity, ultimately causing fracture. The damage is often defined as the volume fraction of voids in the aggregate material. The damage accumulation in AHSS steels was found to be different than in conventional and mild steels due to their complex multiphase microstructure (Erdogan, 2002; Avramovic-Cingara et al., 2009). To enhance the performance of AHSS, a thorough understanding of the fracture mechanism is required.



Figure 2: Ductile damage evolution, (a) Initial state; (b) void nucleation; (c) void growth; (d) void coalescence (Chen, 2004)

2.3.1. Void nucleation

In general, voids nucleate in ductile materials either by decohesion/debonding of the matrix from an inclusion or hard particle, or when an inclusion or particle cracks. Void nucleation in DP steels generally occurs through two mechanisms: decohesion of the ferrite-martensite interface (shown in Figure 3) or fracture of martensite islands (Steinbrunner *et al..*, 1988; Erdogan, 2002; Avramovic-Cingara *et al.*, 2009). Ahmad *et al.* (2000) reported that at low-to-intermediate volume fractions of martensite, void formation was due to ferrite-martensite decohesion; and at higher volume fraction of martensite (above 32%) void nucleation occurs due to martensite cracking. Additionally, Kadkhodapour *et al.* (2011a) observed the initiation of voids in DP steel by the failure of ferrite grain boundaries and in the investigation by Erdogan (2002), voids were formed in the vicinity of inclusions. Hence, the nucleation mechanism is different for each grade of DP steel. Apart from the effect of microstructure, the influence of stress-state on void nucleation has also been inferred experimentally (Hancock and Mackenzie, 1976; Landron *et al.*, 2010). Landron *et al.* (2010) quantified the nucleation of voids for two different DP600 specimens: tensile and higher triaxiality notch specimens and reported the influence of stress-state on the void nucleation



Figure 3: Damage initiation mechanism at the vicinity of ferrite–martensite interface includes (a) micro-void initiation within the ferrite phase followed by (b) void growth and decohesion, (c) decohesion along the interface (d) up to the final fracture where some of the ferrite phase remains attached to the martensite island (Ghadbeigi et al., 2013)

2.3.2. Void growth and coalescence

Three main failure mechanisms are reported in ductile metals: a void coalescence process by internal necking in the plane perpendicular to the applied load, a void-sheet mechanism by a micro-void shear localization process and "necklace" coalescence of voids in the direction of applied load as shown in Figure 4. The internal necking is more prominent under high stress-triaxiality loading whereas the void-sheet mechanism is associated with low triaxiality conditions as in shear loading. For AHSS, Kim and Thomas (1981) suggested that plastic deformation begins in the ferrite while the martensite is still elastic. The local deformation/stress concentration in the ferrite matrix leads to fracture of the ferrite matrix through cleavage or void nucleation and coalescence depending on the microstructure (Steinbrunner *et al.*, 1988). Microvoids initiate at the second phase particles or inclusions and, during deformation, grows and eventually the ligament between the micro-voids fractures. Voids grow and coalescence preferably along the plane normal to the applied load and were observed in the localized necking region of the tensile specimen (Avramovic-Cingara *et al.*, 2009). The results reported by Shih *et al.* (2009) suggest that voids grow in the ferrite phase of DP steel. Kahziz *et al.* (2013) assessed

damage evolution of a DP600 sheared edge using laminography. However, the available studies on AHSS grades have mostly focused on failure under proportional tensile loading.



Figure 4: Modes of void coalescence. (a) Necking of intervoid ligament or coalescence in a layer. (b),(c) Coalescence in a microshear band. (d) "Necklace" coalescence or coalescence in columns. Major loading axis is vertical in all. Loading is axisymmetric in (a)–(c) and plane strain in (d) (Benzerga, 2000)

Recently, x-ray tomography techniques have been used to observe void nucleation, growth and coalescence in DP steel by the 3-D reconstruction of 2-D images (Landron *et al.*, 2011, 2012, 2013). Figure 5 represents the bulk 3-D structure of a DP600 tensile specimen before testing and just before fracture. Microstructural parameters such as the number of nucleated voids, diameter and orientation of voids can be plotted as a function of strain. These relationships can later be used for developing and validating damage-based constitutive models.



Figure 5: 3-D representation of the population of cavities inside the deforming sample in its initial state (a) and (b) just before fracture (Landron et al., 2011, 2012, 2013)

2.4. Numerical Modeling of Ductile Failure

As discussed in the previous section, the typical ductile failure process consists of void nucleation, followed by the growth of voids due to plastic straining and final coalescence of voids. The following sections will focus on modeling the three stages of ductile fracture, followed by the challenges in modeling the shear fracture mechanism.

2.4.1. Modeling of void nucleation

The conditions for the nucleation of voids from inclusions or second-phase particles have been developed based on continuum and dislocation theory (Brown and Stobbs, 1971; Argon *et al.*, 1975; Goods and Brown, 1979; Fisher and Gurland, 1981). Gurson (1977) expressed his nucleation rule in terms of equivalent plastic strain, based on Gurland's (1972) experimental results for nucleation in spheroidal steel. Chu and Needleman (1980) suggested that the stress or strain required to nucleate voids follows a normal distribution and the strain-nucleation model can be expressed as

$$df_{nucleation} = \frac{f_{\rm n}}{s_{\rm N}\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\rm p} - \varepsilon_{\rm N}}{s_{\rm N}}\right)^2\right] d\varepsilon^{\rm p}$$
(2)

where $d\varepsilon^p$ is the equivalent plastic strain increment, f_n is the fraction of void nucleating particles and s_N is the standard deviation of the nucleation strain. This strain based nucleation rule has been widely used in the literature since it can be easily implemented in finite element models. Fowler *et al.* (2000) and Butcher *et al.* (2006, 2009) used the stress-based Chu and Needleman nucleation rule and suggested that the advantage of stress-based nucleation rule is that it is loadpath independent, unlike the strain-based nucleation rule. However, the main limitation of Chu and Needleman strain-based nucleation model is that the influence of stress-state on void nucleation is not considered.

2.4.2. Modeling void growth

The growth of a void within an infinite matrix was the earliest focus of study using analytical approaches (McClintock, 1968; Rice and Tracey, 1969). McClintock (1968) analyzed a cylindrical void in an infinite matrix and investigated void growth as a function of the remote macroscopic strain. Rice and Tracey (1969) derived the void growth rule for spherical voids as a

function of stress triaxiality. The stress triaxiality is a representation of the severity of hydrostatic loading and is defined as the ratio of the hydrostatic stress to the effective stress. Gurson (1977) derived a pressure-dependent yield function by assuming the material to have a periodic distribution of voids with each void contained in a spherical or cylindrical unit cell. Later, Tvergaard (1981, 1982) introduced calibration parameters (q_1 , q_2 and q_3) to the Gurson yield surface, resulting the commonly referred to GTN model as described in equation 3

$$\Phi = \left(\frac{\sigma_{\text{eq}}}{\sigma_{\text{M}}}\right)^2 + 2fq_1 \cosh\left(q_2 \frac{3}{2} \frac{\sigma_{\text{hyd}}}{\sigma_{\text{M}}}\right) - q_3 f^2 - 1 = 0$$
(3)

where σ_M is the equivalent tensile flow stress and σ_{eq} and σ_{hyd} are the equivalent von Mises and hydrostatic stress, respectively. There are some limitations with the Gurson model and a significant effort has been made by various research groups (Mear and Hutchinson, 1985; Leblond et al., 1995; Benzerga and Besson, 2001; Gologanu et al., 1993, 2012; Madou and Leblond, 2012) to overcome them. Gologanu et al. (1993) extended the Gurson model by considering the void shape effect and anisotropic behavior was introduced by Benzerga and Besson (2001). The role of void clusters on void growth was investigated numerically by Thomson et al. (1999) who suggested that severe stress- and strain-gradients were responsible for the increased void growth and coalescence rates. To provide a void growth rule as a function of void shape and stress states, Ragab (2004) summarized analytical and numerical simulation results on a unit cell comprising voids of different shapes and under different stress conditions. The effect of third stress invariant was not considered in the early constitutive models for ductile porous materials but has gained more focus recently to account for low stress-triaxialities. Nahshon and Hutchinson (2008) and Xue (2007) extended the Gurson (1977) model to incorporate the third stress invariant by introducing an extra damage term that allows for failure prediction even at zero hydrostatic tension.

2.4.3. Modeling void coalescence

A criterion for void coalescence was introduced by Tvergaard and Needleman (1984) based on the assumption of a critical void volume fraction. However, this coalescence parameter was found to be dependent on a variety of parameters, such as initial void volume fraction, stress state and material hardening rate (Koplik and Needleman, 1988; Steglich and Brocks, 1998). The physical mechanism of void coalescence in tensile stress states was theorized by considering a competition between a stable, homogeneous deformation mode and an unstable, localized deformation mode within the void ligaments resulting in necking failure (Thomason, 1985a, 1985b, 1990). This model of coalescence by internal necking has received widespread acceptance (Pardoen and Hutchinson, 2000; Benzerga, 2002; Scheyvaerts *et al.* 2010; Benzerga and Leblond 2014).

2.4.4. Alternative approaches to modelling ductile failure

Phenomenological models for prediction of ductile failure, such as those due to McClintock (1968), Rice and Tracey (1969), Brozzo *et al.* (1972), are based on a material-dependent parameter which represents the accumulation of damage as a function of strain. These models are also based on stress triaxiality and which is very important in controlling strain to failure. More recently, a modified Mohr Coulomb (MMC) model was proposed by Bai and Weirzbicki (2008) to create a fracture locus with dependence on stress triaxiality and Lode parameter which covers low stress triaxialities using the butterfly test, as shown in Figure 6. The incremental damage at a material point can be expressed as:

$$dD = \frac{n}{\varepsilon_f(\eta,\xi)} D^{\frac{n-1}{n}} d\varepsilon_p \tag{4}$$

where dD is the incremental damage, $d\varepsilon_p$ is the incremental plastic strain, *n* is an exponent used to introduce non-linearity, *D* is the current damage and $\varepsilon_f(\eta, \xi)$ is the plastic strain at failure defined by fracture locus. Recently, Benzerga (2012) demonstrated that an infinite number of fracture *loci* exist in terms of failure strain as a function of average stress triaxiality and Lode parameter can be constructed for non-proportional loadings. The path-dependent phenomenological models are therefore not capable to predict failure under non-proportional loading such as sheared edge stretching. In contrast, micromechanics-based models are capable to capture material failure under non-proportional loading through prediction of void nucleation, growth and coalescence mechanism since failure is predicted as a consequence of the evolution of microstructure (void damage).



Figure 6: Modified Mohr-Column fracture locus for plane stress conditions, plotted on the plane of equivalent strain to fracture versus stress-triaxiality (Bai and Weirzbicki, 2008)

2.5. Finite element simulation of the hole expansion test

Extensive work has been done to predict the onset of fracture and crack initiation during edge stretching simulations (Takuda *et al.*, 1999 Worswick and Finn, 2000; Uthaisangsuk *et al.*, 2009b; Hashimoto *et al.*, 2010; Kim *et al.*, 2010; Xu *et al.*, 2010; Xu *et al.*, 2012; Choi *et al.*, 2014; Paul *et al.*, 2014). Efforts have been made to develop anisotropic model for the hole expansion test in order to capture localized necking preceding fracture accurately (Hashimoto *et al.*, 2010; Xu *et al.*, 2010; Xu *et al.*, 2010; Xu *et al.*, 2010; Zu12). Various researchers have correlated the failure mechanism of the tensile test with the hole expansion test (Adamczyk and Michal, 1986). A fracture-based failure criterion was developed by Paul *et al.* (2014), using true fracture strain from the tensile test and the formation of a through-thickness crack as the limit criterion for the hole expansion test.

Most of the numerical models of edge stretching have focused on the edge failure of reamed/milled holes and limited work has been done considering simulation of actual sheared edges. A ductile fracture criterion based on the equivalent strain in notch tensile tests was developed by Lee *et al.* (2007) to simulate hole expansion of punched and reamed edges. The experiments were performed on the notched sample with reamed and punched cut-outs to predict the failure strain of the respective edges. However, recent work (Bai and Wierzbicki, 2008) has

shown that failure strains are stress state-dependent and that applying fracture strains obtained from one stress condition to the other is not an accurate technique. An axisymmetric model of hole expansion test was developed by Butcher *et al.* (2013) (shown in Figure 7) and the effect of shearing/blanking was accounted by the value of initial porosity and strain hardening. Efforts have been made to model the multi-stage simulation process by various researchers using different damage models (Goijaerts *et al.*, 2001; Rachik *et al.*, 2002; Chen *et al.*, 2002; Hu *et al.*, 2014; Wang *et al.* 2016) but little work has been done so far to transfer experimentally measured pre-strains formed during the shearing process to the simulation of the hole expansion test.



Figure 7: Finite element model of the hole expansion test showing predicted contours of equivalent stress (Butcher et al., 2013)

2.6. Current state of knowledge in the sheared edge stretching

The quality of the sheared edge is dependent on the parameters of the shearing process and is reflected in the surface roughness at the sheared edge, the size of the shear-burr, and extent of pre-straining and damage in the SAZ. These characteristics result as a consequence of deformation during shearing and the damage mechanisms occurring in a material and therefore the performance of a sheared edge in stretch-flanging operations is strongly related to the microstructure of material. In AHSS, particularly DP steel, the level of work-hardening and damage in the SAZ is increased due to the severe stress-gradient between the ferrite and martensite grains. Recent results available in the literature have shown that ferritic-bainitic alloys could be promising alternatives to dual phase steels in industrial stretch-flanging operations since they offer a lower local stress-gradient and therefore a better HER than a ferritic-martensitic DP steel (Murata et. al., 2010; Konieczny and Henderson, 2007). However, there is a lack of published research addressing the characterization of the microstructural response and

mechanical performance of ferritic-bainitic alloys in stretch-flanging operations. To establish ferritic-bainitic alloy such as CP steel in industrial stretch-flanging operations, there is a need to characterize the mechanical properties, edge-stretchability and damage mechanisms of this alloy.

Although there has been a lot of work done on the numerical simulation of the stretchflanging operations, the main emphasis in the available literature has been to predict crack formation at the machined edge by using suitable yield and damage criteria. In industry, holes are mostly sheared prior to stretching and sheared edge stretching is a non-proportional loading that likely requires a microstructure- and stress state-dependent fracture model to predict failure. The published work on the simulation of the hole expansion test for sheared edges has used fracture strain criteria with only limited consideration of the stress-state and for an accurate prediction of the edge stretching limit, an alternate method is required. Researchers have focused on modeling sequential shearing and stretching simulation to predict sheared edge failure. However, there are some challenges associated with modeling the shearing process such as mesh sensitivity and dependence of the predictions upon the assumed fracture model and calibration parameters. Additionally, high computational cost limits the application of finite element models to practical forming simulations of components with a complex geometry. More importantly, the predicted strain from shearing simulation needs to be validated. For a material designer seeking to optimize the microstructure and thermal processing/chemistry, further insight into the mechanism controlling the fracture process is necessary. A micromechanics-based ductile fracture model can be promising for modeling the sheared edge stretching, but will require quantification and characterization of strain hardening and damage at the sheared edge.

3. Objective

The overall aim of this research is to develop a damage-based fracture model to predict the sheared edge stretchability of AHSS. To this end, studies of the sheared edge stretchability of two AHSS with differing microstructures have been undertaken: (i) a production-trial CP steel with a predominantly ferritic-baintitic microstructure; and, (ii) a commercial DP steel with a ferritic-martensitic microstructure.

Within this overall aim, five primary objectives were addressed:

- 1. Evaluate the stretch-flangeability of CP and DP steels and investigate the factors that control sheared edge stretchability in these alloys;
- 2. Characterize the operative damage mechanisms and investigate the role of microstructure in influencing the fracture of DP and CP steels;
- Develop experimental techniques to quantify the strain-distribution introduced during the shearing process;
- 4. Develop a stress state-dependent damage nucleation model; and,
- 5. Develop a micromechanics-based damage model to predict sheared edge failure.

Work done in support of these objectives is summarized in the following sections of this synopsis.

4. Summary of the Research Results

Five tasks were undertaken that were aligned with the research objectives stated in Section 3 of this thesis. This section, presents a summary of the research results from each task and is presented in five parts:

Part 1	Assessment of the Critical Parameters Influencing the Edge Stretchability
	of Advanced High Strength Steel Sheet
Part 2	Damage Evolution in Complex-Phase and Dual-Phase Steels during Edge
	Stretching
Part 3	Experimental Techniques for Residual Shear Strain Measurement with
	Applications to Sheared Edge Stretching of Advanced High Strength Steel
Part 4	Experimental Stress State-Dependent Void Nucleation Behaviour for Two
	800 MPa Advanced High Strength Steels
Part 5	Micro-mechanics Based Fracture Model to Predict Edge Failure in 800
	MPa Advanced High Strength Steels

Each part is documented in a peer reviewed accepted or submitted journal publication, all five of which are appended to this thesis. This synopsis presents a summary of these publications along with an overall discussion of the results, followed by conclusions and recommendations stemming from this research.

4.1. Assessment of the Critical Parameters Influencing the Edge Stretchability of Advanced High Strength Steel Sheet

The edge formability of a range of AHSS, namely DP600, DP780, CP590 and CP800, is considered (detailed description of the alloys is provided in Appendix A). Both hole expansion and hole extrusion conditions were considered by expanding 10 mm holes using a standard conical punch, as recommended by ISO standards, and a custom-made flat punch. To isolate the influence of work-hardening and surface roughness introduced behind the sheared edge, three edge conditions were considered: drilled and reamed, sheared, and sheared and polished. The punched holes were sheared using a 12% clearance in accordance with the JFS-1001 (1996) standard. The hole expansion testing was conducted at ArcelorMittal Dofasco facility in Hamilton, Ontario and the test was terminated when a through-thickness crack was observed.

4.1.1. Influence of the Punch Geometry

Figure 8 shows a comparison between hole expansion ratios obtained using the flat and conical punches for the CP590 and DP600 steels. A higher hole expansion ratio was reported with the conical punch than the flat punch for all of the materials and edge conditions considered in this work. Using the flat punch, both alloys show only mild sensitivity to the edge condition with a difference of 10-14% between the HER for the reamed and sheared edges. In contrast, the conical punch is able to clearly differentiate between the edge conditions with formability reductions of 40-60% between the hole expansion ratios for the reamed and sheared edges. Similar trends was observed for the other materials considered in this work, as further detailed in Appendix A.



Figure 8: HER values for the reamed and sheared edges expanded by the flat and conical punches for the materials (a) CP590 and (b) DP600.

4.1.2. Influence of the Edge Condition

Figure 9 shows the HER for the reamed and punched-polished edge conditions for the CP and DP steels. The considerable difference in the edge formability of the two edge conditions for all of the materials is observed and attributed to the presence of pre-strain (work-hardening) and nucleated voids in the SAZ that leads to premature failure in the sheared edge. This observation suggests that the presence of the SAZ plays a prominent role in influencing formability of the sheared edge.



Figure 9: Hole expansion ratios of the CP and DP steels with the sheared-polished and sheared edge conditions (the error bars shows the 95% confidence intervals)

The influence of the surface roughness is studied by comparing the HER for the punched and punched-polished edges. As shown in Figure 10, the confidence intervals of the HER for the two edge types overlap and suggests that there is no significant difference between the stretchability of the two edges. This result implies that the surface roughness at the sheared edge has minor or secondary influence on the HER.



Figure 10: Hole expansion ratios of the CP steels with the sheared-polished and sheared edge conditions (the error bars shows the 95% confidence intervals)

4.2. Damage Evolution in Complex-Phase and Dual-Phase Steels during Edge Stretching

Traditionally, hole expansion tests are used to determine edge failure that consist of expanding a hole with a conical punch until failure. The hole expansion test poses challenges in relating the damage mechanism to the microstructure due to punch geometry, tooling contact, friction, burr orientation and lack of ability to account for anisotropy. In the present work, to evaluate the edge stretchability of CP and DP steels, a hole tension test was performed on a tensile specimen with a hole processed at the center of specimen, as shown in Figure 11. The damage mechanism and accumulation in the CP and DP steels were systematically characterized during the edge stretching by interrupting the hole tension test at different strain levels as shown, for example, in Figure 12. The interrupted samples were observed under the scanning electron microscope (SEM) to observe the damage mechanism in the CP and DP steels is presented to identify the microstructural parameters governing void nucleation and coalescence and a digital image correlation system was used to determine the strain level. Appendix B provides further detail concerning the methodology for this part of the research.



Figure 11: Specimen geometry used for hole tension tests



Figure 12: Histories of nominal stress versus equivalent strain at the reamed and sheared hole edge for the CP800 specimens interrupted at different strains.

4.2.1. Damage Development Resulting from Shearing and Hole Tensile Deformation

SEM investigations were performed on the DP780 and CP800 hole tension specimens at the edge of the hole, as indicated in Figure 12. The DP780 results are shown in Figure 13 and reveal four kinds of nucleation mechanism: (1) fracture of martensite particles, (2) decohesion of ferrite-martensite interfaces, (3) ferrite grain failure and (4) particle-related cavity formation. The DP780 samples did exhibit void nucleation at TiN particles, as shown in Figure 13 (A1), however, these voids do not appear to play a significant role in overall damage development due to the considerably lower fraction of TiN particles compared with the amount of martensite. Micrographs B1 and B2 show that voids mainly nucleate due to martensite cracking or debonding of the martensite-ferrite interface during deformation. Void nucleation by decohesion of ferrite-martensite interfaces (C1) becomes the more dominant mechanism. Micrograph C2 indicates the fourth category of void nucleation by failure of ferrite grains along with the crack initiation at the hole edge. The propagation of the crack eventually occurs by linking of voids and results in the fracture of the DP780 reamed edge, as shown in micrographs D1 and D2. An irregular crack-path is associated with void nucleation, growth and coalescence and indicates ductile failure in the DP780 reamed edge.



Figure 13: SEM micrographs of the interrupted DP780 reamed edge hole tension specimens at different strain levels: (a) 0.16, (b) 0.24, (c) 0.31 and (d) 0.45

Figure 14 shows a series of SEM micrographs taken from deformed CP800 specimens. The EDS spectrums acquired on the four cavities (a, b, c and d) in micrographs A1, A2, B1 and B2 reveal that titanium-nitride particles were the main nucleation sites in the CP800 steel. The micrographs (C1 and C2) acquired at a strain of 0.63 demonstrate two different void formation mechanisms associated with particles in the CP800 steel. Cavity formation at inclusions occurs either due to the de-bonding of the TiN intermetallic particles from the matrix, as shown in magnified image C1, or fracture of the TiN particles as observed in image C2. The edge of the fractured CP800 reamed sample is shown in micrograph E. The formation of primary voids associated with inclusions in addition to secondary voids nucleated at bainite or martensite

interfaces is shown in Figure 14 (D). Near failure, the rate of formation of secondary voids rapidly increases as shown in micrograph E1. A typical void impingement coalescence is shown in magnified image E2.



Figure 14: SEM micrographs of the interrupted CP800 reamed edge hole tension specimens at different strain levels: (a) 0.45, (b) 0.55, (c) 0.63, (d) 0.74 and (e) 0.92

The nucleation mechanism for a particular alloy did not change with edge condition; the effect of shearing was to locally harden the edge that increased the rate of nucleation. The role of void evolution in the premature failure of the sheared edge is reflected in the crack propagation mechanism. During deformation of the reamed edge, crack initiation and propagation occur over a small range of strain near the fracture strain. In contrast, cracks initiate at the sheared edge during the early stages of deformation (Appendix B).

4.2.2. Quantitative Examination of Damage Progression

The interrupted samples were mounted and polished to mirror-surface to reveal the void distribution under the microscope. The images were acquired at 50X magnification and assembled in mosaic image, from which the damage accumulation was measured. The measured damage was combined with strains measured using digital image correlation (DIC) techniques acquired during testing. The equivalent strain contours obtained from the DIC analysis for the interrupted samples of CP800 with the reamed holes are shown in Figure 15 along with the corresponding optical mosaic image. The strain plot and optical image were divided into six square area of interest (AOI) regions of approximately 1 mm along the edge, as indicated in Figure 15. The equivalent strain, ε and number of voids, V, for each AOI are indicated in Figure 15b. In this manner, six data measurements (of damage versus strain level) were acquired from each specimen to quantify damage evolution in the DP780 and CP800 steels.



Figure 15: Contour plot of the equivalent strain and in-plane optical image of the CP800 reamed edge divided into six different strain levels along corresponding optical image. Equivalent strain and number of voids (V) within each AOI are indicated.

The damage history during edge stretching as a function of equivalent strain is shown in Figure 16 for the two steels. The number of voids per unit volume reported behind the sheared edge is higher than the reamed edge for both the materials at a given strain. The shearing process alters microstructure by introducing work-hardening and initial damage behind the sheared edge which in turn increases the rate of nucleation. In the multi-phase CP800 and DP780 microstructures, this phenomenon is more prominent due to the strength-differential generated between the phases and therefore the failure strain for the CP800 and DP780 sheared edges are lower compared to the failure strain of the respective reamed edges. The void measurements such as void area fraction, void diameter, and void aspect ratio were conducted behind the reamed and sheared edges to quantify damage evolution and are discussed further in Appendix B.



Figure 16: Average void density versus equivalent strain for (a) CP800 and (b) DP780. Note that the number of measurements at higher strain drops to unity so that a standard deviation cannot be calculated (no scatter bands).

4.3. Experimental Techniques for Residual Shear Strain Measurement with Applications to Sheared Edge Stretching of Advanced High Strength Steel

The experimental work discussed in section 4.1 has shown that the formability of the sheared edge is mainly controlled by the SAZ which is formed as a result of severe pre-straining. To define the SAZ in a finite element model, quantitative characterization of the level of pre-straining is needed. The development of experimental techniques to quantify the strain distribution within sheared complex-phase and dual-phase steels of a similar strength level of 800 MPa is presented in this section and Appendix C.

4.3.1. Correlating Shear Angle and Hardness Measurement with Shear Strain using Shear Test

Two independent experimental techniques were proposed to characterize the residual strain distribution within the shear-affected zone based on (i) the grain rotation along the shear direction and (ii) the work hardening introduced during shear deformation, using microhardness measurements. Interrupted shear testing was conducted using the simple shear specimen geometry developed by Piers *et al.* (2012), shown in Figure 17. A stereo digital image correlation (DIC) system was used to record the full-field strain during the experiment. The adopted DIC parameters are described in Appendix C.



Figure 17: Simple shear specimen geometry adapted from Piers et al. (Peirs, et al., 2012). The shaded area corresponds to the clamped location during the test.

The specimens were polished to a diamond grit size of 0.25 microns and etched with 2% Nital to reveal grain boundaries under an optical microscope. An angle of rotation is calculated by assuming grains were initially aligned parallel to the rolling direction and any change in the orientation of grains is defined as a shear angle, α , as indicated in Figure 18. The metallographic

specimens were then re-polished to remove the etched surface so that microhardness measurements could be taken at the same location within the shear zone.



Figure 18: Strain contour plot of (A1) DP780 and (B1) CP800 shear specimen. Micrographs of the respective interrupted shear specimen indicating shear angle (A2) and (B2)

For simple shear conditions, the following equation can be used to determine equivalent strain using shear angle measurements:

$$\varepsilon_{eq} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \sinh \varepsilon_1, \tag{6}$$

where $\varepsilon_1 = \sinh^{-1}(tanh^{-1}(\alpha))$ is the major strain. The work-conjugate equivalent strain derived from the measured shear angles is compared with the DIC strain in Figure 19. The DIC strains were acquired at the locations where shear angles were extracted and the two strains are found to be in good agreement for both the materials and hence validates the shear angle technique.



Figure 19: A comparison of major strain obtained from DIC analysis and grain rotation technique for the (A) DP780 and (B) CP800 steels using a gauge length of 0.2 mm

To establish a relationship between microhardness and equivalent strain, an inverse power law relation is used as follows:

$$\varepsilon_{eq} = \frac{1}{a} \left[\left(\frac{HV}{HV^0} \right)^{\frac{1}{b}} - 1 \right]$$
(7)

in which HV is the average hardness-value and HV^0 is the hardness-value of the base material. Figure 20 shows the equivalent strain from the DIC measurements and the normalized hardness, HV/HV^0 , for the interrupted tests. The coefficients *a* and *b* are material-specific parameters, obtained for each material using the curve-fitting toolbox within MATLAB, and are indicated in Table 1.

Table 1: Inverse power law parameters (a and b) for the CP800 and DP780 steels

Material	а	b	R^2
CP800	4.9	0.16	0.98
DP780	11.4	0.17	0.99



Figure 20: Variation in the equivalent strain with the normalized microhardness for (a) CP800 and (b) DP780 steel

4.3.2. Characterization of the Sheared Edge

The sheared edges were sectioned, mounted, polished and etched using 2% Nital to reveal grain rotation. A shear angle, α , was measured across the SAZ by drawing a line of approximate length 20 μ m as indicated in Figure 21. The sheared edge was re-polished and microhardness measurements were then taken on the sheared edge in the form of an interlocking grid covering the SAZ as shown in Figure 21. Similar measurements were conducted for the DP780 sheared edge.



Figure 21: Sheared edge of CP800 indicating hardness mapping and Nital etch to highlight grain rotation. A 12% punch clearance was used to shear a hole of diameter 10 mm

The shear angle measurements were conducted behind the CP800 and DP780 sheared edges (at 12% clearance) by drawing lines along the grain boundaries and converting to equivalent

strain using finite strain formulation expressed in Eq. (6). The strain distribution as a function of distance from the sheared edge and through-thickness distance from the edge determined from the shear angle measurements is shown in Figure 22 for the CP800 and DP780 steels, respectively. The hardness distributions of the CP800 and DP780 steels are converted to strain by inputting respective fitting parameters in the inverse power-law (Eq. (7)) as detailed in Appendix C. In this manner the strain-distribution behind the sheared edge was measured using two independent experimental techniques.



Figure 22: Strain distribution as a function of distance from the sheared edge and top edge for the (a) CP800 transverse, (b) DP780 transverse

4.4. Experimental Stress State-Dependent Void Nucleation Behaviour for Two 800 MPa Advanced High Strength Steels

This section describes the influence of loading conditions on void nucleation and a stressdependent nucleation model is developed based on measured tomography data. The four characterization tests performed in the current study were equi-biaxial Nakazima, v-bend, hole tension and simple shear tests that correspond to strain states ranging from simple shear to biaxial tension, as shown in Figure 23. Each characterization test was interrupted at four different strain levels prior to fracture. The region of the maximum deformation was extracted and 3D micro-tomography was conducted paired with *in situ* digital image correlation (DIC) strain measurements to construct damage histories from the mechanical testing. The damage development is extensive based on the tomographs from interrupted hole tension specimens near the failure strain, as shown in Figure 24. More detailed presentation of the tomographs for both alloys and edge conditions is provided in Appendix D.



Figure 23: Major and minor strains paths in the region of maximum deformation subjected to different loading conditions for the (a) CP800 and (b) DP780 steels



Figure 24: 3D views of damage within the (a) CP800 and (b) DP780 hole tension specimens deformed near failure

4.4.1. Damage Evolution under Proportional Loading

The number of voids nucleated as a function of equivalent strain for the different loading conditions is shown in Figure 25. A continuous increase in the number of nucleated voids was observed for all the stress-states. The maximum nucleation rate occurs under biaxial tension and the minimum cavity formation rate was observed under shear loading for both alloys which suggests an influence of stress-state on void nucleation. This trend is in agreement with the results reported by Landron *et al.* (2012)



Figure 25: Average void density versus equivalent strain for (a) CP800 and (b) DP780 steels (symbols). Solid lines are predictions using Lode parameter-dependent strain-based Chu and Needleman nucleation rule using Eq. (8 for the various loading conditions of the (a) CP800 and (b) DP780 steels.

4.4.2. Void Nucleation Model

To capture the influence of loading condition on the void nucleation, a modification to the Chu and Needleman nucleation criterion is proposed and expressed in the following equation:

$$\dot{N} = \frac{N_n}{s_N \sqrt{2\pi}} exp \left[\frac{-1}{2} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_p - \varepsilon_N(T,L)}{s_N} \right)^2 \right] \dot{\varepsilon}_p \tag{8a}$$

$$c_{v} = \frac{\varepsilon_{N}}{s_{N}} \tag{8b}$$

where \dot{N} is the void nucleation rate, N_n is the maximum number of voids per unit volume available to nucleation voids, ε_N and s_N are the mean and standard deviation of the nucleation strain, c_v is the coefficient of variation of nucleation strain and ε_p is the Von Mises equivalent plastic strain. The nucleation strain, ε_N , was determined individually for each loading condition as a function of stress-triaxiality as well as Lode parameter and listed in Table 2. The N_n and coefficient of variation are assumed to be material-dependent and hence considered same for all the loading conditions.

Table 2: Nucleation parameters for the different stress-states of the CP800 and DP780 steels

Matarial	N _n	Cv	Biax	xial Tension		Plane Strain		Uniaxial Tension			Shear			
Material	$(per mm^3)$		\mathcal{E}_N	T	L	\mathcal{E}_N	T	L	\mathcal{E}_N	Т	L	\mathcal{E}_N	T	L
CP800	397942	0.24	1.10	0.66	0.97	1.34	0.55	-0.01	1.58	0.30	-0.92	2.20	0.00	0.02
DP780	62000	0.22	0.55	0.66	0.98	0.60	0.55	0.01	0.70	0.55	-0.98	1.20	0.00	0.01

In order to predict the nucleation rate for a broad range of stress-states, a nucleation strain "surface" is introduced in which nucleation strain is cast as a function of stress-triaxiality (T) and Lode parameter (L). The nucleation surface was developed as an adaptation of the Bai and Wierzbicki (2008) fracture locus (Eq, (9)), but here used to predict nucleation strain as a function of stress state and expressed as:

$$\varepsilon_{N} = \begin{cases} \frac{C_{1}}{C_{2}} \Big[C_{3} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2 - \sqrt{3}} (1 - C_{3}) \left(\sec\left(\frac{\pi L}{6}\right) - 1 \right) \Big] \\ \times \Big[\sqrt{\frac{1 + C_{4}^{2}}{3}} \cos\left(\frac{\pi L}{6}\right) + C_{4} \left(T + \frac{1}{3} \sin\left(\frac{\pi L}{6}\right)\right) \Big] \end{cases}^{\frac{-1}{C_{5}}} \tag{9}$$

where C_{1-5} are material parameters (given in Appendix D). Figure 26 shows the nucleation surface for the CP800 and DP780 steels that covers a broad range of stress-states. The nucleation

rate for a given proportional loading condition can be predicted by inputting the nucleation strain, corresponding to the loading condition, in Chu and Needleman's nucleation criterion along with the respective material parameters, N_n and c_v .



Figure 26: Representation of nucleation strain (ε_N) surface as a function stress-triaxiality (T) and Lode angle parameter (L) for the (a) CP800 and (b) DP780 steels with plane stress curve and experimental data points indicated in black line and square marker respectively.

4.5. Micro-Mechanics based Fracture Model to Predict Edge Failure in 800 MPa Advanced High Strength Steels

3D tomography was conducted on CP800 and DP780 hole tension specimens with both reamed and sheared holes to capture the influence of edge condition on damage evolution. The resulting trends for void nucleation, growth and coalescence were used to calibrate a physically-motivated damage model which was applied in two stages to simulate the shearing process followed by the subsequent hole tension experiment. The shearing process model utilized the measured shear strain distributions at the hole edge, presented in Section 4.3. The damage constitutive model was integrated for the pre-strains around the sheared edge, thereby establishing an "initial sheared edge condition" that was used to initialize a finite element model of the hole tension simulation without initializing the sheared edge condition. This modeling approach was validated by comparing the predicted strain distributions and damage with the corresponding experimental measurements from hole tension samples for the different edge conditions.

4.5.1. Damage Evolution during the Edge Stretching

The damage history during the sheared edge stretching as a function of equivalent strain is shown in Figure 27 for the two steels. This data was extracted from tomography measurement described in Appendix E. The number of voids per unit volume reported behind the sheared edge is higher than for the reamed edge for both the materials at a given strain. The shearing process alters microstructure by introducing work-hardening and initial damage behind the sheared edge which in turn increases the rate of nucleation. In the multi-phase CP800 and DP780 microstructures, this phenomenon is more prominent due to the strength-differential generated between the phases. Thus, the failure strains for the CP800 and DP780 sheared edges are lower compared to the failure strain of the respective reamed edges.



Figure 27: Average void density versus equivalent strain for (a) CP800 and (b) DP780 reamed and sheared edges

4.5.2. Constitutive Model

This section presents an uncoupled anisotropic micromechanics-based fracture model which is implemented in LS-Dyna to predict ductile failure during the edge stretching of the CP800 and DP780 steels.

The Barlat *et al.* (1991) Yld91 anisotropic yield function is employed to capture sheet anisotropy of the CP800 and DP780 steels and expressed as:

$$\sigma = \left(0.5(|S_1 - S_2|^m + |S_2 - S_3|^m + |S_3 - S_1|^m)\right)^{1/m}$$
(10)

where m = 8 is chosen based on the BCC crystallographic structure. S_1 , S_2 and S_3 are eigenvalues of the symmetric transformed stress tensor **S**, specified in Appendix E.

Measurements of void nucleation, growth and coalescence determined using 3D tomography were used to implement the modified Chu and Needleman nucleation model discussed in Section 4.4 criterion for nucleation, the Ragab (2004) model for void growth and the Benzerga and Leblond (2014) model for void coalescence to develop a damage-based model.

To map the measured strain-distribution presented in Section 4.3 to FE model, a FORTRAN subroutine was developed and implemented as a use material subroutine within the LS-Dyna finite element program. Further details concerning the material model are given in Appendix E.

4.5.3. Finite Element Modeling

The proposed model was validated against the CP800 and DP780 hole tension tests for both edge conditions. The load-displacement response acquired from numerical simulation is presented in Figure 28 and is in good agreement with the experimental data.



Figure 28: Load-displacement response during the (a) CP800 and (b) DP780 hole tension tests

To account for the altered properties of the sheared edge, the strain-distribution and damage is prescribed as an initial condition in the FE model, as shown in Figure 29.



Figure 29: Initial strain-distribution behind the (a) CP800 and (b) DP780 sheared edge and initial damage developed during the shearing process behind the (c) CP800 and (d) DP780 sheared edge

To assess the damage accumulation predicted using the numerical model, the evolution of porosity as a function of equivalent strain is extracted from the simulation and compared with the experimental values in Figure 30. The predicted and measured damage accumulation during the hole tension experiments agree reasonable well, except for the DP780 sheared edge for which the predicted damage exceeds the measured history. A similar observation was made for the evolution of void spacing ratio (used in the coalescence model) for all conditions as discussed in Appendix E.



Figure 30: The comparison of measured and predicted void area fraction as a function of equivalent strain for the (a) CP800 and (b) DP780 edges

Figure 31 shows the comparison of the predicted and measured failure strain reported for the reamed and sheared hole tension tests. In general, the agreement is quite good, providing support for the proposed model.



Figure 31: The predicted and measured failure strain for the CP800 and DP780 hole tension specimens

5. Discussion

The research presented in this thesis has characterized the critical parameters controlling sheared edge formability and led to development of a damage-based model to predict sheared edge failure in the CP and DP steels. The edge stretchability experiments conducted in this work have quantified the lower edge formability of the sheared holes and the improved edge formability of the ferritic-bainite CP microstructure relative to the ferritic-martensitic DP alloy. Details experiments were performed to characterize the sheared edge and operative damage mechanisms. A novel approach to seed the edge formability model was developed based on measured shear strain distributions The numerical approach proposed in this work can be applied to finite element simulations of component-level models to account for sheared edge cracking.

The extensive hole expansion testing campaign using three edge conditions (reamed, sheared, sheared-polished holes) revealed that the SAZ controls the formability of the sheared edge while the surface roughness has secondary or minor role in influencing sheared edge formability, at least for the shear conditions considered in the current work. This observation is important from a modeling point-of-view and suggests that the surface roughness could be neglected while performing the numerical simulation of the sheared edge. Since deformation behind the sheared edge is through-thickness which cannot be measured using DIC techniques, alternative experimental techniques were developed to characterize the strain-distribution within the SAZ introduced during the sheared edge. These techniques are able to determine the local strain distribution within the SAZ, providing key input data for subsequent prediction of sheared edge stretching limits.

One of the significant contributions of the work was development of novel hybrid experimental-numerical approach to account for the altered properties of the sheared edge. Other numerical approaches to predict sheared edge failure are available in the literature (Chen *et al.*, 2002; Hu *et al.*, 2014; Wang *et al.* 2016), including simulation of sequential shearing followed by stretching, a more computationally complex and expensive approach due to challenges associated with modeling the shearing process i.e. mesh sensitivity, choice of fracture criterion and introduction of element deletion techniques. More importantly, the strain-distribution implemented behind the sheared edge in the current finite element model is measured

experimentally and therefore represents authenticated strain measurements as opposed to a multistage simulation where the strain derived from shearing simulation is not validated.

The current research has provided important insights into the void nucleation process. Of particular interest is the identification of Lode parameter-dependence of the nucleation strain in addition to the already established dependency of nucleation rate on stress-triaxiality. The Lode parameter dependency is evident in Figure 25 in which the damage nucleation rate in the plane strain sample is closer to that seen in the lower triaxiality ($\eta = 1/3$) uniaxial sample, despite the relatively high triaxiality of the plane strain sample ($\eta = 0.577$).

The damage model, which combines published void growth and coalescence models in addition to the new nucleation model, is amenable to implementation within finite element codes and has application beyond the current sheared edge stretching experiments provided the material exhibits a ductile failure mechanism. Implementation of the current model to materials not examined in this work would require determination of critical microstructural parameters such as initial void diameter, aspect ratio, void spacing and damage nucleation of the material considered.

From material perspective it was shown that the ferritic-bainitic steels provide higher edge stretchability compared to ferritic-martensitic steel of similar strength. The optical microscopy and SEM analysis conducted in this work have provided insight regardling the influence of microstructure on the edge stretchability. The primary void nucleating particles in DP780 are martensite which is present in significantly higher composition compared to the TiN particles in CP800 which are the main source of void nucleation in CP800. Moreover, the higher strength-differential between the martensite-bainite phases within the DP alloy leads to greater ease of void nucleation compared to the CP alloy (Levy and Van Tyne, 2012). These differences result in a remarkably higher rate of void nucleation in the DP780 that causes failure at a lower strain and consequently results in the lower edge stretchability of the DP780 compared to the CP800 alloy.

6. Conclusions

The following conclusions are drawn from this research:

- Work hardening and the existence of micro-voids introduced during the shearing process decreases the HER values for the sheared holes as compared to reamed holes. The edge formability is mainly controlled by the shear-affected zone while the surface roughness plays a secondary or minor role in influencing the HER for the conditions considered in this study.
- The microstructure of DP780 consists of a higher fraction of martensite that has a larger strength differential with the ferrite matrix, resulting in a lower nucleation strain and accelerated void nucleation in comparison to the ferritic-bainitic CP800 steel that has a lower strength differential between phases. In contrast with the reamed edge, the rate of damage accumulation is higher behind the sheared edge due to the presence of pre-straining behind the sheared edge that promotes nucleation, growth and coalescence of voids.
- Two shear strain measurement techniques were developed based on the (a) grain rotation and (b) hardness-value and validated with the strains obtained from in plane DIC measurements. The proposed techniques were applied to determine the strain-distribution behind the CP800 and DP780, sheared edges. The advantage of the proposed technique is that strains can be determined for through-thickness deformation which otherwise cannot be captured using DIC.
- A stress-state dependent nucleation model was developed that incorporates a nucleation strain surface as a function of stress-triaxiality and Lode angle parameter into Chu and Needleman's nucleation criterion. The proposed model captures the Lode parameter-dependency observed in the tomography measurements and provides a tractable approach to predict void nucleation in finite element calculations.

• The micromechanics-based constitutive model proposed in the work reasonably predicts damage development and onset of failure for the sheared holes and reamed holes during edge stretching of the CP800 and DP780 steels.

7. Future Work

The following future work is proposed as next steps to support the implementation of proposed fracture model:

- The fracture model developed to predict sheared edge failure has been primarily validated for the edges sheared at 12% clearance. Future work should consider modeling edges sheared at different clearances to fully validate the proposed model.
- Implement the framework developed to predict sheared edge failure (both SAZ integrator and fracture model) to the simulation of component-level forming tests, in order to verify the applicability of such models at a component level.
- A constitutive framework for a damage-based fracture model was used to predict failure under two loading conditions: proportional uniaxial tensile loading and sheared edge stretching. Validation of the model for other critical loading paths, such as biaxial and plane-strain conditions, would enhance applicability of the proposed model to predict failure during general forming operations.
- The measured through-thickness strain distribution behind the sheared edge should also be implemented in the finite element models to capture the influence of burr-orientation on the edge stretching-limit.

References

Adamczyk, R. and Michal, G. (1986). Sheared edge extension of high-strength cold-rolled steels. *Journal of Applied Metalworking*, 4(2), pp.157--163.

Ahmad, E., Manzoor, T., Ali, K. and Akhter, J. (2000). Effect of microvoid formation on the tensile properties of dual-phase steel. *Journal of materials engineering and performance*, 9(3), pp.306--310.

Avramovic-Cingara, G., Ososkov, Y., Jain, M. and Wilkinson, D. (2009). Effect of martensite distribution on damage behaviour in DP600 dual phase steels. *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, 516(1), pp.7--16.

Bai, Y. and Wierzbicki, T. (2008). A new model of metal plasticity and fracture with pressure and Lode dependence. *International Journal of Plasticity*, 24(6), pp.1071--1096.

Barlat, F., Lege, D. & Brem, J., 1991. A six-component yield function for anisotropic materials. *International journal of plasticity*, 7(7), pp. 693-712.

Benzerga, A. A., 2000. Rupture ductile des t[°]oles anisotropes. Ph.D. thesis, Ecole Nationale Sup[°]erieure des Mines de Paris.

Benzerga, A. and Besson, J. (2001). Plastic potentials for anisotropic porous solids. *European Journal of Mechanics-A/Solids*, 20(3), pp.397--434.

Benzerga, A., (2002). Micromechanics of coalescence in ductile fracture. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, 50(6), pp. 1331-1362.

Benzerga, A. & Leblond, J., (2014). Effective Yield Criterion Accounting for Microvoid Coalescence. *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, 81(3), p. 031009.

Benzerga, A., Leblond, J., Needleman, A. & Tvergaard, V., (2016). Ductile failure modeling. *International Journal of Fracture*, 201(1), pp. 29-80.

Bouquerel, J., Verbeken, K. and De Cooman, B. (2006). Microstructure-based model for the static mechanical behaviour of multiphase steels. *Acta materialia*, 54(6), pp.1443--1456.

Brown, L.M., Stobbs, W.M. (1976). Work-hardening of copper-silica-5. Equilibrium plastic relaxation by secondary dislocations. *Philosophical Magazine* **34**, 351-372.

Brozzo, P., Deluca, B., Redina, R. A. (1972). A new method for the prediction of the formability limits of metals sheets. *Proc. 7th Biennial Conf. Int. Deep Drawing Research Group*.

Butcher, C., Anderson, D. and Worswick, M. (2013). Predicting failure during sheared edge stretching using a damage-based model for the shear-affected zone. *SAE International Journal of Materials and Manufacturing 6*, (2013-01-1166)

Chen, Z., Tang, C., Lee, T. and Chan, L. (2002). Numerical simulation of fine-blanking process using a mixed finite element method. *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences*, 44(7), pp.1309--1333.

Chen, Z.T. (2004). The role of heterogeneous particle distribution in the prediction of ductile fracture. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Waterloo, Canada.

Choi, S., Kim, E. and Kim, S. (2014). The micromechanical deformation behaviors of hot-rolled 590FB steel during hole-expansion test. *International Journal of Plasticity*, 58, pp.184--200.

Chu, C. and Needleman, A. (1980). Void nucleation effects in biaxially stretched sheets. *Journal of Engineering Materials and Technology*, 102(3), pp.249--256.

Davies, R. (1983). Edge cracking in high strength steels. *Journal of Applied Metalworking*, 2(4), pp.293--299.

Erdogan, M. (2002). The effect of new ferrite content on the tensile fracture behaviour of dual phase steels. *Journal of Materials Science*, 37(17), pp.3623--3630.

Fowler, J., Worswick, M., Pilkey, A., and Nahme, H. (2000. Damage leading to ductile fracture under high strain-rate conditions," *Metallurgical and materials transactions A*, 31(3) pp. 831-844.

Ghadbeigi, H., Pinna, C. and Celotto, S. (2013). Failure mechanisms in DP600 steel: Initiation, evolution and fracture. *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, 588, pp.420--431.

Goijaerts, A., Govaert, L. and Baaijens, F. (2001). Evaluation of ductile fracture models for different metals in blanking. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 110(3), pp.312--323.

Gologanu, M., Leblond, J. and Devaux, J. (1993). Approximate models for ductile metals containing non-spherical voids—case of axisymmetric prolate ellipsoidal cavities. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, 41(11), pp.1723--1754.

Goods, S., Brown, L. (1979). The nucleation of cavities by plastic deformation, *Acta Metallurgica* **27**, 1-15.

Gurland, J. (1972). Observations on the fracture of cementite particles in a spheroidized 1.05% C steel deformed at room temperature. *Acta Metallurgica*, 20(5), pp. 735-741.

Gurson, A. (1977). Continuum theory of ductile rupture by void nucleation and growth: Part I— Yield criteria and flow rules for porous ductile media. *Journal of engineering materials and technology*, 99(1), pp.2--15.

Hancock, J. W., and Mackenzie A. C. (1976). On the mechanisms of ductile failure in highstrength steels subjected to multi-axial stress-states. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids* 24(2-3) pp-.147-160. Hashimoto, K., Kuwabara, T., Iizuka, E. and Yoon, J. (2010). Hole expansion simulation of high strength steel sheet. *International Journal of Material Forming*, 3(1), pp.259--262.

Hu, X., Choi, K., Sun, X. and Golovashchenko, S. (2014). Edge Fracture Prediction of Traditional and Advanced Trimming Processes for AA6111-T4 Sheets. *Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering*, 136(2), p.021016.

ISO/TS 16630:2009(E), Metallic materials – method of hole expanding test. 2009.

Kahziz, M., Morgeneyer, T., Maziere, M., Maire, E., Bouaziz, O. 3D Synchrotron Laminography Assessmentof Damage Evolution in Blanked Dual Phase Steels. In Proceedings of 13th International Conference on Fracture, Beijing, China, 16 June 2013.

Kadkhodapour, J., Butz, A., Ziaei-Rad, S. and Schmauder, S. (2011). A micro mechanical study on failure initiation of dual phase steels under tension using single crystal plasticity model. *International Journal of Plasticity*, 27(7), pp.1103--1125.

Keeler, S. (n.d.). (1971). *Understanding sheet metal formability*. Part 5 - Die design and lubrication. 1st ed. Sheet Metal Industries, 48, pp.687--691

Kim, J., Lee, M., Kim, D., Matlock, D. and Wagoner, R. (2010). Hole-expansion formability of dual-phase steels using representative volume element approach with boundary-smoothing technique. *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, 527(27), pp.7353--7363.

Kim, N. and Thomas, G. (1981). Effects of morphology on the mechanical behavior of a dual phase Fe/2Si/0.1 C steel. *Metallurgical Transactions A*, 12(3), pp.483--489.

Konieczny, A. and Henderson, T. (2007). On formability limitations in stamping involving sheared edge stretching. *SAE Technical Paper 2007-01-0340*.

Koplik, J. and Needleman, A. (1988). Void growth and coalescence in porous plastic solids. *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, 24(8), pp.835--853.

Landron, C., Bouaziz, O., Maire, E. and Adrien, J. (2013). Experimental investigation of void coalescence in a dual phase steel using X-ray tomography. *Acta Materialia*, 61(18), pp.6821--6829.

Landron, C., Maire, E., Adrien, J., Bouaziz, O., Di Michiel, M., Cloetens, P. and Suhonen, H. (2012). Resolution effect on the study of ductile damage using synchrotron X-ray tomography. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms*, 284, pp.15--18.

Landron, C., Maire, E., Bouaziz, O., Adrien, J., Lecarme, L. and Bareggi, A. (2011). Validation of void growth models using X-ray microtomography characterization of damage in dual phase steels. *Acta Materialia*, 59(20), pp.7564--7573.

Leblond, J., Perrin, G. and Devaux, J. (1995). An improved Gurson-type model for hardenable ductile metals. *European journal of mechanics*. A. Solids, 14(4), pp.499--527.

Lee, J., Ko, Y., Huh, H., Kim, H. and Park, S. (2007). Evaluation of Hole Flangeability of Steel Sheet with respect to the Hole Processing Condition. *Key Engineering Materials*, 340, pp.665--670.

Levy, B. and Van Tyne, C. (2012). Review of the Shearing Process for Sheet Steels and Its Effect on Sheared-Edge Stretching. *Journal of materials engineering and performance*, 21(7), pp.1205--1213.

Madou, K. and Leblond, J. (2012). A Gurson-type criterion for porous ductile solids containing arbitrary ellipsoidal voids—I: Limit-analysis of some representative cell. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, 60(5), pp.1020--1036.

McClintock, F. (1968). A criterion for ductile fracture by the growth of holes. Journal of Applied Mechanics, 35(2), pp.363--371.

Mear, M. and Hutchinson, J. (1985). Influence of yield surface curvature on flow localization in dilatant plasticity. *Mechanics of Materials*, 4(3), pp.395--407.

Murata, M., Kobayashi, J. and Sugimoto, K. (2010). Stretch-flangeability of Ultra High-strength Low Alloy TRIP-aided Sheet Steels with Mixed Structure Matrix of Bainitic Ferrite and Martensite. Journal of the Iron and Steel Institute of Japan, 96(2), pp.84-92.

Pardoen, T. and Hutchinson, J. (2000). An extended model for void growth and coalescence. Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids, 48(12), pp.2467--2512.

Pathak, N., Butcher, C. & Worswick, M. (2016). Assessment of the Critical Parameters Influencing the Edge Stretchability of Advanced High Strength Steel. *Journal of Materials Engineering and Performance*, 25(11), pp. 4919–4932.

Pathak, N., Butcher, C., Worswick, M., Bellhouse E., Gao J., 2017a. Damage Evolution in Complex-Phase and Dual-Phase Steels during Edge Stretching. *Materials*, 10(4):346. doi:10.3390/ma10040346.

Pathak, N., Butcher, C. & Worswick, M., 2017b. Experimental Techniques for Shear Strain Measurement with Applications to Sheared Edge Stretching of Advanced High Strength Steel. *Submitted*

Pathak, N., Adrien, J., Butcher, C., Maire, E., M. Worswick, 2017c. Experimental Stress State-Dependent Void Nucleation Behaviour for Two 800 MPa Advanced High Strength Steels. *Submitted*

Pathak, N., Butcher, C., Adrien, J., Maire, E., M. Worswick, 2017d. Micromechanical Modelling of Edge Failure in 800 MPa Advanced High Strength Steels. *Submitted*

Paul, S., Mukherjee, M., Kundu, S. and Chandra, S. (2014). Prediction of hole expansion ratio for automotive grade steels. Computational Materials Science, 89, pp.189--197.

Rachik, M., Roelandt, J. and Maillard, A. (2002). Some phenomenological and computational aspects of sheet metal blanking simulation. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 128(1), pp.256--265.

Ragab, A. (2004). Application of an extended void growth model with strain hardening and void shape evolution to ductile fracture under axisymmetric tension. *Engineering Fracture Mechanics*, 71(11), pp. 1515-1534.

Rice, J. and Tracey, D. (1969). On the ductile enlargement of voids in triaxial stress fields*. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, 17(3), pp.201--217.

Saghafian, H. and Kheirandish, S. (2007). Correlating microstructural features with wear resistance of dual phase steel. *Materials Letters*, 61(14), pp.3059--3063.

Scheyvaerts, F., Pardoen, T., Onck, P.R. (2010). A new model for void coalescence by internal necking *International Journal of Damage Mechanics* **19**, 95-126

Shih, H., Shi, M., Xia, Z. and Zeng, D. (2009). Experimental study on shear fracture of advanced high strength steels: part II. *ASME 2009 International Manufacturing Science and Engineering Conference*, 1(), doi:10.1115/MSEC2009-84070. pp.513--519.

Smith, D. (1990). *Die design handbook*. 1st ed. Dearborn, Mich.: Society of Manufacturing Engineers.

Steglich, and Brocks, (1998). Micromechanical modeling of damage and fracture of ductile materials. *Fatigue & Fracture of Engineering Materials & Structures*, 21(10), pp.1175-1188.

Steinbrunner, D., Matlock, D. and Krauss, G. (1988). Void formation during tensile testing of dual phase steels. *Metallurgical Transactions A*, 19(3), pp.579--589.

Takuda, H., Mori, K. and Hatta, N. (1999). The application of some criteria for ductile fracture to the prediction of the forming limit of sheet metals. *Journal of materials processing technology*, 95(1), pp.116--121.

Thomason, P. (1985a). Three-dimensional models for the plastic limit-loads at incipient failure of the intervoid matrix in ductile porous solids. Acta Metallurgica, 33(6), pp.1079-1085.

Thomason, P. (1985b). A three-dimensional model for ductile fracture by the growth and coalescence of microvoids. Acta Metallurgica, 33(6), pp.1087-1095.

Thomason, P. (1993). Ductile fracture by the growth and coalescence of microvoids of non-uniform size and spacing. *Acta metallurgica et materialia*, 41(7), pp.2127--2134.

Thomason, P. (1999). Ductile spallation fracture and the mechanics of void growth and coalescence under shock-loading conditions. Acta Materialia, 47(13), pp.3633-3646.

Tvergaard, V. (1981). Influence of voids on shear band instabilities under plane strain conditions. *International Journal of Fracture*, 17(4), pp.389--407.

Tvergaard, V. (1982). On localization in ductile materials containing spherical voids. *International Journal of Fracture*, 18(4), pp.237--252.

Tvergaard, V. & Needleman, A., (1984). A continuum model for void nucleation by inclusion debonding. *Acta metallurgica*, 32(1), pp. 157-169.

Uthaisangsuk, V., Prahl, U. and Bleck, W. (2009b). Stretch-flangeability characterisation of multiphase steel using a microstructure based failure modelling. *Computational Materials Science*, 45(3), pp.617--623.

Wang, K., Meng, L., and Wierzbicki, T. (2014) Experiments And Modeling Of Edge Fracture For An AHSS Sheet, Int J Fract, 187(2), pp.245-268.

Wang, K., Greve, L. & Wierzbicki, T., (2015). FE simulation of edge fracture considering predamage from blanking process. International Journal of Solids and Structures, Volume 71, pp. 206-218.

Worswick, M. and Finn, M. (2000). The numerical simulation of stretch flange forming. International Journal of Plasticity, 16(6), pp.701--720.

Wu, X., Bahmanpour, H. and Schmid, K. (2012). Characterization of mechanically sheared edges of dual phase steels. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 212(6), pp.1209--1224.

Xu, L., Barlat, F. and Lee, M. (2012). Hole expansion of twinning-induced plasticity steel. *Scripta Materialia*, 66(12), pp.1012--1017.

Xu, L., Chen, L., De Cooman, B., Steglich, D. and Barlat, F. (2010). Hole expansion of advanced high strenth steel sheet sample. *International Journal of Material Forming*, 3(1), pp.247--250.

APPENDIX A: Part 1

N. Pathak, C. Butcher, M. Worswick. Assessment of the Critical Parameters Influencing the Edge Stretchability of Advanced High-Strength Steel Sheet. *Journal of Materials Engineering and Performance*, 25 (11), 4919-4932, 2016.

Accessible through the link below as well as the University of Waterloo's Institutional Repository (UW Space)

https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11665-016-2316-9

APPENDIX B: Part 2

N. Pathak, C. Butcher, M. Worswick, E. Bellhouse, J. Gao. Damage Evolution in Complex-Phase and Dual-Phase Steels during Edge Stretching. *Materials*, 10 (4), 346, 2017.

Accessible through the link below as well as the University of Waterloo's Institutional Repository (UW Space)

http://www.mdpi.com/1996-1944/10/4/346/htm

APPENDIX C: Part 3

N. Pathak, C. Butcher, M. Worswick. Experimental Techniques for Finite Shear Strain Measurement within Two Advanced High Strength Steels. *Submitted for possible publication in Experimental Mechanics*.

Accessible through the University of Waterloo's Institutional Repository (UW Space)

APPENDIX D: Part 4

N. Pathak, J. Adrien, C. Butcher, E. Maire, M. Worswick. Experimental Stress State-Dependent Void Nucleation Behaviour for Two 800 MPa Advanced High Strength Steels.

Accessible through the University of Waterloo's Institutional Repository (UW Space)

APPENDIX E: Part 5

N. Pathak, C. Butcher, J. Adrien, E. Maire, M. Worswick. Micromechanical Modelling of Edge Failure in 800 MPa Advanced High Strength Steels.

Accessible through the University of Waterloo's Institutional Repository (UW Space)