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#### Abstract

A graph $G$ is $H$-free if it has no induced subgraph isomorphic to $H$. We prove that a $P_{5}$-free graph with clique number $\omega \geq 3$ has chromatic number at most $\omega^{\log _{2}(\omega)}$. The best previous result was an exponential upper bound $(5 / 27) 3^{\omega}$, due to Esperet, Lemoine, Maffray, and Morel. A polynomial bound would imply that the celebrated Erdős-Hajnal conjecture holds for $P_{5}$, which is the smallest open case. Thus, there is great interest in whether there is a polynomial bound for $P_{5}$-free graphs, and our result is an attempt to approach that.
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## 1 Introduction

If $G, H$ are graphs, we say $G$ is $H$-free if no induced subgraph of $G$ is isomorphic to $H$; and for a graph $G$, we denote the number of vertices, the chromatic number, the size of the largest clique, and the size of the largest stable set by $|G|, \chi(G), \omega(G), \alpha(G)$ respectively.

The $k$-vertex path is denoted by $P_{k}$, and $P_{4}$-free graphs are well-understood; every $P_{4}$-free graph $G$ with more than one vertex is either disconnected or disconnected in the complement [24], which implies that $\chi(G)=\omega(G)$. Here we study how $\chi(G)$ depends on $\omega(G)$ for $P_{5}$-free graphs $G$.

The Gyárfás-Sumner conjecture [10,25] says:

[^0]1.1 Conjecture: For every forest $H$ there is a function $f$ such that $\chi(G) \leq f(\omega(G))$ for every $H$-free graph $G$.

This is open in general, but has been proved [10] when $H$ is a path, and for several other simple types of tree ( $[3,11-14,17,19]$; see [18] for a survey). The result is also known if all induced subdivisions of a tree are excluded [17].

A class of graphs is hereditary if the class is closed under taking induced subgraphs and under isomorphism, and a hereditary class is said to be $\chi$-bounded if there is a function $f$ such that $\chi(G) \leq f(\omega(G))$ for every graph $G$ in the class (thus, the Gyárfás-Sumner conjecture says that, for every forest $H$, the class of $H$-free graphs is $\chi$-bounded). Louis Esperet [8] made the following conjecture:
1.2 (False) Conjecture: Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a $\chi$-bounded class. Then there is a polynomial function $f$ such that $\chi(G) \leq f(\omega(G))$ for every $G \in \mathcal{G}$.

Esperet's conjecture was recently shown to be false by Briański, Davies and Walczak [2]. However, this raises the further question: which $\chi$-bounded classes are polynomially $\chi$-bounded? In particular, the two conjectures 1.1 and 1.2 would together imply the following, which is still open:
1.3 Conjecture: For every forest $H$, there exists $c>0$ such that $\chi(G) \leq \omega(G)^{c}$ for every $H$-free graph $G$.

This is a beautiful conjecture. In most cases where the Gyárfás-Sumner conjecture has been proved, the current bounds are very far from polynomial, and 1.3 has been only been proved for a much smaller collection of forests (see [5, 15, 16, 20-23]). In [22] we proved it for any $P_{5}$-free tree $H$, but it has not been settled for any tree $H$ that contains $P_{5}$. In this paper we focus on the case $H=P_{5}$.

The best previously-known bound on the chromatic number of $P_{5}$-free graphs in terms of their clique number, due to Esperet, Lemoine, Maffray, and Morel [9], was exponential:
1.4 If $G$ is $P_{5}$-free and $\omega(G) \geq 3$ then $\chi(G) \leq(5 / 27) 3^{\omega(G)}$.

Here we make a significant improvement, showing a "near-polynomial" bound:
1.5 If $G$ is $P_{5}$-free and $\omega(G) \geq 3$ then $\chi(G) \leq \omega(G)^{\log _{2}(\omega(G))}$.
(The cycle of length five shows that we need to assume $\omega(G) \geq 3$. Sumner [25] showed that $\chi(G) \leq 3$ when $\omega(G)=2$.) Conjecture 1.3 when $H=P_{5}$ is of great interest, because of a famous conjecture due to Erdős and Hajnal [6, 7], that:
1.6 Conjecture: For every graph $H$ there exists $c>0$ such that $\alpha(G) \omega(G) \geq|G|^{c}$ for every $H$-free graph $G$.

This is open in general, despite a great deal of effort; and in view of [4], the smallest graph $H$ for which 1.6 is undecided is the graph $P_{5}$. Every forest $H$ satisfying 1.3 also satisfies the Erdős-Hajnal conjecture, and so showing that $H=P_{5}$ satisfies 1.3 would be a significant result. (See [1] for some other recent progress on this question.)

We use standard notation throughout. When $X \subseteq V(G), G[X]$ denotes the subgraph induced on $X$. We write $\chi(X)$ for $\chi(G[X])$ when there is no ambiguity.

## 2 The Main Proof

We denote the set of nonnegative real numbers by $\mathbb{R}_{+}$, and the set of nonnegative integers by $\mathbb{Z}_{+}$. Let $f: \mathbb{Z}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be a function. We say

- $f$ is non-decreasing if $f(y) \geq f(x)$ for all integers $x, y \geq 0$ with $y>x \geq 0$;
- $f$ is a binding function for a graph $G$ if it is non-decreasing and $\chi(H) \leq f(\omega(H))$ for every induced subgraph $H$ of $G$; and
- $f$ is a near-binding function for $G$ if $f$ is non-decreasing and $\chi(H) \leq f(\omega(H))$ for every induced subgraph $H$ of $G$ different from $G$.
In this section we show that if a function $f$ satisfies a certain inequality, then it is a binding function for all $P_{5}$-free graphs. Then at the end we will give a function that satisfies the inequality, and deduce 1.5 .

A cutset in a graph $G$ is a set $X$ such that $G \backslash X$ is disconnected. A vertex $v \in V(G)$ is mixed on a set $A \subseteq V(G)$ or a subgraph $A$ of a graph $G$ if $v$ is not in $A$ and has a neighbour and a non-neighbour in $A$. It is complete to $A$ if it is adjacent to every vertex of $A$. We begin with the following:
2.1 Let $G$ be $P_{5}$-free, and let $f$ be a near-binding function for $G$. Let $G$ be connected, and let $X$ be a cutset of $G$. Then

$$
\chi(G \backslash X) \leq f(\omega(G)-1)+\omega(G) f(\lfloor\omega(G) / 2\rfloor) .
$$

Proof We may assume (by replacing $X$ by a subset if necessary) that $X$ is a minimal cutset of $G$; and so $G \backslash X$ has at least two components, and every vertex in $X$ has a neighbour in $V(B)$, for every component $B$ of $G \backslash X$. Let $B$ be one such component; we will prove that $\chi(B) \leq f(\omega(G)-1)+\omega(G) f(\lfloor\omega(G) / 2\rfloor)$, from which the result follows.

Choose $v \in X$ (this is possible since $G$ is connected), and let $N$ be the set of vertices in $B$ adjacent to $v$. Let the components of $B \backslash N$ be $R_{1}, \ldots, R_{k}, S_{1}, \ldots, S_{\ell}$, where $R_{1}, \ldots, R_{k}$ each have chromatic number more than $f(\lfloor\omega(G) / 2\rfloor)$, and $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{\ell}$ each have chromatic number at most $f(\lfloor\omega(G) / 2\rfloor)$. Let $S$ be the union of the graphs $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{\ell}$; thus, $\chi(S) \leq f(\lfloor\omega(G) / 2\rfloor)$. For $1 \leq i \leq k$, let $Y_{i}$ be the set of vertices in $N$ with a neighbour in $V\left(R_{i}\right)$, and let $Y=Y_{1} \cup \cdots \cup Y_{k}$.
(1) For $1 \leq i \leq k$, every vertex in $Y_{i}$ is complete to $R_{i}$.

Let $y \in Y_{i}$. Thus, $y$ has a neighbour in $V\left(R_{i}\right)$; suppose that $y$ is mixed on $R_{i}$. Since $R_{i}$ is connected, there is an edge $a b$ of $R_{i}$ such that $y$ is adjacent to $a$ and not to $b$. Now $v$ has a neighbour in each component of $G \backslash X$, and since there are at least two such components, there is a vertex $u \in V(G) \backslash(X \cup V(B))$ adjacent to $v$. But then $u-v-y-a-b$ is an induced copy of $P_{5}$, a contradiction. This proves (1).
(2) $\chi(Y) \leq(\omega(G)-1) f(\lfloor\omega(G) / 2\rfloor)$.

Let $1 \leq i \leq k$. Since $f(\lfloor\omega(G) / 2\rfloor)<\chi\left(R_{i}\right) \leq f\left(\omega\left(R_{i}\right)\right)$, and $f$ is non-decreasing, it follows that $\omega\left(R_{i}\right)>\omega(G) / 2$. By (1), $\omega\left(G\left[Y_{i}\right]\right)+\omega\left(R_{i}\right) \leq \omega(G)$, and so $\omega\left(G\left[Y_{i}\right]\right)<$ $\omega(G) / 2$. Consequently $\chi\left(Y_{i}\right) \leq f(\lfloor\omega(G) / 2\rfloor)$, for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Choose $I \subseteq\{1, \ldots, k\}$ minimal such that $\bigcup_{i \in I} Y_{i}=Y$. From the minimality of $I$, for each $i \in I$ there exists $y_{i} \in Y_{i}$ such that for each $j \in I \backslash\{i\}$ we have that $y_{i} \notin Y_{j}$; and so the vertices $y_{i}(i \in I)$
are all distinct. For each $i \in I$ choose $r_{i} \in V\left(R_{i}\right)$. For all distinct $i, j \in I$, if $y_{i}, y_{j}$ are nonadjacent, then $r_{i}-y_{i}-v-y_{j}-r_{j}$ is isomorphic to $P_{5}$, a contradiction. Hence the vertices $y_{i}(i \in I)$ are all pairwise adjacent, and adjacent to $v$; and so $|I| \leq \omega(G)-1$. Thus, $\chi(Y)=\chi\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} Y_{i}\right) \leq(\omega(G)-1) f(\lfloor\omega(G) / 2\rfloor)$. This proves (2).

All the vertices in $N \backslash Y$ are adjacent to $v$, and so $\omega(G[N \backslash Y]) \leq \omega(G)-1$. Moreover, for $1 \leq i \leq k$, each vertex of $R_{i}$ is adjacent to each vertex in $Y_{i}$, and $Y_{i} \neq \emptyset$ since $B$ is connected, and so $\omega\left(R_{i}\right) \leq \omega(G)-1$. Since there are no edges between any two of the graphs $G[N \backslash Y], R_{1}, \ldots, R_{k}$, their union ( $Z$ say) has clique number at most $\omega(G)-1$ and so has chromatic number at most $f(\omega(G)-1)$. But $V(B)$ is the union of $Y, V(S)$ and $V(Z)$; and so

$$
\chi(B) \leq f(\omega(G)-1)+(\omega(G)-1) f(\lfloor\omega(G) / 2\rfloor)+f(\lfloor\omega(G) / 2\rfloor) .
$$

This proves 2.1.
2.2 Let $\Omega \geq 1$, and let $f: \mathbb{Z}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be non-decreasing, satisfying the following:

- $f$ is a binding function for every $P_{5}$-free graph $H$ with $\omega(H) \leq \Omega$; and
- $f(w-1)+(w+2) f(\lfloor w / 2\rfloor) \leq f(w)$ for each integer $w>\Omega$.

Then $f$ is a binding function for every $P_{5}$-free graph $G$.
Proof We prove by induction on $|G|$ that if $G$ is $P_{5}$-free then $f$ is a binding function for $G$. Thus, we may assume that $G$ is $P_{5}$-free and $f$ is near-binding for $G$. If $G$ is not connected, or $\omega(G) \leq \Omega$, it follows that $f$ is binding for $G$, so we assume that $G$ is connected and $\omega(G)>\Omega$. Let us write $w=\omega(G)$ and $m=\lfloor w / 2\rfloor$. If $\chi(G) \leq f(w)$ then $f$ is a binding function for $G$, so we assume, for a contradiction, that:
(1) $\chi(G)>f(w-1)+(w+2) f(m)$.

We deduce that:
(2) Every cutset $X$ of $G$ satisfies $\chi(X)>2 f(m)$.

If some cutset $X$ satisfies $\chi(X) \leq 2 f(m)$, then since $\chi(G \backslash X) \leq f(w-1)+w f(m)$ by 2.1 , it follows that $\chi(G) \leq f(w-1)+(w+2) f(m)$, contrary to (1). This proves (2).
(3) If $P, Q$ are cliques of $G$, both of cardinality at least $w / 2$, then $G[P \cup Q]$ is connected.
Suppose not; then there is a minimal subset $X \subseteq V(G) \backslash(P \cup Q)$ such that $P, Q$ are subsets of different components ( $A, B$ say) of $G \backslash X$. From the minimality of $X$, every vertex $x \in X$ has a neighbour in $V(A)$ and a neighbour in $V(B)$. If $x$ is mixed on $A$ and mixed on $B$, then since $A$ is connected, there is an edge $a_{1} a_{2}$ of $A$ such that $x$ is adjacent to $a_{1}$ and not to $a_{2}$; and similarly there is an edge $b_{1} b_{2}$ of $B$ with $x$ adjacent to $b_{1}$ and not to $b_{2}$. But then $a_{2}-a_{1}-x-b_{1}-b_{2}$ is an induced copy of $P_{5}$, a contradiction; so every $x \in X$ is complete to at least one of $A, B$. The set of vertices in $X$ complete to $A$ is also complete to $P$, and hence has clique number at most $m$, and hence has chromatic number at most $f(m)$; and the same for $B$. Thus, $\chi(X) \leq 2 f(m)$, contrary to (2). This proves (3).

If $v \in V(G)$, we denote its set of neighbours by $N(v)$, or $N_{G}(v)$. Let $a \in V(G)$, and let $B$ be a component of $G \backslash(N(a) \cup\{a\})$; we will show that $\chi(B) \leq(w-m+2) f(m)$.

A subset $Y$ of $V(B)$ is a joint of $B$ if there is a component $C$ of $B \backslash Y$ such that $\chi(C)>f(m)$ and $Y$ is complete to $C$. If $\emptyset$ is not a joint of $B$ then $\chi(B)<f(m)$ and the claim holds, so we may assume that $\emptyset$ is a joint of $B$; let $Y$ be a joint of $B$ chosen with $Y$ maximal, and let $C$ be a component of $B \backslash Y$ such that $\chi(C)>f(m)$ and $Y$ is complete to $C$.
(4) If $v \in N(a)$ has a neighbour in $V(C)$, then $\chi(V(C) \backslash N(v)) \leq f(m)$.

Let $N_{C}(v)$ be the set of neighbours of $v$ in $V(C)$, and $M=V(C) \backslash N_{C}(v)$; and suppose that $\chi(M)>f(m)$. Let $C^{\prime}$ be a component of $G[M]$ with $\chi\left(C^{\prime}\right)>f(m)$, and let $Z$ be the set of vertices in $N_{C}(v)$ that have a neighbour in $V\left(C^{\prime}\right)$. Thus, $Z \neq \emptyset$, since $N_{C}(v), V\left(C^{\prime}\right) \neq \emptyset$ and $C$ is connected. If some $z \in Z$ is mixed on $C^{\prime}$, let $p_{1} p_{2}$ be an edge of $C^{\prime}$ such that $z$ is adjacent to $p_{1}$ and not to $p_{2}$; then $a-v-z-p_{1}-p_{2}$ is an induced copy of $P_{5}$, a contradiction. So every vertex in $Z$ is complete to $V\left(C^{\prime}\right)$; but also every vertex in $Y$ is complete to $V(C)$ and hence to $V\left(C^{\prime}\right)$, and so $Y \cup Z$ is a joint of $B$, contrary to the maximality of $Y$. This proves (4).
(5) $\chi(Y) \leq f(m)$ and $\chi(C) \leq(w-m+1) f(m)$.

Let $X$ be the set of vertices in $N(a)$ that have a neighbour in $V(C)$. Since $C$ is a component of $B \backslash Y$ and hence a component of $G \backslash(X \cup Y)$, and $a$ belongs to a different component of $G \backslash(X \cup Y)$, it follows that $X \cup Y$ is a cutset of $G$. By (2), $\chi(X \cup Y)>2 f(m)$. Since $\omega(C) \geq m+1$ (because $\chi(C)>f(m)$, and $f$ is near-binding for $G$ ) and every vertex in $Y$ is complete to $V(C)$, it follows that $\omega(G[Y]) \leq w-m-1 \leq m$, and so has chromatic number at most $f(m)$ as claimed; and so $\chi(X)>f(m)$. Consequently there is a clique $P \subseteq X$ with cardinality $w-m$. The subgraph induced on the set of vertices of $C$ complete to $P$ has clique number at most $m$, and so has chromatic number at most $f(m)$; and for each $v \in P$, the set of vertices of $C$ nonadjacent to $v$ has chromatic number at most $f(m)$ by (4). Thus, $\chi(C) \leq(|P|+1) f(m)=(w-m+1) f(m)$. This proves $(5)$.
(6) $\chi(B) \leq(w-m+2) f(m)$.

By (3), every clique contained in $V(B) \backslash(V(C) \cup Y)$ has cardinality less than $w / 2$ (because it is anticomplete to the largest clique of $C$ ) and so

$$
\chi(B \backslash(V(C) \cup Y)) \leq f(m) ;
$$

and hence $\chi(B \backslash Y) \leq(w-m+1) f(m)$ by (5), since there are no edges between $C$ and $V(B) \backslash(V(C) \cup Y)$. But $\chi(Y) \leq f(m)$ by (5), and so $\chi(B) \leq(w-m+2) f(m)$. This proves (6).

By (6), $G \backslash N(a)$ has chromatic number at most $(w-m+2) f(m)$. But $G[N(a)]$ has clique number at most $w-1$ and so chromatic number at most $f(w-1)$; and so $\chi(G) \leq f(w-1)+(w-m+2) f(m)$, contrary to (1). This proves 2.2.

Now we deduce 1.5, which we restate:
2.3 If $G$ is $P_{5}$-free and $\omega(G) \geq 3$ then $\chi(G) \leq \omega(G)^{\log _{2}(\omega(G))}$.

Proof Define $f(0)=0, f(1)=1, f(2)=3$, and $f(x)=x^{\log _{2}(x)}$ for every real number $x \geq 3$. Let $G$ be $P_{5}$-free. If $\omega(G) \leq 2$ then $\chi(G) \leq 3=f(2)$, by a result of Sumner [25]; if $\omega(G)=3$ then $\chi(G) \leq 5 \leq f(3)$, by an application of the result 1.4 of Esperet, Lemoine, Maffray, and Morel [9]; and if $\omega(G)=4$ then $\chi(G) \leq 15 \leq f(4)$, by another application of 1.4. Consequently every $P_{5}$-free graph $G$ with clique number at most four has chromatic number at most $f(\omega(G))$.

We claim that

$$
f(x-1)+(x+2) f(\lfloor x / 2\rfloor) \leq f(x)
$$

for each integer $x>4$. If that is true, then by 2.2 with $\Omega=4$, we deduce that $\chi(G) \leq f(\omega(G))$ for every $P_{5}$-free graph $G$, and so 1.5 holds. Thus, it remains to show that

$$
f(x-1)+(x+2) f(\lfloor x / 2\rfloor) \leq f(x)
$$

for each integer $x>4$. This can be verified by direct calculation when $x=5$, so we may assume that $x \geq 6$.

The derivative of $f(x) / x^{4}$ is

$$
\left(2 \log _{2}(x)-4\right) x^{\log _{2}(x)-5}
$$

and so is nonnegative for $x \geq 4$. Consequently

$$
\frac{f(x-1)}{(x-1)^{4}} \leq \frac{f(x)}{x^{4}}
$$

for $x \geq 5$. Since $x^{2}\left(x^{2}-2 x-4\right) \geq(x-1)^{4}$ when $x \geq 5$, it follows that

$$
\frac{f(x-1)}{x^{2}-2 x-4} \leq \frac{f(x)}{x^{2}},
$$

that is,

$$
f(x-1)+\frac{2 x+4}{x^{2}} f(x) \leq f(x)
$$

when $x \geq 5$. But when $x \geq 6$ (so that $f(x / 2)$ is defined and the first equality below holds), we have
$f(\lfloor x / 2\rfloor) \leq f(x / 2)=(x / 2)^{\log _{2}(x / 2)}=(x / 2)^{\log _{2}(x)-1}=(2 / x)(x / 2)^{\log _{2}(x)}=\left(2 / x^{2}\right) f(x)$, and so

$$
f(x-1)+(x+2) f(\lfloor x / 2\rfloor) \leq f(x)
$$

when $x \geq 6$. This proves 2.3.
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