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#### Abstract

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a highly complex and rapidly progressive neurodegenerative disorder characterized by the systemic collapse of cognitive function and formation of dense amyloid- $\beta$ (A $\beta$ ) plaques and neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs). AD pathology is derived from the cholinergic, amyloid and tau hypotheses, respectively. Current pharmacotherapy with known anti-cholinesterases, such as Aricept ${ }^{\circledR}$ and Exelon ${ }^{\circledR}$, only offer symptomatic relief without any disease-modifying effects (DMEs). It is now clear that in order to prevent the rapid progression of AD , new therapeutic treatments should target multiple AD pathways as opposed to the traditional "one drug, one target" approach. This research project employed medicinal chemistry tools to develop multifunctional small organic molecules against three key targets of AD pathology - the cholinesterases $(\mathrm{AChE}$ and BuChE$)$, AChE -induced and self-induced $\mathrm{A} \beta_{1-40}$ aggregation and generation ( $\beta$-secretase). A chemical library composed of 112 derivatives was generated to gather structure-activity relationship (SAR) data. The derivatives were based on a novel, non-fused, 2,4disubstituted pyrimidine ring (2,4-DPR) template with substituents at the C-2 and C-4 position varying in size, steric and electronic properties. Molecular modeling was utilized to investigate their binding modes within the target enzymes and along with the acquired SAR, the chemical library was screened to identify lead multifunctional candidates.
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## CHAPTER I

## - Introduction•

### 1.1. Background on Alzheimer's Disease

Neurodegenerative diseases have a large socioeconomic impact on healthcare costs, patients and their care-providers. Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a rapidly progressive, neurodegenerative disease first described by Alois Alzheimer in 1907 [1-3]. Its demoralizing pathophysiology primarily affects elderly populations; however, genetic predispositions associated with the apolipoprotein $\varepsilon 4$ (Apo \&4) allele are linked to its prevalence in middle-aged patients [4,5]. According to the Alzheimer's Association, the epidemiology of AD shows a doubling in the rate of disease development by 2050 with a current patient load of 5.4 million in the United States alone. Along with an estimated care cost of $\$ 202$ billion in 2010, these factors collectively build on the unmet need to develop a safe and effective cure to AD [6].

Ever since the manifestation of Mrs. Auguste Deter's case in 1901 and the subsequent characterization of AD pathology in 1906, various hypotheses outlining its pathogenesis have been described [7]. These hypotheses branch off of the common physical and behavioral observations seen in AD patients such as cognitive impairment, dense amyloid- $\beta(\mathrm{A} \beta)$ plaques and neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) leading to memory loss, depression, loss of independence and eventually death [6,8]. Although AD pathogenesis is mainly described by the cholinergic, $\mathrm{A} \beta$ and tau ( $\tau$ ) protein hypotheses, other subsequent mechanisms have emerged over the past few years expanding on its complex pathology (Fig. 1). Of those mechanisms, pathways generating reactive oxygen species (ROS), neuroinflammatory responses and complications of diabetes mellitus (DM) have sparked keen interests in multi-disciplinary areas of AD research [1,9-17].

Most of the current AD pharmacotherapies on the market (Fig. 2) are considered examples of a mono-targeted approach, where the end result is mild symptom relief and cognitive improvement, but lack an overall disease-modifying effect (DME). Galantamine (Fig. 2) on the other hand, is an example of a disease-modifying agent (DMA) as it is a dual cholinesterase inhibitor (ChEI) and an allosteric enhancer of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChR) - collectively improving cholinergic neurotransmission [2,18].


Fig. (1): Outline of AD pathophysiology.

A recent study also demonstrated galantamine's ability to inhibit self-induced aggregation of A $\beta$-fibrils and its respective cytotoxicity [19]. Supported by recent studies, current research efforts are abstaining from the "one drug, one target" approach in favour of generating multifunctional candidates in order to attain DMAs: a key step in halting the rapid progression of AD [20-24].

The thesis presented here is aimed at examining the potential of a novel class of small organic molecules, based on a 2,4-disubstituted pyrimidine ring (2,4-DPR) template, to act as DMAs for the treatment of AD : primarily evaluating anti-cholinesterase ( ChE ) and anti- $\mathrm{A} \beta$ dual activities. The template design concept is discussed in CHAPTER II.


Fig. (2): Marketed AD pharmacotherapies.

### 1.2. The Cholinergic Hypothesis

This is one of the oldest and most studied hypotheses outlining the pathogenesis of AD. The hypothesis suggests that impairments in cholinergic neurotransmission, dependent on the neurotransmitter acetylcholine (ACh), are to blame for the rapid decline in cognitive ability of AD patients. The cholinergic branch of the CNS, primarily allocated within the cerebrum and cerebellum, is associated with cognitive function and overall physical awareness. Besides ACh , other key players in the cholinergic hypothesis are the ChE enzymes: Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) and butyrylcholinesterase (BuChE) - ACh degrading enzymes [1,3,8].

### 1.2.1. Cholinergic Neurotransmission

The cholinergic branches of the nervous system rely on ACh to initiate and transmit a neuronal impulse. This neurotransmitter is synthesized by choline acetyltransferase (ChAT) within cholinergic neurons by coupling choline and acetyl-CoA using an active site histidine residue [25]. Once released from storage vesicles into the synapse, ACh binds to nAChR or muscarinic G-protein coupled receptors (mAChR) to initiate a neurotransmission cascade $[8,9,26]$. Nicotinic receptors are mostly associated with the CNS, PNS and neuromuscular junctions, while mAChRs are mostly associated with the PNS but are also more widely distributed throughout the body [9]. Degradative ChE enzymes hydrolyze ACh to acetate and choline where the latter enters a high affinity re-uptake mechanism to be re-used for ACh synthesis in the presynaptic neuron [27].

With AD , the concentration of ACh is significantly reduced compared to that of non- AD patients due to the ChEs' rapid hydrolysis rate and impairments in ChAT's activity [26-28]. Without swift intervention, AD patients start to encounter difficulties with learning, memory recall and self-care. This ultimately leads to the systemic collapse of cognitive function within the CNS. Thus, pharmacotherapy targets to improve cognitive function in AD patients would include AChR agonists or ChEIs, where the majority of currently marketed therapies are derived from the latter class of agents (Table 1, Fig. 2).

Table (1): Comparison of currently marketed cholinergic pharmacotherapies.

| Therapeutic <br> Agent | Trade Name + Route <br> of Administration | Mode of <br> Action | Main <br> Advantage | Main <br> Disadvantage | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Donepezil | Aricept ${ }^{\circledR}$ | Potent | Long half-life | Mild-moderate side | $[2]$ |
|  | Oral | AChEI | (70 hours) | effects |  |
| Rivastigmine | Exelon ${ }^{\circledR}$ | Dual | High brain | Short half-life and | $[2]$ |
|  | Oral/transdermal patch | ChEI | selectivity | moderate side effects |  |
| Galantamine | Reminyl $\circledR$ | Selective | DMA (AChEI + | Potency and short | $[2]$ |
|  | Oral | AChEI | nAChR agonist) | half-life |  |

On the other hand, a number of nAChR agonists are enrolled in clinical trials to assess their efficacy on improving cognitive function including the FDA-approved treatment for smoking addiction, varenicline (Chantex ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) $[29,30]$. Positive outcomes from those trials would be beneficial considering that the risk of developing AD amongst current smokers is higher than that of past and non-smokers [31].

### 1.2.2. The Cholinesterase (ChE) Enzymes

Classified as $\alpha / \beta$ hydrolase enzymes, the ChEs' primary role is to terminate the stimulatory action of ACh in the synapse; however, they are also involved in other cellular functions including cell-adhesion and embryonic development [32-35]. The two isoforms (AChE and BuChE) share a high degree of structural homology and around $51-54 \%$ sequence identity [32]. Once ACh binds within the enzyme catalytic site (CT), active site residues rapidly degrade the neurotransmitter to release choline and acetate (Fig. 3).







Fig. (3): ACh hydrolysis by the ChEs.

Due to the dynamic imbalance between the generation and degradation of ACh , the ChE isoforms are well-known targets for AD pharmacotherapies as they play a vital role in the pathology of AD . In fact, early marketed therapeutic agents against AD (e.g. Tacrine, Cognex ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) were derived from research into anti-cholinesterases [2].

The development of safe and effective ChEIs requires employing the key architectural differences and similarities associated with AChE and BuChE leading to their ligand specificity (Fig. 4) [36]. Because AChE is primarily synthesized and localized within the cholinergic regions of the CNS, its concentration and actions there quickly dissipate after the onset of AD . On the other hand, BuChE is more widely
distributed within the body and with disease progression, the ratio of BuChE to AChE increases aiding in the transfer of AChE's degradative duties to the secondary/pseudocholinesterase [37-39]. This shift in the ChE ratio with disease progression constitutes the urgency in developing dual ChEIs in an effort to better manage patient symptoms at various stages of $A D$.


Fig. (4): Active site comparison between $h \mathrm{AChE}$ (PDB:1B41) and $h \mathrm{BuChE}$ (PDB:1P0I). Green: CT ; Red: Acyl pocket; Blue: Hydrophobic stabilizing residues; Yellow: gorge entry (PAS - AChE).

### 1.2.2.1. Acetylcholinesterase (AChE)

With ACh as its natural substrate, AChE (EC 3.1.1.7) is widely recognized as the primary ChE enzyme, thus main inhibitory target of the cholinergic hypothesis [40,41]. As a serine hydrolase enzyme, AChE utilizes the commonly associated CT residues, histidine and serine, with the typical aspartic acid residue substituted for a glutamic acid [33,41]. These triad residues work systematically to activate the side chain hydroxyl group of serine to initiate the degradation of ACh (Fig. 3).

This ChE isoform predominately exists in the CNS but is also found on the surface of erythrocytes and muscle tissue. Although the enzyme is encoded from a single gene on chromosome 7 in humans,
alternative mRNA splicing yields a variety of physiological forms ranging from monomers to sets of tetramers [41,42]. The more prominent form within the CNS is the amphiphilic membrane-bound tetramer [32]. The molecular architecture of AChE is unique in that its active site is located at the bottom of a $20 \AA$ gorge with a secondary "back-door" channel believed to aid in the displacement of water molecules. The entry to the main gorge resembles a bottleneck, where aromatic residues (like tryptophan and tyrosine) line up the entry and contribute to its specificity [42]. Other important features of AChE include: i) the key stabilizing aromatic residues (Trp86 and Phe338 - human enzyme numbering) as part of the hydrophobic pocket; ii) Phe295 and Phe297 that form the acyl pocket that stabilizes the acetyl end of ACh and iii) Gly120, Gly121 and Ala204 that form the oxyanion hole used to stabilize the transition-state intermediate [43]. In addition, a peripheral anionic site (PAS) is located near the entry to the active site gorge and it is mainly marked with Tyr72, Tyr124 and more importantly, Trp286. Numerous studies implicate the PAS with $A C h E-$ induced aggregation of the $A \beta$ fibrils, thus creating a highly neurotoxic $A C h E-A \beta$ complex [3,9,33, 44-48]. Highlights of human AChE's key features are presented in (Fig. 4, left panel).

### 1.2.2.2. Butyrylcholinesterase (BuChE)

This secondary/pseudocholinesterase (BuChE, EC 3.1.1.8) is capable of hydrolyzing the cholinergic neurotransmitter ACh , although it is not its natural substrate. While it attains similar properties of the primary isoform, BuChE is unique in its ability to hydrolyze various types of natural and toxic esters such as the recreational alkaloid cocaine [49]. As a serine hydrolase, BuChE utilizes the same CT elements found in AChE - serine, histidine and glutamic acid - and follows the same hydrolysis mechanism described above (Fig. 3) with varying kinetic parameters based on the concentration of ACh [50]. In contrast to AChE's localization, BuChE is more widely distributed throughout the body and is associated with the plasma, liver and various components of the nervous system [36,51]. The pseudocholinesterase is encoded from a single gene on chromosome 3 in humans and, as with AChE , variable splicing yields different physiological forms ranging from monomers to sets of tetramers [32,52]. In human plasma, the most prominent form is the soluble G4 tetramer, while variable dimers and tetramers occur within glial cells and the CNS [53].

The architecture of BuChE attains certain aspects seen in AChE ; however, it is unique in other key areas as well. Although the active site gorge is also $20 \AA$ below the enzyme surface, the entry to that gorge is far less restrictive as the number of aromatic residues in that region is lower than that found in AChE's gorge entry [51]. The key ligand-stabilizing aromatic residues found in the primary ChE are also present here (Trp82 and Phe329); however, smaller residues (Leu286 and Val288) replace Phe288 and Phe290 in the acyl pocket accounting for BuChE's wider substrate pool [54]. Since the gorge of BuChE contains fewer aromatic residues at both the entry and the active sites, the overall volume capacity of BuChE is approximately $200 \AA^{3}$ larger than that of AChE [55]. Another key feature of BuChE is the lack of a PAS at its gorge entry - Nonetheless, various studies suggested its association with neurotoxic aggregates in the brain via an undetermined mechanism [50,51]. Highlights of human BuChE's key features are presented in (Fig. 4, right panel).

### 1.2.3. Summary

The cholinergic hypothesis is centered around ACh and its perspective neurotransmission cascades. Key aspects include the generation and degradation of ACh (ChAT and the ChEs, respectively) and the cascade receptors ( nAChRs and mAChRs ). Of all the hypotheses that describe AD pathogenesis, cholinergic dysfunction is the core physiological failure that occurs within the CNS. That said, it has been linked to the amyloid hypothesis (discussed next, Section 1.3) and that in itself, strengthens recent ideologies that AD is a highly complex neurodegenerative disease that can't be defined by nor limited to a single pathological mechanism. As discussed above, most of the currently marketed pharmacotherapies were derived from cholinergic research - specifically, anti-ChEs. Although those agents offer symptomatic relief, they lack DMEs to stop and reverse the progression of AD. Current research efforts are attempting to combine anti-ChE function with other pharmacotherapy targets to steer away from the "one drug, one target" approach.

### 1.3. The Amyloid- $\beta$ Hypothesis

Medical complications involving the misfolding and aggregation of amyloid peptides have sparked exponential interest over the past few years. Several studies have implicated these insoluble aggregates in the direct and indirect pathologies of neurodegenerative diseases, various cancers and organ failures [9,5660].

With respect to AD , the amyloid- $\beta$ hypothesis is centered around the pathology of notorious amyloid- $\beta$ aggregates. These insoluble plaques wreak havoc on internal and external cellular mechanisms leading to neuronal cell death and corroborating cognitive dysfunction. Key aspects here include the amyloid precursor protein (APP), the APP processing secretase enzymes and the aggregation mechanisms that produce these neurotoxic proteinaceous $A \beta$-plaques (Fig. 1).

### 1.3.1. Amyloid Precursor Protein

As its name suggests, APP is the precursor substrate to amyloid- $\beta$ peptides. The APP is a large, integral membrane protein (IMP) that is ubiquitously expressed in mammalian cells and concentrated in the CNS [61-63]. Although it plays a fundamental role in the amyloid- $\beta$ hypothesis, various studies report putative physiological roles for APP including: i) cell adhesion; ii) metal-ion homeostasis; iii) cell signaling and iv) synapse formation, function and elasticity [61,64,65]. A single gene ( $\sim 240 \mathrm{kbps}$ ) on chromosome 21 encodes human APP. Alternative splicing generates various isoforms of APP ranging from 365-770 amino acids in length. Isoforms encoding the A $\beta$-peptide are dubbed APP695, APP751 and APP770, where the foremost is mainly expressed in neuronal tissues [61].

The architecture of APP is quite interesting (Fig. 5). Its extracellular domain accounts for the bulk ( $\sim 90 \%$ ) of this IMP and is comprised of the E1 and E2 domains, where the former contains a conserved metal binding motif and a growth factor-like domain. A Kunitz-type serine protease inhibitor (KPI) domain divides the E1 and E2 domains but it is only present in the APP751 and APP770 isoforms and two key glycosylation sites are located downstream of the E2 domain. With respect to the embedded A $\beta$-peptide, the bulk of its sequence $(\sim 70 \%)$ is part of extracellular domain with the remaining $30 \%$ belonging to the transmembrane domain [1,61-63,66,67]. The intracellular domain (AICD) is highly conserved and is believed to act as a transcriptional regulator as it is translocated to the nucleus after APP has been
processed by the secretase enzymes [68]. The AICD also contains a key Thr668 residue that is susceptible to phosphorylation by various kinases that allow for APP to interact with different adaptor proteins and the phosphorylation state of this residue also plays an important role in APP localization. Recent studies also discovered high levels of phosphorylated Thr668-APP compared to healthy/control groups suggesting a role for this residue in AD pathology [61].


Fig. (5): APP architecture and processing. * Numbering based on the APP770 isoform

Mutations in the critical regions of the APP gene can potentiate the development of $A D$ by increasing the overall production of $A \beta$-peptides. Some mutations occur within and some occur outside the A $\beta$-peptide sequence. The most studied mutations of the APP gene are the Swedish, Flemish, Dutch, Florida and London (Table 2). These mutations don't include other factors such as Down's syndrome and Presenilin-1 and -2 (PS1, PS2) mutations that are also linked to disrupting the APP processing balance [69].

Table (2): APP mutations leading to the overall increase in A $\beta$-peptide liberation.

| Name | Location | Mutation | Biochemical Effect | Molecular Effect | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Swedish | Upstream A $\beta$ Sequence | Lys670Asn | Enhance | Increase toward amyloidogenic | [69] |
|  |  | Met671Leu | $\beta$-secretase | APP processing |  |
| Flemish | Within $\mathrm{A} \beta$ - | Ala692Gly | Inhibit | Increase toward amyloidogenic | [69] |
|  | Sequence |  | $\alpha$-secretase | APP processing |  |
| Dutch | Within $A \beta-$ | Glu693Gln | Enhanced | Hereditary cerebral hemorrhage w/ | [63] |
|  | Sequence |  | fibrillogenesis | amyloidosis |  |
| Florida | Downstream $A \beta$-Sequence | Ile716Val | Alter $\gamma$-secretase cleavage | Increase the amount of $\mathrm{A} \beta_{1-42}$ liberated | [69] |
| London | Downstream $A \beta$-Sequence | Val717Phe, Gly or Ile | Alter $\gamma$-secretase cleavage | Increase the amount of $\mathrm{A} \beta_{1-42}$ liberated | [69] |

### 1.3.2. APP Metabolism and Processing Pathways

Although APP is involved in many cellular functions, it exhibits a short half-life and thus, is under a constitutive secretory pathway [61]. Its processing is governed by the activity of the secretase enzymes ( $\alpha$-, $\beta$ - and $\gamma$-secretases) and interestingly, is influenced by AChRs - a link between the cholinergic and amyloid hypotheses. [70]. The proteolytic cleavage of APP can be divided into two main branches based on the secretases involved: amyloidogenic and non-amyloidogenic.

The amyloidogenic pathway is of greater interest with respect to AD pathology as the resulting APP products include the $A \beta_{1-40}$ or $A \beta_{1-42}$ peptide that constitutes a major role in the amyloid hypothesis. In this metabolic pathway, $\beta$-secretase or $\beta$-site APP cleaving enzyme (BACE) is the primary protease acting on APP. The cleavage between Met671 and Asp672 generates a large soluble peptide $\left(\mathrm{sAPP}_{\beta}\right)$ and a 99 -amino acid C-terminal fragment $\left(\mathrm{CTF}_{99}\right)$ carrying the $\mathrm{A} \beta$-fragment. $\gamma$-secretase cleaves the $\mathrm{CTF}_{99}$ between Ala713 and $\operatorname{Trp} 714$ or Val711 and Ile 712 to release the $A \beta_{1-42}$ or $\mathrm{A} \beta_{1-40}$ peptide, respectively along with the AICD [62,71-73] (Fig. 5). In contrast, the non-amyloidogenic pathway is initiated by $\alpha$-secretase. The cleavage between Lys687 and Leu688 generates a larger soluble peptide $\left(\operatorname{sAPP}_{\alpha}\right)$ with neuroprotective properties and an 83-amino acid C-terminal fragment $\left(\mathrm{CTF}_{83}\right)$ [74,75]. Because $\alpha$-secretase's cleavage pattern occurs
within the $A \beta$-fragment, the resulting $\mathrm{CTF}_{83}$ does not carry a complete and functional $A \beta$-fragment. $\gamma$ secretase cleaves the $\mathrm{CTF}_{83}$ to release a small p3 peptide and the AICD [61-63] (Fig. 5).

It is noteworthy that both metabolic pathways occur in healthy individuals and that suggests that the liberation of $A \beta$-peptides itself is not an $A D$ characteristic. The non-amyloidogenic branch is the most common metabolic pathway but when the amyloidogenic pathway does occur, efficient clearance mechanisms are in place to remove the $A \beta$-peptides [63,76]. In the amyloid hypothesis, $A D$ pathogenesis emerges when the balance between the APP metabolism and processing pathways, in addition to the generation and clearance of $A \beta$-peptides, is disrupted resulting in the rapid accumulation of $A \beta$-peptides that aggregate to form insoluble and neurotoxic species in the CNS.

### 1.3.3. The Secretase Enzymes

These are transmembrane protease enzymes involved in the metabolism and processing of APP via the amyloidogenic pathway to generate either $\mathrm{A} \beta_{1-40}$ or $\mathrm{A} \beta_{1-42}$ peptides (BACE-1 and $\gamma$-secretase metabolic pathway) or the non-amyloidogenic pathway to generate a neuroprotective soluble peptide ( $\alpha$ - and $\gamma$ secretase metabolic pathway) (Fig. 5). Key features of the secretases will be briefly discussed below.

As the initiator of the amyloidogenic metabolic pathway of APP, BACE-1 (EC 3.4.23.66) is a key pharmacotherapy target in an effort to reduce the overall generation of the pro-A $\beta$-peptide $\mathrm{CTF}_{99}$. This is a membrane bound, aspartic protease where optimal activity is observed in acidic environments - a typical protease property [77,78]. Interestingly, two BACE homologues exist sharing $\sim 45 \%$ sequence identity and $\sim 75 \%$ structural homology. The key isoform, BACE-1, is encoded by a single gene on chromosome 11 and is mainly expressed in neuronal tissue - more notably the hippocampus, cortex and cerebellum [79]. On the other hand the secondary isoform, BACE-2, is mapped on chromosome 21 and, unlike BACE-1, is expressed in various tissues but is barely detectable in the brain and recent studies suggest BACE-2 acting as an antagonist to BACE-1 or an alternative $\alpha$-secretase [62,78,80,81]. In terms of its architecture, the narrow cleft-like active site is located in the center of the enzyme between the extracellular N -terminal and intracellular C-terminal lobes. The active site is sealable with a flexible, 10-residue hairpin loop or 'flap' with Tyr71 playing a key role in controlling the dynamics and conformations of that flap [82,83]. Upon substrate binding, the flap closes down on the active site entry and re-opens to release hydrolysis products;
the overall variance in the position of the flap ranges between 5-7 $\AA$ [83,84]. The catalytic residues, Asp32 and Asp228 are part of a large hydrogen-bond network mainly comprised of polar residues (e.g. Thr232, Ser35) and water molecules, where the latter is an essential part of the proteolytic mechanism (Fig. 6) [84,85].


Fig. (6): BACE-1 proteolytic mechanism of APP. *Substrate shown reflects the peptide bond between Met671 and Asp672 of APP770 isoform

In its unbound form, studies suggest that the protonation state of the aspartic acids is di-deprotonated (not depicted above), while it maintains a mono-protonated state with a bound substrate; these conformations are affected by pH and water networks within the enzyme [82]. Besides the catalytic site, several sub-site pockets have been identified and their primary goal is to stabilize and orient incoming substrates. Some of these sub-site pockets include: i) $S_{1}$ and $S_{3}$ - mainly consist of hydrophobic residues; ii) $S_{2}$ and $S_{4}$ - mainly consist of hydrophilic residues and iii) $\mathrm{S}_{5}$ to $\mathrm{S}_{7}$ - localize near the insertion helix and are primarily used for substrate recognition [84,86]. Highlights of human BACE-1's key features are presented in (Fig. 7).


Fig. (7): Active site of hBACE-1 (PDB:1FKN). Green: Catalytic site; Red: Flap; Purple, Turquoise and Blue: Some hydrophobic and hydrophilic residues of the various sub-site pockets in BACE-1; Yellow: Other key residues - part of the hydrogen-bond network.

In contrast, $\alpha$-secretase is the initiator of the more dominant, non-amyloidogenic pathway of APP. Classified as a disintegrin and metalloprotease (ADAM - EC 3.4.24.81), $\alpha$-secretase isoforms include ADAM10 and ADAM17/TACE, with the latter being involved in the processing of a variety of type-1 membrane glycoproteins such as pro-tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$ (pro-TNF- $\alpha$ ). The more prominent zincmetalloprotease isoform, ADAM10, is encoded on chromosome 15 and its activity is regulated through constitutive and inducible components, where the latter is under the control of protein kinase C (PKC) [62,74,87]. As discussed earlier, the non-amyloidogenic metabolic pathway disrupts the $A \beta$-fragment and generates s-APP $\alpha$ with neurotrophic and neuroprotective properties, which supports the hypothesis that over-activation/stimulation of $\alpha$-secretase should reduce amyloidogenesis [74,75,87] (Fig. 5).

As the final protease involved in APP processing, the end products of $\gamma$-secretase's activity depends on the competition between BACE- 1 and $\alpha$-secretase for the APP substrate and the resulting CTF: amyloidogenic $\mathrm{CTF}_{99}$ or non-amyloidogenic $\mathrm{CTF}_{83}$ (Fig. 5). Compared to the preceding proteases, $\gamma$ secretase is a larger, multi-complex aspartyl protease comprised of PS1, nicastrin, anterior pharynx-
defective 1 (Aph-1) and PS2 with numerous studies linking certain mutations in the presenilin genes (PSEN1 on chromosome 14 and PSEN2 on chromosome 1) to early on-set and familial Alzheimer's disease (FAD) cases [62,69,88]. Similar to $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-secretases' range of substrates, $\gamma$-secretase is known to act on a wide range of glycoproteins and other substrates, including the Notch protein - a vital component in the cell signaling pathway [89-94]. In most cases, $\gamma$-secretase generates the $A \beta_{1-40}$ fragment with the generation of the $A \beta_{1-42}$ being less frequent. However, it is noteworthy that the $A \beta_{1-42}$ peptide is more hydrophobic and is more susceptible to aggregation leading to the insoluble neurotoxic plaques [62]. On the other hand, some studies suggest that the $\mathrm{AChE}-\mathrm{A} \beta_{1-40}$ complexes are more neurotoxic compared to other aggregates [95].

### 1.3.4. Aggregation and Toxicity Mechanisms

As $A \beta$ elimination mechanisms start to deteriorate, $A \beta$-peptides accumulate in the CNS where their neurotoxic properties take affect. A $\beta$-peptides can take on many forms - oligomers, fibrils and aggregates/plaques -, each having a unique biochemical formation mechanism but all start with the monomeric $A \beta$-fragment released by $\gamma$-secretase (Fig. 8). Factors implicated in turning monomeric $A \beta$ to neurotoxic species include: i) biophysical properties of $\mathrm{A} \beta$ itself; ii) AChE PAS and iii) certain metal-ions.


Fig. (8): Transformation of $A \beta$-monomers into various soluble and insoluble forms.

The biophysical properties of $\mathrm{A} \beta$ allow it to self assemble at high concentrations ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ range) and acidic conditions. With disease progression, the transport of $\mathrm{A} \beta$ monomers to the lysosome for degradation is hindered thus the extracellular concentration of $\mathrm{A} \beta$ increases facilitating self-assembly and oligomerization [96]. As this patterns continues, these small oligomers grow in size, taking on a cross- $\beta$ -
sheet assembly conformation, to generate $A \beta$-protofilaments and two protofilaments intertwine to form an $\mathrm{A} \beta$-fibril. These structures can continue to grow in size, aggregate and form dense, insoluble plaques [97]. In connection to the cholinergic hypothesis, numerous studies have demonstrated the role of the PAS of $A C h E$ in promoting $A \beta$ aggregation. The architecture of the PAS (mainly aromatic residues) is believed to provide suitable conditions for monomeric or small oligomeric forms of $\mathrm{A} \beta$ to assemble into larger, insoluble aggregates [3,9,33, 44-48]. Similarly, metal ions, especially copper $\left(\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right)$, iron $\left(\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\right)$ and zinc $\left(\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right)$, are known to facilitate the aggregation of $\mathrm{A} \beta$-peptides through the metal-ion coordinating domain at the N-terminal end. Three conserved histidine residues (His6, His13 and His14) play an essential role in the coordination of the bound metal-ion and it is noteworthy that APP itself has a highly conserved metal-ion binding domain at its N -terminal end [98-105]. In its interactions with the above metal species, these $\mathrm{A} \beta$ metal ion complexes engage in various redox reactions leading to the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [106].

### 1.3.5. Summary

The amyloid- $\beta$ hypothesis is mainly centered around $A \beta$-peptides. It is evident that the mechanisms involved are very complex with numerous factors leading to the disruption in the balance between the generation and clearance of $A \beta$-peptides. Various studies have demonstrated the impact of $A \beta$-species on cellular structure and function; these impacts include the disruption of membrane integrity, transport and ion channels and the decrease in cholinergic efficiency, among others. As mentioned earlier, the cholinergic and amyloid hypotheses cross paths with AChE implicated in the facilitation of $A \beta$ aggregates as well as AChRs influencing APP processing mechanisms.

Anti-amyloid strategies are directed at reducing the generation or aggregation of $\mathrm{A} \beta$-peptides - those include the development of: i) BACE-1 inhibitors; ii) $\gamma$-secretase inhibitors; iii) metal-ion chelators; iv) AChE-PAS blockers and v) A $\beta$ anti-bodies. Although, there has yet to be an approved treatment option listed under one of the above strategies, a number are undergoing clinical trials to assess safety and efficacy. It is noteworthy that developing an agent capable of blocking the generation and/or aggregation of A $\beta$-peptides possesses a DME and along with an anti-cholinesterase profile, a candidate multifunctional pharmacotherapy option is within reach.

### 1.4. Other Factors in AD Pathology

The cholinergic and amyloid hypotheses govern this research project; however, it is important to address other key factors in AD pathology. Of those, the pathological mechanism involving tau $(\tau)$ is of great interest in AD research. Unlike extracellular amyloid plaques, neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) cause significant internal damage to the neuron. Complications involving tau $(\tau)$ protein arise when the balance between tau $(\tau)$ kinases and phosphatases are disrupted resulting in hyperphosphorylation of the tau ( $\tau$ ) protein. In such a state, tau $(\tau)$ protein dissociates from microtubules, in turn distorting cellular integrity, and aggregate to form NFTs. Targeting tau abnormalities is challenging considering its regulatory mechanism involves a wide range of protein kinases (including Cdk5 and GSK-3 $\beta$ ) and phosphatases that are crucial to other biological pathways [107-111]. Secondary hypotheses involve $N$-methly-D-aspartate (NMDA) excitotoxicty, monoamine oxidase (MAO) enzymes and neuroinflammatory pathways (Fig. 1). With NMDA excitotoxicty, the elevated levels of glutamate result in over-activation of NMDA receptors leading to a large influx of $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ ions and this leads to excitotoxicity and neuronal degeneration [112]. Along with the generation of peroxides as part of its oxidation mechanism, recent studies have reported elevated levels of MAO activity in AD patients, sparking a potentially new pathological hypothesis for AD. Neuroinflammatory responses have been linked with the formation of A $\beta$-plaques and NFTs and recent studies have implicated the COX-1 isoform of the cyclooxygenases, the 12-/15-LOX isoforms of the lipooxygenases as well as the pro-inflammatory cytokine TNF- $\alpha$ [113-117]. Interestingly, promising results were observed with the long-term use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) - selective COX-1 inhibitors - but not with the use of coxibs - selective COX-2 inhibitors -, suggesting the localization of those isoforms is important to the pathology of $\mathrm{AD}[2,113,117]$.

### 1.5. Chapter Conclusion

It is evident that $A D$ pathology is complex. Numerous factors are involved and the end result is systemic collapse of cholinergic neurotransmission along with neuronal cell death, collectively leading to dementia symptoms (Fig. 1 and 9). With the majority of current pharmacotherapy options only offering symptomatic relief, it is crucial to enroll more candidate agents in clinical trials to assess their safety and
efficacy. These up and coming agents need to possess DMEs and act as multifunctional pharmacotherapy options.


Fig. (9): Summary of pathological routes to $A D$

## CHAPTER II

## - Hypothesis and Design Rationale •

### 2.1. Template Design
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Fig. (10): 2,4-DPR template design concept based on key functional groups of marketed pharmacotherapies and other research candidates.

The goal of this research project was to develop a novel template that can be used to generate a chemical library capable of targeting multiple pathological mechanisms leading to AD. The novel template
design concept was attained by assessing recent research efforts, current therapeutic agents and molecular modeling studies. Key functional groups were identified (red, Fig. 10) and collectively, a 2,4-DPR was hypothesized to serve as a suitable template to generate a chemical library capable of targeting the ChEs and amyloid- $\beta$ parameters.

### 2.2. Target Derivatives

The basis of the chemical library is based on generating compounds with varying steric and electronic properties to assess those impacts on the biological profile of the derivative and aquire SAR data (Fig. 11). Examples of such comparisons include evaluating: i) bioisosteres (e.g. piperidine vs. piperazine); ii) steric effects (e.g.: $i \operatorname{Pr}$ vs. $n \operatorname{Pr}$ ); iii) positional isomers (para- vs. meta- vs. ortho) and iv) effect of electron-donating and withdrawing groups (EDGs and EWGs; respectively).

(1)

(2-15n)
(2-15)
(2-12u)
$R_{2}$ substituent depends on the series


Fig. (11): Overview of the synthetic routes to target derivatives.

## CHAPTER III

## - Setup and Methodology •

### 3.1. Synthetic Chemistry

The synthetic routes to target derivatives were relatively simple and efficient, requiring a maximum of three steps to achieve the required coupling or modification.

### 3.1.1. Intermediate Product Synthesis - Step A

Necessary intermediates were synthesized via a nucleophilic aromatic substitution (NAS) reaction at the C-4 position of the ring system as shown in Fig. 12.








HCl

Fig. (12): NAS mechanism used to generate target intermediates with primary amines at C-4 position.

The 2,4-dichloropyrimidine starting material (1, Fig. 11) was converted to the 2 -chloro-4-substituted-pyrimidine intermediates (2-15, Fig. 11) in the presence of diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA, a base) to activate the respective primary amine used $\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}=\right.$ benzylamine, 2-, 3-, 4-chloro, 4-bromo, 4-fluoro, 4-methyl, 4-methoxy, 3,4-dimethoxy, 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzylamines, benzo[ $d][1,3]$ dioxol-5-methylamine, naphthalen-1ylmethylamine, diphenylmethylamine or phenylethylamine; respectively).

This reaction (Step A, Fig. 11) was run in ethanol (EtOH) and typically refluxed for 4-5 hrs with stirring. The resulting solution is evaporated in vacuo, re-dissolved in ethyl acetate (EtOAc), neutralized using 0.5 M hydrochloric acid solution and washed with concentrated brine solution ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Collected organic fractions were dehydrated using anhydrous magnesium sulfate $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and re-evaporated
in vacuo to afford a crude solid or semi-solid intermediate. Purification was performed using a differential melting point (DMP) technique and/or silica gel column chromatography (SGCC) with a suitable carrier solvent (Fig. 13). Intermediate product yields ranged from 55-75\% [120-122].


Fig. (13): Overview of -Step A- setup

### 3.1.2. Final Product Synthesis - Step B $+\boldsymbol{C}$

To obtain the desired final products, intermediates 2-15 were coupled with the respective secondary cyclic amines (Step $B, \mathrm{R}_{2}=$ pyrrolidine, morpholine, thiomorpholine, methylpiperazine, methylpiperidine, isopropylpiperazine, isopropylpiperidine, propyl-, hydroxyethyl-, methoxyethyl-, cyclohexyl-, acetyl-, Bocpiperazine, 4-chloro, 4-bromo, 4-fluoro or 4-trifluoromethylbenzylpiperazine; respectively) or 4aminobenzylpiperidine (Step C). These were also NAS reactions that occur at the C-2 position of the ring system as shown in Fig. 14.

Step B
Step C


Fig. (14): NAS mechanism used to generate target products with secondary cyclic amines or 4-aminobenzylpiperidine at C-2 position.

Step $B$ (Fig. 11) was setup in a sealed pressure vial (PV) with butanol (BuOH) as the solvent and immersed in an oil bath $\left(150-155^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for 1 hr . with stirring. Step $C$ (Fig. 11) was also setup in a sealed PV with DIPEA and BuOH as the solvent and immersed in an oil bath $\left(190-195{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for $14-16 \mathrm{hrs}$. with stirring. The resulting solutions were evaporated in vacuo, re-dissolved in EtOAc, neutralized using 0.5M hydrochloric acid solution (Step C only) and washed with concentrated brine solution ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Collected organic fractions were dehydrated using anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and re-evaporated in vacuo to afford a crude solid or semi-solid product. Purification was performed using SGCC or high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with a suitable carrier solvent to achieve a final compound purity of $95 \%$ or higher for biological screening (Fig. 15). Final product yields ranged from 45-90\% [120-122].


Fig. (15): Overview of - Step B/C- setup

### 3.1.3. Post-Synthesis Modifications - Step $\boldsymbol{D}+\boldsymbol{E}+\boldsymbol{F}$

The thiomorpholine ring ( $g r p C$, Fig. 11) is susceptible to oxidation by liver cytochrome enzymes. Therefore, to replicate this modification, peroxide reagents were used to oxidize $g r p C$ to the sulfoxide ( SO ) and sulfone $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right)$ analogs (Step $D+E$ ) (Fig. 16). The conversion of $g r p C$ to $g r p D$ was accomplished using meta-chloroperoxybenzoic acid $(m \mathrm{CPBA})$ in methanol $(\mathrm{MeOH})$ and the reaction was run at room temperature (r.t) for 3 hrs . Conversely, the conversion of $g r p C$ to $g r p E$ was accomplished using potassium peroxymonosulfate ( $\mathrm{Oxone}^{\circledR}$ ) in a $\mathrm{MeOH} /$ water/dioxane cocktail and the reaction was refluxed for 1 hr . then moved to r.t for 4 hrs . The resulting solutions were diluted with EtOAc and washed with concentrated brine solution ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Collected organic fractions were dehydrated using anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and re-evaporated in vacuo to afford a crude solid product. Purification was performed using SGCC with a suitable carrier solvent to achieve a final compound purity of $95 \%$ or higher for biological screening. Oxidized analogs were generated with yields of $\sim 75 \%$ [120-122].


Fig. (16): Oxidation mechanisms used to generate the sulfoxide and sulfone analogs using peroxide reagents.

The $t$-butoxycarbonyl (Boc) functionality present in $\operatorname{grp} O$ (Fig. 11) is susceptible to in vivo hydrolysis. Therefore, to replicate this modification, trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) was used to hydrolyze the Boc functionality present in $g r p O$ to generate the free-piperazine analog (Step $F$ ) (Fig. 17).


Fig. (17): Hydrolysis mechanisms used to generate free-piperazine analogs using TFA.

The reaction was setup using dichloromethane (DCM) and run at r.t for 2 hrs . The resulting solution was evaporated in vacuo with the aid of toluene then re-dissolved in EtOAc and DCM and washed with concentrated brine solution ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Collected organic fractions were dehydrated using anhydrous
$\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and re-evaporated in vacuo to afford a solid product. Purification was usually not required for this hydrolysis reaction and yields were $\sim 70-75 \%$ [120-122].

### 3.2. Biological Assays

Establishing a biological profile for the synthesized derivatives constitutes a large aspect of this research project. Derivative screening was coincident on the following parameters: i) ChEs; ii) AChEinduced $A \beta_{1-40}$ aggregation; iii) Self-induced $A \beta_{1-40}$ aggregation; iv) BACE-1 and v) cell toxicity of select derivatives (Fig. 18).


Fig. (18): Using SAR data to identify lead/top multifunctional derivatives.

### 3.2.1. Cholinesterase

The ChE screening assay is based on the Ellman method described in 1961 [123]. Thio-analogs of $\mathrm{ACh}(\mathrm{ATCh})$ and $\mathrm{BuCh}(\mathrm{BuTCh})$ are used as enzyme substrates and once degraded by the respective ChE , the thiocholine product reacts, non-enzymatically, with a pro-chromophore (dithiobis-(2-nitrobenzoic acid) or DTNB) to release 2-nitro-5-thiobenzoic acid (NTB - a yellow chromophore detected at wavelengths of 405-412 nm). With derivative screening, the ChE inhibition profile is obtained by monitoring the generation of NTB - as its concentration increases, the ability of the derivative to inhibit the ChEs decreases (Fig. 19).


Fig. (19): The ChE biological assay based on the Ellman method.

### 3.2.2. AChE-induced and Self-induced $\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{1-40}$ Aggregation

The $\mathrm{A} \beta_{1-40}$ aggregation screening assay is based on the biophysical properties of $A \beta$-peptides and the significant change in thioflavin T (ThT) fluorescence in the presence of $\mathrm{A} \beta$-oligomers and fibrils (excitation-emission from $385-445 \mathrm{~nm}$ to $450-482 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). This is a linear relationship that can be applied to quantify self-induced and $A C h E-$ induced aggregation of $A \beta_{1-40}$ monomers. The mechanism involves the cross- $\beta$-sheets of oligomerizing $\mathrm{A} \beta$-monomers and the ThT conformational changes upon binding to those secondary structures [118,124-126]. With derivative screening, the $\mathrm{A} \beta_{1-40}$ aggregation inhibition profile is obtained by monitoring the change in relative fluorescence units (RFUs) after a 24 hrs incubation period and ThT addition - higher RFUs indicate the inability of the derivative to slow and/or halt the oligomerization and fibril-formation process (Fig. 20).


Fig. (20): The ThT A $\beta$-oligomers/fibrils quantification assay.

### 3.2.3. $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-secretase

The BACE-1 screening assay is based on the fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) method developed by PanVera ${ }^{\circledR}$. The peptide sequence uses the APP Swedish mutation (Table 2) linked to enhanced BACE-1 cleavage and is capped by a fluorescence donor (Rhodamine derivative) and fluorescence quencher on either end. Upon cleavage of the labeled peptide, fluorescence is restored and detectable at 530-590 nm (excitation-emission). This relationship can be applied to quantify the rate of peptide cleavage by BACE-1. With derivative screening, the BACE-1 inhibition profile is obtained by monitoring the change in relative fluorescence units (RFUs) - higher RFUs indicate the inability of the derivative to inhibit BACE-1 (Fig. 21).


Fig. (21): PanVera ${ }^{\circledR}$ BACE-1 FRET assay.

### 3.2.4. Cell Viability

The cell viability screening assay is based on the MTT assay using 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT reagent). This yellow tetrazole is reduced by the mitochondrial reductase enzymes of metabolically active cells to a purple formazan whose absorbance is detected between $500-600 \mathrm{~nm}$ [127]. This relationship can be applied to quantify the cytotoxic effects of a test sample. With derivative screening, the toxicity profile is obtained by monitoring the absorbance at 570 nm to detect the reduction of MTT - higher MTT reduction correlates to low cell toxicity or high cell viability (Fig. 22).


Fig. (22): MTT cell viability assay.

## CHAPTER IV

## - Results and Discussion •

### 4.1. Structure-Activity Relationship (SAR) Studies

Obtaining SAR data is an essential component of medicinal chemistry research. On the 2,4-DPR template, SAR studies investigate the role of the substituents at the C-2 and C-4 positions in mediating each derivative's biological profile (Fig. 23). The breakdown of the synthesized small molecule chemical library is outlined in Table 3.


Fig. (23): Investigating the role of the substituents at the C-2 and C-4 positions through SAR studies.

Table (3): Breakdown of the small molecule chemical library.

| Series | Benzylamine and substituted benzylamines |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C-4 group * | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| \# of derivatives | 21 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
|  | 81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Series | Naphthalen-1-methylamine |  |  |  |  | Diphenylmethylamine |  |  | Phenylethylamine |  |  |
| C-4 group * | 13 |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |  | 15 |  |  |
| \# of derivatives | 14 |  |  |  |  | 11 |  |  | 6 |  |  |

* refer to Fig. $11\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}\right)$


### 4.1.1. Anti-cholinesterase Evaluation

Anti-ChE SAR studies encompass the largest of the biological parameters assessed. This section is divided into four main classes: i) benzylamine and substituted benzylamines; ii) naphthalen-1-methylamine; iii) diphenylmethylamine and iv) phenylethylamine series.

### 4.1.1.1. Benzylamine and Substituted Benzylamine Series

The anti-ChE values for series $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{- 1 2}$ derivatives are expressed as the concentration required to inhibit $50 \%$ of this activity $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)^{\text {a }}$, along with the selectivity index (SI) ${ }^{\text {b }}$, partition coefficient $(\mathrm{ClogP})^{\mathrm{c}}$ and molecular volume (M.V - $\AA^{3}$ ) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ in Table 4.

Table (4): $\mathrm{ChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values for derivatives 2-12a-u along with SI, ClogP and MV values.




| Cpd. | R' | X | R" | ChE $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |  | SI | ClogP | MV ( $\AA^{\mathbf{3}}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | AChE | BuChE |  |  |  |
| 2 a | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 8.70 | 26.40 | 0.3 | 2.97 | 174.9 |
| 2b | $n / a$ | O | $n / a$ | 14.00 | 68.30 | 0.2 | 2.14 | 183.8 |
| 2c | $n / a$ | S | $n / a$ | 23.20 | 6.10 | 3.8 | 2.98 | 193.5 |
| 2d | $n / a$ | S | O | 12.60 | $>100$ | 1 | 1.26 | 197.9 |
| 2e | $n / a$ | S | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 24.20 | $>100$ | 1 | 1.18 | 202.4 |
| 2 f | $n / a$ | N | Me | 24.90 | > 100 | 1 | 2.71 | 199.6 |
| 2 g | $n / a$ | CH | Me | 18.40 | 3.40 | 5.4 | 4.05 | 202.4 |
| 2h | $n / a$ | N | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | 25.00 | 3.40 | 7.4 | 3.54 | 225.7 |
| 2 i | $n / a$ | CH | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | 14.20 | 6.50 | 2.2 | 4.97 | 225.7 |
| 2j | $n / a$ | N | $n \mathrm{Pr}$ | 15.30 | 59.90 | 0.3 | 3.76 | 224.7 |
| 2k | $n / a$ | N | EtOH | 26.40 | > 100 | 1 | 2.13 | 217.5 |
| 21 | $n / a$ | N | EtOMe | 26.70 | > 100 | 1 | 2.89 | 232.9 |
| 2 m | $n / a$ | N | Cyclohexyl | 22.90 | 7.60 | 3.0 | 4.65 | 252.8 |
| 2n | $n / a$ | N | Ac | 16.60 | > 100 | 1 | 1.73 | 211.3 |
| 20 | $n / a$ | N | Boc | 18.80 | $>100$ | / | 4.12 | 258.6 |
| 2p | $n / a$ | N | H | 15.50 | > 100 | 1 | 2.13 | 185.6 |
| 2 q | $n / a$ | N | $p-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Bn}$ | 20.20 | 10.70 | 1.9 | 5.14 | 262.0 |
| 2r | $n / a$ | N | $p-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Bn}$ | 25.50 | 14.30 | 1.8 | 5.29 | 277.1 |
| 2s | $n / a$ | N | $p$-F-Bn | 21.60 | 7.30 | 3.0 | 4.57 | 250.7 |
| 2 t | $n / a$ | N | $p-\mathrm{CF}_{3}-\mathrm{Bn}$ | 28.80 | $>100$ | 1 | 5.31 | 276.5 |
| 2u | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 12.40 | 8.20 | 1.5 | 4.60 | 261.0 |
| 3 a | $2-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 14.50 | 14.30 | 1.0 | 3.68 | 193.8 |
| 3 f | $2-\mathrm{Cl}$ | N | Me | 17.10 | 16.70 | 1.0 | 3.42 | 213.3 |
| 3g | $2-\mathrm{Cl}$ | CH | Me | 13.20 | 8.80 | 1.5 | 4.75 | 216.1 |


| Derv | R' | X | R" | ChE $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |  | SI | ClogP | MV ( $\AA^{\mathbf{3}}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | AChE | BuChE |  |  |  |
| 3h | $2-\mathrm{Cl}$ | N | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | 14.60 | 4.70 | 3.1 | 4.26 | 239.4 |
| 3m | $2-\mathrm{Cl}$ | N | Cyclohexyl | 14.00 | 3.00 | 4.7 | 5.24 | 266.9 |
| 3u | $2-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 7.70 | 2.40 | 3.2 | 5.32 | 276.5 |
| 4a | $3-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 15.20 | 12.80 | 1.2 | 3.68 | 195.2 |
| 4f | $3-\mathrm{Cl}$ | N | Me | 16.80 | 11.30 | 1.5 | 3.42 | 216.4 |
| 4g | $3-\mathrm{Cl}$ | CH | Me | 22.80 | 12.00 | 1.9 | 4.75 | 218.1 |
| 4h | $3-\mathrm{Cl}$ | N | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | 25.60 | 5.90 | 4.3 | 4.26 | 241.5 |
| 4m | $3-\mathrm{Cl}$ | N | Cyclohexyl | 19.20 | 3.60 | 5.3 | 5.24 | 271.0 |
| 4u | $3-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 7.70 | 2.50 | 3.1 | 5.32 | 271.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 a | 4-Cl | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 18.90 | 21.40 | 0.9 | 3.68 | 193.5 |
| 5 f | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | N | Me | 18.80 | 10.70 | 1.8 | 3.42 | 214.7 |
| 5 g | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | CH | Me | 16.00 | 9.20 | 1.7 | 4.75 | 218.5 |
| 5h | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | N | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | 25.20 | 6.70 | 3.8 | 4.26 | 241.5 |
| 5m | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | N | Cyclohexyl | 20.70 | 3.00 | 6.9 | 5.24 | 270.5 |
| 5u | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 8.80 | 2.80 | 3.1 | 5.32 | 277.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6a | $4-\mathrm{Br}$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 13.70 | 16.50 | 0.8 | 3.83 | 203.4 |
| 6 f | $4-\mathrm{Br}$ | N | Me | 14.70 | 15.50 | 0.9 | 3.57 | 223.3 |
| 6 g | $4-\mathrm{Br}$ | CH | Me | 10.10 | 8.10 | 1.3 | 4.91 | 225.7 |
| 6h | $4-\mathrm{Br}$ | N | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | 29.50 | 4.70 | 6.3 | 4.41 | 250.4 |
| 6m | $4-\mathrm{Br}$ | N | Cyclohexyl | 22.70 | 3.70 | 6.1 | 5.39 | 277.8 |
| 6u | $4-\mathrm{Br}$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 9.90 | 4.10 | 2.4 | 5.48 | 287.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 a | 4-F | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 24.50 | 36.00 | 0.7 | 3.11 | 184.9 |
| 7f | 4-F | N | Me | 21.50 | 25.20 | 0.9 | 2.85 | 203.1 |
| 7 g | 4-F | CH | Me | 27.30 | 14.30 | 1.9 | 4.18 | 207.5 |
| 7h | 4-F | N | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | 33.40 | 11.80 | 2.8 | 3.69 | 229.5 |
| 7 m | 4-F | N | Cyclohexyl | 23.20 | 4.10 | 5.7 | 4.67 | 257.2 |
| 7u | 4-F | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 7.70 | 2.20 | 3.5 | 4.74 | 263.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8a | 4-Me | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 18.70 | 16.40 | 1.1 | 3.42 | 194.5 |
| 8 f | 4-Me | N | Me | 30.10 | 25.40 | 1.2 | 3.20 | 213.7 |
| 8 g | 4-Me | CH | Me | 27.20 | 12.10 | 2.2 | 4.54 | 214.0 |
| 8h | 4-Me | N | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | 35.40 | 10.0 | 3.5 | 4.04 | 233.2 |
| 8m | 4-Me | N | Cyclohexyl | 16.80 | 1.70 | 9.9 | 5.03 | 264.1 |
| 8u | 4-Me | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 12.90 | 2.50 | 5.2 | 5.10 | 272.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9a | 4-OMe | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 21.70 | 24.00 | 0.9 | 2.89 | 198.9 |
| 9 f | $4-\mathrm{OMe}$ | N | Me | 44.10 | 92.10 | 0.5 | 2.51 | 220.5 |
| 9 g | $4-\mathrm{OMe}$ | CH | Me | 21.00 | 7.10 | 3.0 | 3.96 | 224.0 |
| 9h | $4-\mathrm{OMe}$ | N | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | 29.70 | 20.70 | 1.4 | 3.34 | 249.4 |
| 9m | $4-\mathrm{OMe}$ | N | Cyclohexyl | 17.70 | 3.10 | 5.7 | 4.45 | 274.7 |
| 9u | $4-\mathrm{OMe}$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 9.40 | 4.90 | 1.9 | 4.52 | 281.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10a | 3,4-OMe | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 18.10 | 71.70 | 0.3 | 2.62 | 217.5 |
| 10f | $3,4-\mathrm{OMe}$ | N | Me | 21.50 | > 100 | / | 3.70 | 240.1 |
| 10 g | $3,4-\mathrm{OMe}$ | CH | Me | 28.40 | 7.80 | 3.6 | 2.24 | 242.5 |
| 10h | $3,4-\mathrm{OMe}$ | N | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | 26.00 | 11.40 | 2.3 | 3.08 | 266.9 |
| 10m | 3,4-OMe | N | Cyclohexyl | 19.80 | 15.40 | 1.3 | 4.19 | 295.0 |


| Derv | R' | X | R" | ChE $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |  | SI | ClogP | MV ( $\AA^{3}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | AChE | BuChE |  |  |  |
| 10u | 3,4-OMe | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 9.90 | 11.40 | 0.9 | 4.26 | 240.1 |
| 11a | 3,4,5-OMe | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 9.40 | $>100$ | 1 | 2.26 | 238.0 |
| 11f | $3,4,5-\mathrm{OMe}$ | N | Me | 43.10 | $>100$ | 1 | 1.89 | 262.4 |
| 11 g | 3,4,5-OMe | CH | Me | 26.70 | 28.30 | 0.9 | 3.35 | 260.7 |
| 11h | $3,4,5-\mathrm{OMe}$ | N | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | 28.40 | 80.20 | 0.4 | 2.72 | 283.3 |
| 11m | $3,4,5-\mathrm{OMe}$ | N | Cyclohexyl | 25.90 | 13.00 | 2.0 | 3.83 | 319.3 |
| 11u | 3,4,5-OMe | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 10.30 | 7.70 | 1.3 | 3.90 | 319.3 |
| 12a | [3,4]dioxole | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 21.80 | 25.70 | 0.9 | 2.93 | 200.0 |
| 12 f | [3,4]dioxole | N | Me | 31.10 | 22.20 | 1.4 | 2.67 | 219.5 |
| 12 g | [3,4]dioxole | CH | Me | 22.30 | 6.90 | 3.2 | 4.01 | 222.6 |
| 12h | [3,4]dioxole | N | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | 17.80 | 7.80 | 2.3 | 3.51 | 247.0 |
| 12m | [3,4]dioxole | N | Cyclohexyl | 16.30 | 7.30 | 2.2 | 4.61 | 275.4 |
| 12u | [3,4]dioxole | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 12.60 | 3.90 | 3.2 | 4.57 | 280.9 |
| Donepezil - Aricept ${ }^{\circledR}$ (Fig.1) |  |  |  | 0.03 | 3.60 | 0.009 | 4.60 | 271.0 |
| Galantamine - Reminyl ${ }^{\circledR}$ (Fig. 1) |  |  |  | 3.20 | 12.60 | 0.3 | 1.00 | 179.2 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ The result $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)$ is the mean of two separate experiments $(n=4)$ and the deviation from the mean is $<10 \%$ of the mean value. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{SI}=$ $h \mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50} / \mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50} .{ }^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{ClogP}^{\mathrm{P}}$ was determined using ChemDraw Ultra 12.0. CambridgeSoft Company. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{MV}$ was calculated after a minimization protocol using the molecular properties calculator in the Discovery Studio program from Accelrys Inc (San Diego, CA).

This class of derivatives offered a wide range of anti-ChE activity ranging from 7.70 to $44.10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (AChE) and 1.70 to $>100 \mu \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{BuChE})$ (Table 4). The presence of the smaller, 5-membered pyrrolidine ring (group a, Fig. 11) generally led to non-selective ChE inhibition, with the exception of 10a and 11a whose activity toward BuChE was or near non-existent ( $\mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}>100$ or $71.70 \mu \mathrm{M}$; respectively). Of the 11 derivatives featuring this group at the $\mathrm{C}-2$ position, $\mathbf{2 a}$, with the unsubstituted $\mathrm{C}-4$ benzylamine, was the most potent $\mathrm{AChEI}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=8.70 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ while 11 a , with the tri-OMe-benzylamine $\mathrm{C}-4$, was a close second $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=9.40 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. In contrast, BuChE inhibition was directed by more hydrophobic $\mathrm{C}-4$ substituted benzylamines like 3a $\left(2-\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{IC}_{50}=14.30 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ and $\mathbf{4 a}\left(3-\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{IC}_{50}=12.80 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. Interestingly, the 4-Cl substituted C-4 benzylamine derivative $\left(5 a, \mathrm{IC}_{50}=21.40 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ was $\sim 1.6$-fold less potent compared to its regioisomers. It is also noteworthy that the 4-F substituted $\mathrm{C}-4$ benzylamine derivative $\left(7 \mathbf{a}, \mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=\right.$ $36.00 \mu \mathrm{M})$ exhibited anti-BuChE activity similar to moderately hydrophilic C-4 derivatives (9 and 12a) and was a less potent BuChEI when compared to other halogen-substituted bioisosteres (3-6a).

The effects of increasing the ring size at the C-2 position were investigated by using piperazinebased substituents. Starting with alkyl piperazines, the smaller Me-piperazine substituent (group f, Fig. 11)
generally led to non-selective ChE inhibition, with the exception of the unsubstituted benzylamine derivative ( $\mathbf{2 f}$ ) and the OMe-substituted derivatives $9 \mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{1 1 f}$ whose activities toward BuChE were nonexistent $\left(\mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50} \sim 92\right.$ to $\left.>100 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. The common factor leading to this observation is likely the hydrophilic properties of these derivatives, leading to the suggestion that more hydrophobic substituents are required to target BuChE . In terms of AChE inhibition, the $\mathrm{C}-4$ halogen-based benzylamine derivatives ( $\mathbf{3 f}$ 7f) exhibited activity in the range of $\sim 15$ to $22 \mu \mathrm{M}$, compared to the more hydrophilic C-4 substituted derivatives ( $\mathbf{8 f}$, 9f, 11f and 12f; $\sim 30$ to $45 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Interestingly, the di-OMe-benzylamine derivative ( $\mathbf{1 0 f}$ ) exhibited similar activity to the 4-F substituted C-4 benzylamine derivative (7f, $\left.\mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=21.50 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ and the unsubstituted benzylamine derivative (2f) exhibited intermediate activity $\left(\mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=24.90 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. The bioisosteric Me-piperidine substituent (group g, Fig. 11) showcased a more unanimous inhibitory pattern. Due to its hydrophobic properties, derivatives with that C-2 group tend to be selective BuChEIs as seen with all the derivatives here $(\mathbf{2 g} \mathbf{- 1 0 g}$ and $\mathbf{1 2 g})$ with the exception of $\mathbf{1 1 g}\left(\mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=26.70 \mu \mathrm{M}\right.$, BuChE $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=28.30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) that exhibited a non-selective inhibitory profile. Of those derivatives, $\mathbf{2 g}$ was the most potent $\left(\mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=3.40 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ while the others exhibited anti-BuChE activity within the range of $\sim$ 7 to $14 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and the anti-AChE range $(\sim 10$ to $28 \mu \mathrm{M})$ is not far from that of the Me-piperazine substituent. With the larger/branched $i$ Pr-piperazine (group h, Fig. 11), selective BuChE inhibition was observed with all the derivatives except for $\mathbf{9 h}\left(\mathrm{AChE}_{50}=29.70 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{CC}_{50}=20.70 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$, showing non-selective inhibiton, and $11 \mathrm{~h}\left(\mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=28.40 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{CC}_{50}=80.20 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ exhibiting selective AChEI with near loss of BuChEI. Interestingly, derivative $\mathbf{2 h}$ demonstrated equipotent anti-BuChE activity to $\mathbf{2 g}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=\right.$ $3.40 \mu \mathrm{M})$. The halogen-based derivatives ( $\mathbf{3 h} \mathbf{- 7 h}$ ) were in second place in terms of BuChE inhibition ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ranges from $\sim 5$ to $12 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). With the bioisosteric $i \operatorname{Pr}$-piperidine substituent (group i, Fig. 11), 2i exhibited an $\sim 1.8$-fold increase in AChEI and decrease in $\mathrm{BuChEI}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=14.20\right.$ and $6.50 \mu \mathrm{M}$; respectively). The less sterically hindered, $n \operatorname{Pr}$-piperazine isomer in $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{j}$ exhibited an $\sim 1.6$-fold increase in AChE potency compared to $\mathbf{2 f}$ and $\mathbf{2 h}$; however, it demonstrated weak BuChEI compared to its branched isomer $\left(\mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=15.30\right.$ $\left.\mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=59.90 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. This observation suggests the requirement for hydrophobic and branched/hindered substituents to target BuChE and additional support to that observation is seen with the derivatives featuring the cyclohexylpiperazine C-2 substituent (group m, Fig. 11). Derivative 8m exhibited superior BuChEI (AChE $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=16.80 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{CC}_{50}=1.70 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), while the other derivatives ranged
from $\sim 3$ to $15 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and considering the size and hydrophobic properties of group $\mathbf{m}, \mathrm{AChE}$ activity ranges from $\sim 14$ to $23 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

With alkoxyl piperazines, the lack of steric hindrance and presence of polar moieties led to a complete loss of BuChEI regardless of the substituent (group $\mathbf{k}$ with a terminal OH or $\mathbf{l}$ with a terminal OMe). These derivatives ( $\mathbf{2 k}$ and $\mathbf{2 I}$ ) also exhibited an $\sim 1.7$-fold decrease in AChEI $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50} \sim 26 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ compared to the hydrophobic $n \operatorname{Pr}$ substituent in $\mathbf{2 j}$. A similar pattern was observed with carbonyl-based piperazines where the presence of an Ac-piperazine (group $\mathbf{n}$ ) or a Boc-piperazine (group $\mathbf{0}$ ) substituent at C-2 also led to a complete loss of BuChEI regardless of varying sterics. These derivatives ( $\mathbf{2 n}$ and $\mathbf{2 0}$ ) exhibited better ( $\sim 1.6$-fold) anti-AChE activity $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=16.60\right.$ and $18.80 \mu \mathrm{M}$; respectively) compared to $\mathbf{2 k}$ and 21. The potential in vivo hydrolysis of $\mathbf{2 o}$ to generate $\mathbf{2 p}$ had no significant effect on the anti-ChE profile, which is surprising considering the $28 \%$ reduction in molecular volume and the overall variances in steric and electronic properties.

When a morpholine substituent (group b) is attached at C-2, derivative (2b) demonstrated moderate anti-AChE and weak anti-BuChE activity $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=14.00\right.$ and $\left.68.30 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. Interestingly, the thiomorpholine bioisostere (group c) had the opposite effect suggesting that the small differences between oxygen and sulfur atoms greatly influence anti-ChE activity ( $2 \mathbf{c}, \mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{CC}_{50}=23.20 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{BuChE}^{\mathrm{IC}}{ }_{50}=6.10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). The potential in vivo oxidation of $\mathbf{2 c}$ to generate $\mathbf{2 d}$ (sulfoxide) or $\mathbf{2 e}$ (sulfone) had significant effects on the anti-BuChE profile $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}>100 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$, which correlates to the hypothesis that polar groups are not suitable to target BuChE.

Larger benzylpiperazine substituents (groups q-t) were investigated in an attempt to selectively target BuChE. Those attempts proved successful for derivatives $\mathbf{2 q}-\mathbf{s}$ as they selectively inhibited BuChE ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ranges from $\sim 7$ to $11 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) with moderate anti-AChE activity $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right.$ ranges from $\sim 20$ to $26 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). The trifluoromethyl group in $\mathbf{2 t}$ didn't generate anti-BuChE activity and its $\mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ is inline with its bioisosteric derivatives. These observations denote the role of electronegativity in dictating anti-ChE activity. When the benzylpiperidine pharmacophore of donepezil (group $\mathbf{u}$ ) is incorporated in a diamine template, dual anti-ChE activity is observed which can be attributed to the secondary amine found at C-2 that offers a degree of flexibility not present in derivatives 2q-t. When compared to the unsubstituted benzylamine derivative $\left(\mathbf{2 u}, \mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=12.40 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=8.20 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$, the majority of the
substituted derivatives enhanced BuChE inhibition $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right.$ ranges from $\sim 2$ to $\left.5 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ except for $\mathbf{1 0 u}$ offering non-selective inhibition $\left(\mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=9.90 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=11.40 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ and $\mathbf{1 1 u}$ offering near equipotent activity $\left(\mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=10.40 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=7.70 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. In terms of AChE inhibition, most exhibited slight improvements $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right.$ ranges from $\sim 8$ to $\left.10 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ while $\mathbf{8 u}$ and $\mathbf{1 2 u}$ offered equipotent activities compared to $2 \mathbf{u}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=12.90\right.$ and $12.60 \mu \mathrm{M}$; respectively).

The anti-ChE SAR data for derivatives $\mathbf{2 - 1 2 a}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{m}$ and $\mathbf{u}$ are graphically summarized below (Fig. 24 - Panel A + B) by examining each C-2 group independently and comparing the activity across the $11 \mathrm{C}-4$ groups.



Fig. (24): Graphical summarization of anti-ChE SAR data from the benzylamine and related benzylamine series of derivatives. Panel (A): Anti-AChE; Panel (B): Anti-BuChE

Amongst the derivatives of these series, lead candidates were identified as follows: i) 11a (AChE $\left.\mathrm{IC}_{50}=9.40 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}>100 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ as the most potent, fully selective AChEI ; ii) $7 \mathbf{u}\left(\mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=\right.$ $\left.7.70 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=2.20 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ as the most potent, dual ChEI and iii) $\mathbf{8 m}\left(\mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=16.80 \mu \mathrm{M}\right.$, BuChE $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.70 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) as the most potent, selective BuChEI (Fig. 25).
(11a) - Selective AChEl AChE $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=9.40 \mu \mathrm{M}$ BuChE $\mathrm{IC}_{50}>100 \mu \mathrm{M}$


2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)-N-(3,4,5-trimethoxybenzyl)pyrimidin-4-amine
(7u) - Dual ChEI
AChE $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=7.70 \mu \mathrm{M}$
BuChE $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=2.20 \mu \mathrm{M}$

$N^{2}$-(1-benzylpiperidin-4-yl)- $N^{4}$ -(4-fluorobenzyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine
(8m) - Selective BuChEI AChE $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=16.80 \mu \mathrm{M}$ BuChE $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.70 \mu \mathrm{M}$


2-(4-cyclohexylpiperazin-1-yl)-N-(4-methylbenzyl)pyrimidin-4-amine

Fig. (25): Top candidates from the benzylamine and substituted benzylamine class of derivatives.

### 4.1.1.2. Naphthalen-1-ylmethylamine Series

The anti-ChE values for series $\mathbf{1 3}$ derivatives are expressed as $\mathrm{IC}_{50}{ }^{\text {a }}$, along with the selectivity index $(\mathrm{SI})^{\mathrm{b}}$, partition coefficient $(\mathrm{ClogP})^{\mathrm{c}}$ and molecular volume $\left(\mathrm{M} . \mathrm{V}-\AA^{3}\right)^{\mathrm{d}}$ in Table 5 . These derivatives were compared to the original, unsubstituted benzylamine series of derivatives to assess the impact of this planar, bulkier C-4 group (noted as Cprd).

This class of derivatives offered a wide range of anti-ChE activity ranging from 5.80 to $50.80 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (AChE) and 2.20 to $>100 \mu \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{BuChE})$ (Table 5).

Table (5): $\mathrm{ChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values for derivatives $\mathbf{1 3 a - c}, \mathbf{f} \mathbf{- p}$ along with $\mathrm{SI}, \mathrm{Clog} \mathrm{P}$ and MV values.



| Cpd. | X | R" | ChE IC 50 ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) |  | SI | $\mathbf{C l o g P}$ | MV ( $\AA^{\mathbf{3}}$ ) | Cprd. to 2x |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | AChE | BuChE |  |  |  |  |
| 13a | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 5.80 | 8.90 | 0.7 | 4.14 | 210.6 | $\sqrt{\text { both ChEs }}$ |
| 13b | O | $n / a$ | 14.70 | 28.00 | 0.5 | 3.32 | 218.5 | $\sqrt{\text { BuChE }}$ |
| 13c | S | $n / a$ | 12.80 | 34.70 | 0.4 | 4.15 | 227.4 | $\sqrt{\text { AChE }}$ |
| 13f | N | Me | 17.50 | 2.60 | 6.7 | 3.88 | 229.8 | $\sqrt{\text { both ChEs }}$ |
| 13g | CH | Me | 25.80 | 2.20 | 11.7 | 5.22 | 231.9 | $\checkmark$ BuChE |
| 13h | N | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | 15.80 | 7.60 | 2.1 | 4.70 | 255.1 | $\sqrt{\text { AChE }}$ |
| 13i | CH | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | 16.70 | 9.10 | 1.8 | 6.20 | 257.8 | not imprvt. |
| 13j | N | $n \mathrm{Pr}$ | 19.00 | 18.10 | 1.1 | 4.91 | 256.2 | $\sqrt{\text { BuChE }}$ |
| 13k | N | EtOH | 9.80 | 17.90 | 0.5 | 3.28 | 247.1 | $\sqrt{ }$ both ChEs |
| 131 | N | EtOMe | 11.70 | 26.50 | 0.4 | 4.11 | 260.2 | $\sqrt{ }$ both ChEs |
| 13m | N | Cyclohexyl | 8.00 | 3.90 | 2.1 | 5.76 | 284.0 | $\sqrt{ }$ both ChEs |
| 13n | N | Ac | 13.80 | 32.90 | 0.4 | 2.89 | 244.1 | $\sqrt{ }$ both ChEs |
| 130 | N | Boc | 50.80 | $>100$ | 1 | 5.31 | 292.7 | not imprvt. |
| 13p | N | H | 17.50 | 25.40 | 0.7 | 3.30 | 216.2 | $\sqrt{\text { BuChE }}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Donepezil - Aricept ${ }^{\circledR}$ |  |  | 0.03 | 3.60 | 0.009 | 4.60 | 271.0 | - |
| Galantamine - Reminyl ${ }^{\circledR}$ |  |  | 3.20 | 12.60 | 0.3 | 1.00 | 179.2 | - |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ The result $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)$ is the mean of two separate experiments $(n=4)$ and the deviation from the mean is $<10 \%$ of the mean value. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{SI}=$ $h \mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}{ }_{50} / \mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50} .{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ ClogP was determined using ChemDraw Ultra 12.0. CambridgeSoft Company. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{MV}$ was calculated after a minimization protocol using the molecular properties calculator in the Discovery Studio program from Accelrys Inc (San Diego, CA).

The presence of the smaller, 5 -membered pyrrolidine ring (group a, Fig. 11) led to enhanced dual ChE inhibition, with the slight selectivity toward $\mathrm{AChE}\left(\mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=5.80 \mu \mathrm{M}, \operatorname{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=8.90 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. The unsubstituted, polar 6-membered morpholine and thiomorpholine $\mathrm{C}-2$ rings (group $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{c}$, Fig. 11) exhibited improvements to the anti-BuChE $\left(\mathbf{1 3 b}-\operatorname{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=14.70 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{BuChE}^{\mathrm{IC}} \mathrm{C}_{50}=28.00 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ and anti-AChE $\left(\mathbf{1 3 c}-\operatorname{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=12.80 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=34.70 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ profiles, respectively. The Mepiperazine, along with the piperidine bioisostere, derivatives were identified as near equipotent BuChEIs $\left(\mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=2.60\right.$ and $2.20 \mu \mathrm{M}$; respectively) and $\mathbf{1 3 f}$ also exhibited slight improvements to AChE inhibition $\left(\mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=17.50 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. The $i \operatorname{Pr}$ bioisosteres and the $n \operatorname{Pr}$ isomeric derivatives $(\mathbf{1 3 h}, \mathbf{1 3 i}$ and 13j) exhibited similar anti-AChE activities $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right.$ ranges from $\sim 16$ to $\left.19 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ and BuChE inhibition decreased sequentially from $7.60 \mu \mathrm{M}$ to $18.10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Derivative $\mathbf{1 3 m}$ (with the bulkier cyclohexylpiperazine group) exhibited potent, dual ChE inhibition $\left(A C h E ~ \mathrm{IC}_{50}=8.00 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=3.90 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ surpassing that of $\mathbf{2 m}$. The alkoxyl piperazine derivatives exhibited improvements to the anti-ChE profiles $(\mathbf{1 3} \mathbf{k}-$ AChE $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=9.80 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{BuChE}_{50}=17.90 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $131-\mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=11.70 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=26.50$ $\mu \mathrm{M})$ suggesting a key role for the naphthalen-1-ylmethylamine C-4 group in balancing steric and
electrostatic properties of the less hindered and more polar C-2 groups. With the carbonyl-based piperazines, derivative $\mathbf{1 3 n}$ demonstrated minor improvements to the anti- AChE activity and significant improvements to the anti-BuChE activity $\left(\mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=13.80 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=32.90 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$, while $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ didn't showcase a similar patter $\left(\mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=50.80 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}>100 \mu \mathrm{M}\right.$ ). Derivative 13p (with the free piperazine C-2 group) demonstrated similar anti-AChE activity to $\mathbf{2 p}$ but exhibited significant improvements to the anti-BuChE activity $\left(\mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=17.50 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{BuChE}_{50}=25.40 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$.

Overall, 12 of the 14 derivatives in this series exhibited some form of improvement in anti-ChE activity. Derivative 13a $\left(\mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=5.80 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{BuChE}_{50}=8.90 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ was identified as the most potent AChEI, 13m $\left(\mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=8.00 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=3.90 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ as the most potent dual ChEI and $\mathbf{1 3 g}(\mathrm{AChE}$ $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=25.80 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=2.20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) as the most potent BuChEI (Fig. 26). This suggests that the bulkiness and hydrophobicity of this C-4 group is a key balancing factor and that is observed with both polar and non-polar C-2 groups.
(13a) - Selective AChEl
AChE $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=5.80 \mu \mathrm{M}$
AChE $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=5.80 \mu \mathrm{M}$
BuChE $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=8.90 \mu \mathrm{M}$





$N$-(naphthalen-1-ylmethyl)-2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine
(13m) - Dual ChEl AChE $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=8.00 \mu \mathrm{M}$ BuChE IC $_{50}=3.90 \mu \mathrm{M}$
(13g) - Selective BuChEI AChE $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=25.80 \mu \mathrm{M}$ BuChE $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=2.20 \mu \mathrm{M}$
 amine

Fig. (26): Top candidates from the naphthalen-1ylmethylamine class of derivatives.

### 4.1.1.3. Diphenylmethylamine Series

The anti-ChE values for series 14 derivatives are expressed $\mathrm{IC}_{50}{ }^{\text {a }}$, along with the selectivity index $(\mathrm{SI})^{\mathrm{b}}$, partition coefficient $(\mathrm{ClogP})^{\mathrm{c}}$ and molecular volume $\left(\mathrm{M} . \mathrm{V}-\AA^{3}\right){ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ in Table 6. These derivatives were
also compared to the original, unsubstituted benzylamine series of derivatives to assess the impact of this sterically hindered C-4 group (noted as Cprd).

This class of derivatives offered a wide range of anti-ChE activity ranging from 10.00 to $>100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ $(\mathrm{AChE})$ and 7.60 to $>100 \mu \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{BuChE})$ (Table 5). The Me-piperazine derivative $\left(\mathbf{1 4 f}-\mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=13.70\right.$ $\mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=23.80 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) enhanced BuChE inhibition compared to 2 f but it was also 9 -fold less potent compared to $\mathbf{1 3 f}$. In terms of AChE , it exhibited better activity compared to $\mathbf{2 f}$ and $\mathbf{1 3 f}$. The bioisosteric derivative (14g) exhibited moderate, non-selective ChE inhibition $\left(\mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=32.30 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=\right.$ $33.80 \mu \mathrm{M})$; however, it was far less potent compared to $\mathbf{2 g}$ and $\mathbf{1 3 g}$.

In contrast to the benzylamine and naphthalen-1-ylmethlyamine series, the $i \operatorname{Pr}$ bioisosteric derivatives ( $\mathbf{1 4 h}$ and $\mathbf{1 4 i}$ ) exhibited a significant degree of variance in their anti-ChE activity profiles. Although 14h exhibited selectivity toward $\operatorname{BuChE}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=9.70 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$, it was $\sim 2.9$-fold less potent than $\mathbf{2 h}$ but it also exhibited slight improvements to AChE inhibition $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=20.30 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. On the other hand, $\mathbf{1 4 i}$ exhibited weak anti-AChE activity $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=42.50 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ and a near loss of BuChE inhibition $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=87.00\right.$ $\mu \mathrm{M})$ compared to $\mathbf{2 i}, \mathbf{1 3} \mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{1 4 h}$. Interestingly, $\mathbf{1 4 m}$ offered good, non-selective ChE inhibitory activity similar to that of $\mathbf{1 3 m}\left(\mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=10.00 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{CC}_{50}=7.60 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ and its BuChE activity was near equipotent to that of $\mathbf{2 m}$. A similar pattern emerged with $\mathbf{1 4} \mathbf{j}$ (with the $n \mathrm{Pr}$ isomer) where its AChE inhibitory profile $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=14.60 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ was similar to that of $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{j}$ and the BuChE inhibitory profile $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=\right.$ $17.50 \mu \mathrm{M})$ was close to that of $\mathbf{1 3} \mathbf{j}$. With the alkoxyl piperazines, the derivatives in this series $(\mathbf{1 4} \mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{1 4 I})$ were less potent compared to their C-4 naphthyl analogs but they did improve BuChEI when compared to 2k and 21. With the hydroxyethylpiperazine, derivative $\mathbf{1 4 k}\left(\mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=21.60 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=59.50\right.$ $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) only improved BuChEI compared to $\mathbf{2 k}$ but it was $\sim 3.3$-fold less potent compared to $\mathbf{1 3 k}$. In contrast, the methoxyethylpiperazine derivative $\left(\mathbf{1 4 I}-\mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=39.20 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=28.40 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ exhibited similar anti-BuChE activity similar to that of $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ but it was also the least potent AChEI compared to $\mathbf{2 1}$ and 131. The carbonyl-based and free piperazine group derivatives ( $\mathbf{1 4 n} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{p}$ ) exhibited a complete loss of BuChE inhibition $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}>100 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ and their anti- AChE activities $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=29.00,>100\right.$ and $31.30 \mu \mathrm{M}$; respectively) were also less potent compared to their benzylamine and naphthalen-1-ylmethylamine analogs.

Table (6): $\mathrm{ChE}_{\mathrm{IC}}^{50}$ values for derivatives $\mathbf{1 4 f} \mathbf{- p}$ along with $\mathrm{SI}, \mathrm{Clog} \mathrm{P}$ and MV values.


${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ The result $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)$ is the mean of two separate experiments $(n=4)$ and the deviation from the mean is $<10 \%$ of the mean value. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{SI}=$ $h \mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50} / \mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50} .{ }^{\mathrm{C}}$ ClogP was determined using ChemDraw Ultra 12.0. CambridgeSoft Company. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{MV}$ was calculated after a minimization protocol using the molecular properties calculator in the Discovery Studio program from Accelrys Inc (San Diego, CA).

Overall, 6 of the 11 derivatives here offered moderate anti-ChE activity improvements compared to the unsubstituted benzylamine series. Also, variances were observed when comparing these derivatives with those from the naphthalen-lylmethylamine series, indicating a key role in the steric differences between the linear/planar naphthyl ring system and the bulkier/branched diphenyl ring systems. From this series, derivative $\mathbf{1 4 m}$ was identified as the most potent, dual ChEI with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $10.00 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for AChE and $7.60 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for BuChE (Fig. 28).
$(13 \mathrm{~m})$ - Dual ChEI
AChE $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=10.00 \mu \mathrm{M}$
BuChE $^{2} \mathrm{C}_{50}=7.60 \mu \mathrm{M}$

$N$-benzhydryl-2-(4-cyclohexylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine

Fig. (27): Top candidate from the diphenylmethylamine class of derivatives.

### 4.1.1.4. Phenylethylamine Series

The anti-ChE values for series 15 derivatives are expressed as inhibition concentration $50\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)^{\text {a }}$, along with the selectivity index (SI) ${ }^{b}$, partition coefficient $(\mathrm{Clog} \mathrm{P}){ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ and molecular volume $\left(\mathrm{M} . \mathrm{V}-\AA^{3}\right)^{\mathrm{d}}$ in Table 7. These derivatives were also compared to the unsubstituted benzylamine series of derivatives to assess the impact of the additional methylene $\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right)$ group on the anti- ChE activities

This was the least comprehensive series considering the preliminary results were not promising. Overall, anti-AChE activity $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)$ ranges from 8.80 to $26.40 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and anti- BuChE activity $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)$ ranges from 13.80 to $>100 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The presence of the smaller, 5 -membered pyrrolidine ring (group a, Fig. 11) led to dual ChE inhibition, with the slight selectivity toward $\mathrm{AChE}\left(\mathbf{1 5 a}-\mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=9.80 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=\right.$ $13.80 \mu \mathrm{M})$ and an improvement to BuChE inhibition compared to 2a. The unsubstituted, 6-membered morpholine and thiomorpholine $\mathrm{C}-2$ rings (group $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{c}$, Fig. 11) exhibited no improvements to the antiChE activities (15b $-\operatorname{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=19.70 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}>100 \mu \mathrm{M} ; \mathbf{1 5} \mathbf{c}-\mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=26.40 \mu \mathrm{M}$, BuChE $\left.\mathrm{IC}_{50}>100 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ compared to their benzylamine counterparts. The Me-piperazine derivative ( $\mathbf{1 5 f}$ ) demonstrated slight improvements to the anti-AChE activity profile and a complete loss of BuChE inhibition $\left(\mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=20.40 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{BuChE}^{\mathrm{IC}}{ }_{50}>100 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. The piperidine bioisosteric derivative $(\mathbf{1 5 g})$ was identified as a selective AChEI (with improved activity compared to $\mathbf{2 g}$ ) but suffered ( $\sim 5$-fold) in
terms of BuChE inhibition $\left(\mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=8.80 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=17.70 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. With the Ac-piperazine derivative (15n), a minor decline in the anti-AChE activity was observed with no changes to the antiBuChE activity $\left(\mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=19.90 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}>100 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$.

Overall, this series was not pursued further as the majority of the synthesized derivatives exhibited no BuChE inhibition.

Table (7): ChE $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values for derivatives 15a-c,f-g,n along with SI, ClogP and MV values.



| Derv | X | R" | ChE $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |  | SI | ClogP | $\operatorname{MV}\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | Cprd. to $2 x$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | AChE | BuChE |  |  |  |  |
| 15a | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 9.80 | 13.80 | 0.7 | 3.62 | 190.7 | $\sqrt{\text { BuChE }}$ |
| 15b | O | $n / a$ | 19.70 | > 100 | 1 | 2.79 | 193.8 | $n / a$ |
| 15c | S | $n / a$ | 26.40 | > 100 | 1 | 3.63 | 206.5 | $n / a$ |
| 15f | N | Me | 20.40 | > 100 | 1 | 3.35 | 211.3 | $\sqrt{\text { AChE }}$ |
| 15 g | CH | Me | 8.80 | 17.70 | 0.5 | 4.69 | 214.4 | $\sqrt{\text { AChE }}$ |
| 15n | N | Ac | 19.90 | > 100 | / | 2.37 | 220.9 | $n / a$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Donepezil - Aricept |  |  | 0.03 | 3.60 | 0.009 | 4.60 | 271.0 | - |
| Galantamine - Reminyl ${ }^{\circledR}$ |  |  | 3.20 | 12.60 | 0.3 | 1.00 | 179.2 | - |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ The result $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)$ is the mean of two separate experiments $(n=4)$ and the deviation from the mean is $<10 \%$ of the mean value. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{SI}=$ $h \mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50} / \mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50} .{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ ClogP was determined using ChemDraw Ultra 12.0. CambridgeSoft Company. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{MV}$ was calculated after a minimization protocol using the molecular properties calculator in the Discovery Studio program from Accelrys Inc (San Diego, CA).

### 4.1.1.5. Summary

The anti-ChE SAR studies examined a chemical library comprised of 112 2,4-DPR derivatives with varying steric and electronic properties at both the $\mathrm{C}-4$ and $\mathrm{C}-2$ positions of the pyrimidine ring.

Table (8): Recap chart of the anti-ChE $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values (Red: AChE, Blue: BuChE).
$\mathbf{C h \mathbf { E }}$

Table 8 summarizes all the anti-ChE $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values and the derivatives are graphically classified based on: i)
their anti-ChE profile - selective AChEI (18), selective BuChEI (0), dual ChEI (86), and non-selective
ChEI (18) - in Fig. 28a and ii) their $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ranges in Fig. 28b.


Fig. (28a): Derivative classification based on their anti-ChE activity profile allocated within one of the following categories: selective, non-selective, dual ChEI.


Fig. (28b): Derivative classification based on their $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values allocated within one of the following ranges: 1-15, 16-30, 31-45, 46$>100 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

### 4.1.2. Anti-AChE-induced and Anti-Self-induced $A \beta_{1-40}$ Aggregation

Due to cost constraints, it was not feasible to screen all the derivatives for anti-A $\beta_{1-40}$ aggregation properties. The selection process was dependent on the anti-ChE profiles and preliminary ligand-docking studies in $h$ AChE. The SAR data (\% inhibition) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ of select derivatives is presented collectively in Table $\mathbf{9}$, along with the $\mathrm{SI}^{\mathrm{b}}$ value and mode of ChEI .

Table (9): Anti-A $\beta_{1-40}$ aggregation SAR data for select derivatives presented as $\%$ inhibition at $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$.


| Derv | X | R" | Inhibition of A $\beta_{1-40}$ Aggreg. (\%) |  | SI | Mode of ChEI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | AChE-induced | Self-induced |  |  |
| $2 a^{\text {c }}$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | Not active | $n / d$ | 1 | Dual ChEI |
| $2 \mathrm{c}^{\text {c }}$ | S | $n / a$ | Not active | $n / d$ | 1 | Dual ChEI |
| $2 d^{\text {c }}$ | S | O | $56.00 \pm 6.30$ | $n / d$ | 1 | Selec. AChEI |
| $2 \mathrm{e}^{\text {c }}$ | S | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $44.00 \pm 11.00$ | $n / d$ | 1 | Selec. AChEI |
| $2 f^{\text {c }}$ | N | Me | $59.00 \pm 3.00$ | $n / d$ | 1 | Selec. AChEI |
| $20^{\text {c }}$ | N | Boc | $27.00 \pm 17.00$ | $n / d$ | 1 | Selec. AChEI |
| 3m | N | Cyclohexyl | Not active | $32.00 \pm 3.20$ | 1 | Dual ChEI |
| 3u | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | Not active | $42.20 \pm 4.20$ | 1 | Dual ChEI |
| 4m | N | Cyclohexyl | Not active | $39.30 \pm 3.90$ | 1 | Dual ChEI |
| 4u | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $27.20 \pm 2.70$ | $39.90 \pm 4.00$ | 0.70 | Dual ChEI |
| 5m | N | Cyclohexyl | Not active | $36.30 \pm 3.60$ | 1 | Dual ChEI |
| 5u | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | Not active | $42.60 \pm 4.30$ | 1 | Dual ChEI |
| 6 f | N | Me | Not active | $22.50 \pm 2.30$ | 1 | Dual ChEI |


| Derv | X | R" | Inhibition of A $\beta_{1-40}$ Aggreg. (\%) |  | SI | Mode of ChEI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | AChE-induced | Self-induced |  |  |
| 6m | N | Cyclohexyl | Not active | $35.00 \pm 3.50$ | / | Dual ChEI |
| 6u | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $53.90 \pm 5.40$ | $48.40 \pm 4.80$ | 1.1 | Dual ChEI |
| 7f | N | Me | Not active | $21.00 \pm 2.10$ | / | Dual ChEI |
| 7h | N | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | Not active | Not active | / | Dual ChEI |
| 7m | N | Cyclohexyl | Not active | $35.80 \pm 3.60$ | 1 | Dual ChEI |
| 7u | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $38.10 \pm 3.80$ | $29.80 \pm 3.00$ | 1.3 | Dual ChEI |
| 8 f | N | Me | Not active | $21.60 \pm 2.20$ | 1 | Dual ChEI |
| 8 g | CH | Me | Not active | $24.40 \pm 2.40$ | 1 | Dual ChEI |
| 8m | N | Cyclohexyl | Not active | $33.80 \pm 3.40$ | / | Dual ChEI |
| 8u | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $45.10 \pm 4.50$ | $21.90 \pm 2.20$ | 2.1 | Dual ChEI |
| 9 a | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | Not active | $39.40 \pm 3.90$ | / | Dual ChEI |
| 9g | CH | Me | $20.70 \pm 2.10$ | $32.50 \pm 3.30$ | 0.6 | Dual ChEI |
| 9h | N | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | Not active | Not active | / | Dual ChEI |
| 9m | N | Cyclohexyl | Not active | $38.40 \pm 3.80$ | / | Dual ChEI |
| 9u | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $36.20 \pm 3.60$ | $18.20 \pm 1.80$ | 2.0 | Dual ChEI |
| 10a | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | Not active | $30.80 \pm 3.10$ | / | Dual ChEI |
| 10f | N | Me | Not active | $28.30 \pm 2.80$ | 1 | Selec. AChEI |
| 10 g | CH | Me | Not active | $21.30 \pm 2.10$ | 1 | Dual ChEI |
| 10h | N | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | Not active | Not active | / | Dual ChEI |
| 10m | N | Cyclohexyl | Not active | $31.70 \pm 3.20$ | 1 | Dual ChEI |
| 10u | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $59.30 \pm 5.90$ | $17.40 \pm 1.70$ | 3.4 | Dual ChEI |
| 11a | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $14.90 \pm 1.50$ | $35.40 \pm 3.50$ | 0.4 | Selec. AChEI |
| 11f | N | Me | Not active | $32.60 \pm 3.30$ | 1 | Selec. AChEI |
| 11 g | CH | Me | $22.00 \pm 2.20$ | $37.40 \pm 3.70$ | 0.6 | Dual ChEI |
| 11h | N | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | Not active | $19.60 \pm 2.00$ | 1 | Dual ChEI |
| 11m | N | Cyclohexyl | Not active | $36.40 \pm 3.60$ | 1 | Dual ChEI |
| 11u | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $32.70 \pm 3.30$ | Not active | 1 | Dual ChEI |
| 12h | N | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | Not active | $12.80 \pm 1.30$ | 1 | Dual ChEI |
| 12m | N | Cyclohexyl | Not active | $36.70 \pm 3.70$ | 1 | Dual ChEI |
| 12u | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $50.20 \pm 5.00$ | $18.60 \pm 1.90$ | 2.7 | Dual ChEI |
| $13{ }^{\text {c }}$ | S | $n / a$ | $38.00 \pm 25.00$ | $n / d$ | 1 | Dual ChEI |
| 13f | N | Me | Not active | Not active | 1 | Dual ChEI |
| 13g | CH | Me | Not active | Not active | 1 | Dual ChEI |
| 13h | N | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | $17.10 \pm 1.70$ | $12.10 \pm 1.20$ | 1.4 | Dual ChEI |
| 13i | CH | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | Not active | Not active | / | Dual ChEI |
| 13j | N | $n \mathrm{Pr}$ | $22.00 \pm 2.20$ | Not active | 1 | Non-selec. ChEI |
| 13k | N | EtOH | $13.40 \pm 1.30$ | Not active | 1 | Dual ChEI |
| 131 | N | EtOMe | Not active | Not active | 1 | Dual ChEI |
| 13m | N | Cyclohexyl | $30.80 \pm 3.10$ | Not active | 1 | Dual ChEI |
| 13n | N | Ac | Not active | Not active | 1 | Dual ChEI |
| 130 | N | Boc | $11.10 \pm 1.10$ | Not active | 1 | Selec. AChEI |
| 13p | N | H | Not active | Not active | / | Dual ChEI |
| 14f | N | Me | $24.10 \pm 2.40$ | $24.80 \pm 2.50$ | 1.0 | Dual ChEI |


| Derv | X | R" | Inhibition of A $\beta_{1-40}$ Aggreg. (\%) |  | SI | Mode of ChEI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | AChE-induced | Self-induced |  |  |
| 14 g | CH | Me | $14.80 \pm 1.50$ | $18.90 \pm 1.90$ | 0.8 | Non-selec. ChEI |
| 14h | N | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | $20.80 \pm 2.10$ | $21.80 \pm 2.20$ | 1.4 | Dual ChEI |
| 14i | CH | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | $31.80 \pm 3.20$ | $11.80 \pm 1.20$ | 2.7 | Dual ChEI |
| 14j | N | $n \mathrm{Pr}$ | $23.10 \pm 2.30$ | $18.20 \pm 1.80$ | 1.3 | Non-selec. ChEI |
| 14k | N | EtOH | $18.80 \pm 1.90$ | $15.00 \pm 1.50$ | 1.3 | Selec. AChEI |
| 141 | N | EtOMe | $10.40 \pm 1.00$ | $16.30 \pm 1.60$ | 0.6 | Dual ChEI |
| 14m | N | Cyclohexyl | $32.00 \pm 3.20$ | $27.60 \pm 2.80$ | 1.2 | Dual ChEI |
| 14n | N | Ac | $10.30 \pm 1.00$ | $15.80 \pm 1.60$ | 0.7 | Selec. AChEI |
| 140 | N | Boc | $13.60 \pm 1.40$ | $11.40 \pm 1.10$ | 1.2 | Not active |
| 14p | N | H | Not active | $20.80 \pm 2.10$ | / | Selec. AChEI |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $15 c^{\text {c }}$ | S | $n / a$ | Not active | $n / d$ | 1 | Selec. AChEI |
| 15g | CH | Me | Not active | $26.30 \pm 2.60$ | 1 | Dual ChEI |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Propidium |  |  | $82.00 \pm 3.50$ | $n / d$ | 1 | Not active |
| Donepezil - Aricept ${ }^{\circledR}$ |  |  | $17.00 \pm 8.10$ | $n / d$ | 1 | Potent AChEI |
| Galantamine - Reminyl ${ }^{\circledR}$ |  |  | $n / d$ | 48 * |  | Dual ChEI |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ The result (\% inhibition) is the mean of two separate experiments $(n=4) \pm \mathrm{SD} .{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{SI}=h \mathrm{AChE}$-induced/self-induced. $n / d=$ not determined. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Derivative's anti-A $\beta$ aggregation data obtained from the collaboration with Dr. Yang's group; previously reported [Ref. 121]. * Value retrieved from Ref. 128.

With 2,4-DPR derivatives, anti-A $\beta_{1-40}$ aggregation activity ranged from 0 up to $59 \%$ inhibition for $h \mathrm{AChE}-$ induced aggregation and from 0 up to $48 \%$ inhibition for self-induced aggregation. The benzyl derivative (2a) exhibited good AChE inhibition $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=8.90 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$; however, its smaller size $\left(174.9 \AA^{3}\right)$ could not allow it to span both the CAS and PAS, thus its activity toward anti-hAChE-induced aggregation is non-existent. Similarly, the methoxy-based derivatives (9a-11a) featuring the 5-membered heterocyclic C-2 group were inactive toward $h \mathrm{AChE}$-induced aggregation (with 11a showing very weak activity, $\sim$ $15 \%$ ) but they inhibited self-induced aggregation by $\sim 30-40 \%$. With the thiomorpholine analogs in the benzyl series (2c-e), an interesting pattern emerged, where the oxidation of the sulfur atom enabled derivatives 2d and 2e to exhibit good activity against $h \mathrm{AChE}$-induced aggregation ( $56 \%$ and $44 \%$; respectively) compared to the inactive parent derivative $\mathbf{2 c}$. The phenylethylamine analog (15c) was also inactive toward anti- $h \mathrm{AChE}$-induced aggregation, but the naphthalen-1ylmethylamine (13c) analog exhibited a wide activity range ( $38.00 \% \pm 25.00$ ).

The selective AChEI (2f with the Me-piperazine C-2 group) exhibited superior activity against $h \mathrm{AChE}-$ induced aggregation (59\%) regardless of its molecular volume (199.6 $\AA^{3}$ ). Substituted variations of this derivative (bromo, fluoro, methyl, methoxy, dimethoxy or trimethoxy groups) were all inactive toward
$h \mathrm{AChE}-$ induced aggregation but they exhibited $\sim 21-32 \%$ reduction in self-induced aggregation. Bulkier analogs, like the naphthalen-1ylmethylamine analog (13f) was inactive while the diphenylmethylamine $\operatorname{analog}(\mathbf{1 4 f})$ was $\sim 2.5$-fold less active ( $24 \%$ ). In terms of self-induced aggregation, $\mathbf{1 3 f}$ was also inactive while $\mathbf{1 4 f}$ exhibited comparable activity to $h \mathrm{AChE}$-induced aggregation. The bioisosteric derivative ( $\mathbf{1 3 g}$ ) was inactive on both fronts of $\mathrm{A} \beta_{1-40}$ aggregation, whereas $\mathbf{1 4 g}$ exhibited $\sim 15 \%$ inhibition toward anti$h A C h E-$ induced and $\sim 19 \%$ inhibition toward self-induced aggregation. When coupled to a C-4 methylbenzylamine group, derivative ( $\mathbf{8 g}$ ) exhibited activity toward self-induced aggregation only ( $\sim 24 \%$ ) and with stronger EDGs, the methoxy ( $\mathbf{9 g}$ ) and trimethoxy ( $\mathbf{( 1 1 g}$ ) derivatives were active toward both $h \mathrm{AChE}-\mathrm{induced}$ and self-induced aggregation ( $\sim 22 \%$ and $32-37 \%$; respectively) while the dimethoxy derivative ( $\mathbf{1 0 g}$ ) was only active toward self-induced aggregation ( $\sim 21 \%$ ). The $i \mathrm{Pr}$-piperazine derivatives ( $\mathbf{7 h}, \mathbf{9 h}-\mathbf{1 2 h}$ ) were all inactive toward $h \mathrm{AChE}$-induced aggregation while $\mathbf{1 1 h}$ and $\mathbf{1 2 h}$ exhibited weak inhibition of self-induced aggregation ( $\sim 20 \%$ and $12 \%$; respectively). On the other hand, the bulkier derivatives ( $\mathbf{1 3 h}$ and 14h), were active against both $h \mathrm{AChE}$-induced and self-induced aggregation but $\mathbf{1 4 h}$ exhibited better dual activity ( $17 \%$ and $12 \%, 21 \%$ and $22 \%$; respectively). The bioisosteric derivative ( $\mathbf{1 3 i}$ ) was inactive on both fronts of $\mathrm{A} \beta_{1-40}$ aggregation, whereas $\mathbf{1 4 i}$ exhibited $\sim 32 \%$ inhibition toward $h \mathrm{AChE}-$ induced and $\sim 12 \%$ inhibition toward self-induced aggregation. The $n \mathrm{Pr}$-piperazine derivatives ( $\mathbf{1 3 j}$ and 14j) exhibited comparable $h \mathrm{AChE}$-induced inhibition ( $\sim 22$ to $23 \%$ ); however, the latter also inhibited selfinduced aggregation by $18 \%$. The cyclohexylpiperazine derivatives exhibited an interesting pattern, where the substituted benzylamine derivatives ( $\mathbf{3 m} \mathbf{- 1 2 m}$ ) were all inactive toward anti-hAChE-induced aggregation, while the naphthalen-1ylmethylamine and diphenylmethylamine derivatives ( $\mathbf{1 3 m}$ and $\mathbf{1 4 m}$ ) exhibited comparable anti-hAChE-induced activity ( $\sim 30-32 \%$ ) corresponding to their overlapping binding modes in $h$ AChE. On the other hand, derivatives $\mathbf{3 m} \mathbf{- 1 2 m}$ exhibited better anti-self-induced aggregation activity ( $\sim 32-39 \%$ ) compared to $\mathbf{1 4 m}$ ( $\sim 28 \%$ ).

With the alkoxyl piperazines, derivatives $\mathbf{1 3 k}$ and $\mathbf{1 4 k}$ (with the hydroxyethyl group) exhibited $13 \%$ and $19 \%$ inhibition of $h \mathrm{AChE}$-induced aggregation, while derivatives $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 4 1}$ (with the methoxyethyl group) exhibited $0 \%$ and $10 \%$ inhibition of $h \mathrm{AChE}-$ induced aggregation; respectively. In terms of selfinduced aggregation, $\mathbf{1 4 k}$ and $\mathbf{1 4 I}$ provided $15 \%$ and $16 \%$ inhibition; respectively, while the naphthalen1ylmethylamine analogs ( $\mathbf{1 3 k}$ and 13I) were inactive. With an Ac-piperazine at $\mathrm{C}-2, \mathbf{1 3 n}$ was still inactive
toward both $h \mathrm{AChE}$-induced activity and self-induced aggregation, while $\mathbf{1 4 n}$ exhibited $10 \%$ and $16 \%$ inhibition; respectively. The bulkier C-2 group in 20 (Boc-piperazine) was hypothesized to provide good activity considering the proximiety of the Boc-group to Trp286; however, this derivative exhibited weak to moderate activity against $h \mathrm{AChE}$-induced aggregation (27\%) suggesting other factors in play besides just the proximity to the crucial PAS residue in AChE. The naphthalen-1ylmethylamine and diphenylmethylamine analogs provided weak, equipotent values ( $\sim 11$ to $14 \%$ ) for $h \mathrm{AChE}$-induced aggregation and the latter (140), also exhibited weak self-induced activity (11\%). The hydrolyzed products of 130 and 140 were inactive toward both $h \mathrm{AChE}$ - ( $\mathbf{1 3 p}$ and $\mathbf{1 4 p}$ ) and self-induced (13p) aggregation but $\mathbf{1 4 p}$ exhibited moderate activity against self-induced aggregation (21\%).

All derivatives featuring the donepezil pharmacophore (benzylpiperidine, $\mathbf{3 u} \mathbf{- 1 2 u}$ ) were able to reduce both $h \mathrm{AChE}$-induced (except $\mathbf{3 u}$ and $\mathbf{5 u}$ ) and self-induced aggregation of $A \beta$-peptides. Halogen/EWG-based derivatives exhibited a range of $\sim 21-54 \%$ inhibition of $h \mathrm{AChE}$-induced aggregation, while in self-induced aggregation, a range of $\sim 22-48 \%$ was observed with the bromo bioisostere ( $6 \mathbf{u}$ ) being the most active in both cases. This pattern is likely a result of the electron-withdrawing properties and sterics effects of the bromine atom. With regards to the EDG-based derivatives, anti-self-induced aggregation activity was lower than that observed with the EWG-based derivatives (range from $0-19 \%$ ). With anti-hAChE-induced aggregation, activity ranged from $\sim 33-59 \%$ with the methoxy ( $9 \mathbf{u}$ ) and trimethoxy (11u) derivatives being less active than the similar dimethoxy (10u) and dioxolane (12u) derivatives, which correlates well with the molecular modeling studies.

Overall, it is evident that anti- $\mathrm{A} \beta_{1-40}$ aggregation activity is mediated by the nature of the substituents at both the C-2 and C-4 positions of the 2,4-DPR template (Fig. 29). Electronic and steric properties are important factors along with the derivative's proximity to the PAS of $h \mathrm{AChE}$. In terms of self-induced aggregation, derivatives with the diphenylmethylamine C-4 group exhibited a broad range of activity where the majority of naphthalen-1ylmethylamine derivatives failed to do so. This observation is likely an effect of the aromatic structure at the C-4 position, where the branched diphenyl rings interact with $A \beta_{1-40}$ peptides and prevent them from stacking and aggregating while the planar naphthyl ring can be stacked along the $\beta$-sheet formations (Fig. 30). The roles of EWGs and EDGs in the selectivity toward anti$\mathrm{A} \beta_{1-40}$ aggregation activity was evident in the profiles of derivatives $\mathbf{3 u - 7 u}$ and $\mathbf{8 u - 1 2 u}$.
(6u)
AChE-induced $=53.90 \%$
Self-induced $=48.40 \%$

$N^{2}$-(1-benzylpiperidin-4-yl)- $N^{4}$-(4-bromobenzyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine
(10u)
AChE-induced $=59.30 \%$
Self-induced = 17.40\%

$N^{2}$-(1-benzylpiperidin-4-yl)- $N^{4}$-(3,4-dimethoxybenzyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine
(8u)
AChE-induced $=45.10 \%$
Self-induced $=21.90 \%$

$N^{2}$-(1-benzylpiperidin-4-yl)- $N^{4}$-(4-methylbenzyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine
(12u)
AChE-induced $=50.20 \%$
Self-induced $=18.60 \%$

$N^{4}$-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-ylmethyl)-$N^{2}$-(1-benzylpiperidin-4-yl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine

Fig. (29): Top dual (AChE-induced and self-induced) $A \beta_{1-40}$ aggregation inhibitors.


The planar naphthyl ring may assist in $\beta$-sheet formation


The branched diphenyl rings may hinder $\beta$-sheet formation


Fig. (30): Illustration of how the diphenyl rings in series 14 may hinder the aggregation of $A \beta$-peptides when compared to the stacking ability of the naphthyl ring in series $\mathbf{1 3}$.

### 4.1.3. Anti- $\beta$-Secretase Evaluation

Due to cost constraints, it was not feasible to screen all the derivatives for anti- $\beta$-secretase activity. The selection process was dependent on the anti-ChE profiles, anti-A $\beta$-aggregation data (if applicable) and preliminary ligand-docking studies in $h \mathrm{BACE}-1$. The SAR data $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)^{\text {a }}$ of selective derivatives is presented collectively in Table 10, along with the mode of ChEI and anti-A $\beta$-activity (if applicable).



| Cpd. | X | R" | IC $\left.\mathbf{5 0}^{(\mu \mathrm{M}}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Mode of ChEI | Mode of Anti-A $\beta$-activity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3m | N | Cyclohexyl | 13.30 | Dual ChEI | Selec. self-induced |
| 3u | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 1.70 | Dual ChEI | Selec. self-induced |
| 4m | N | Cyclohexyl | 2.60 | Dual ChEI | Selec. self-induced |
| 4u | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 0.60 | Dual ChEI | Dual hAChE-/self-induced |
| 5m | N | Cyclohexyl | 1.30 | Dual ChEI | Selec. self-induced |
| 5u | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 3.20 | Dual ChEI | Selec. self-induced |
| 6 f | N | Me | 2.80 | Dual ChEI | Selec. self-induced |
| 6m | N | Cyclohexyl | 2.40 | Dual ChEI | Selec. self-induced |
| 6u | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $>50\left(33 \%^{*}\right)$ | Dual ChEI | Dual hAChE-/self-induced |
| 7f | N | Me | 2.60 | Dual ChEI | Selec. self-induced |
| 7h | N | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | 11.70 | Dual ChEI | Not active |
| 7m | N | Cyclohexyl | 12.20 | Dual ChEI | Selec. self-induced |
| 7u | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 0.70 | Dual ChEI | Dual hAChE-/self-induced |
| 8 f | N | Me | 1.50 | Dual ChEI | Selec. self-induced |
| 8 g | CH | Me | 4.10 | Dual ChEI | Selec. self-induced |
| 8m | N | Cyclohexyl | 3.10 | Dual ChEI | Selec. self-induced |
| 8u | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 11.10 | Dual ChEI | Dual $h \mathrm{AChE-} /$ self-induced |
| 9a | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $>50\left(34 \%{ }^{*}\right)$ | Dual ChEI | Selec. self-induced |
| 9 g | CH | Me | 9.20 | Dual ChEI | Dual $h \mathrm{AChE-} /$ self-induced |
| 9h | N | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | 3.40 | Dual ChEI | Not active |
| 9m | N | Cyclohexyl | 2.20 | Dual ChEI | Selec. self-induced |


| Cpd. | X | R" | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M}){ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mode of ChEI | Mode of Anti-A $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-activity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9u | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 0.60 | Dual ChEI | Dual hAChE-/self-induced |
| 10a | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 5.20 | Dual ChEI | Selec. self-induced |
| 10 f | N | Me | 24.50 | Selec. AChEI | Selec. self-induced |
| 10 g | CH | Me | 0.60 | Dual ChEI | Selec. self-induced |
| 10h | N | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | 7.90 | Dual ChEI | Not active |
| 10m | N | Cyclohexyl | 16.90 | Dual ChEI | Selec. self-induced |
| 10u | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $>50\left(34 \%{ }^{*}\right)$ | Dual ChEI | Dual hAChE-/self-induced |
| 11a | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 1.30 | Selec. AChEI | Dual $h$ AChE-/self-induced |
| 11f | N | Me | 1.20 | Selec. AChEI | Selec. self-induced |
| 11g | CH | Me | 2.90 | Dual ChEI | Dual hAChE-/self-induced |
| 11h | N | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | 4.60 | Dual ChEI | Selec. self-induced |
| 11m | N | Cyclohexyl | 5.50 | Dual ChEI | Selec. self-induced |
| 11u | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 8.90 | Dual ChEI | Selec. hAChE-induced |
| 12h | N | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | > $50\left(12 \%{ }^{*}\right)$ | Dual ChEI | Selec. self-induced |
| 12m | N | Cyclohexyl | 0.70 | Dual ChEI | Selec. self-induced |
| 12u | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 1.40 | Dual ChEI | Dual hAChE-/self-induced |
| 15g | CH | Me | 8.10 | Dual ChEI | Selec. self-induced |
|  |  |  | 3.45 | Potent AChEI | - |
| N -Benzyloxycarbonyl-Val-Leu-Leucinal |  |  | 14.00 | - | - |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The results $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)$ are the mean of duplicate readings $(n=2-4)$ with $\mathrm{SD}<10 \% . n / d=$ Not determined. ${ }^{*} \%$ BACE-1 inhibition at the derivative's $\mathrm{ChE} \mathrm{IC} 50(\mu \mathrm{M})$.

With $2,4-$ DPR derivatives, anti- $\beta$-secretase activity was relatively promising as it ranged from 0.60 to 24.50 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ with some exhibiting weak inhibition (>50 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ). The methoxy-based derivatives with a small pyrrolidine C-2 group demonstrated that the number of OMe substituents at the C-4 phenyl was modulating activity in the order of $\mathbf{9 a}<\mathbf{1 0 a}<\mathbf{1 1 a}$. With a C-2 Me-piperazine, halogen-based derivatives (4-bromo and 4-fluorobenzylamine at C-4) exhibited equipotent activity ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values between 2.60 and $2.80 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) making them $\sim 1.3$-fold more potent than donepezil and $\sim 5$-fold more potent than the peptide-like BACE-1 inhibitor II (N-Benzyloxycarbonyl-Val-Leu-Leucinal). With EDGs at C-4, the methyl and tri-OMe derivatives ( $\mathbf{8 f}$ and 11f) exhibited equipotent activity $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50} \sim 1.20-1.50 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$, whereas the di-OMe derivative (10f) was $\sim 16$-fold less potent. With a C-2 Me-piperidine bioisostere, derivatives $\mathbf{8 g}$ and $\mathbf{1 1 g}$ were $\sim 2.5$ 2.7 -fold less potent compared to their piperazine relatives. This pattern was reversed for $\mathbf{1 0 g}$, where the bioisosteric replacement improved BACE-1 inhibition by $\sim 41$-fold $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.60 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ and when compared
to the di-and trimethoxy derivatives, $\mathbf{9 g}$ was the least active with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $9.20 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Similarly, the phenylethylamine derivative also exhibited moderate activity $\left(\mathbf{1 5 g}, \mathrm{IC}_{50}=8.10 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ despite its extended C4 group. With the branched $i$ Pr-piperazine, the methoxy and tri-OMe derivatives ( $\mathbf{9 h}$ and $\mathbf{1 1 h}$ ) exhibited comparable potencies, while the presence of a highly EWG resulted in decrease in activity $\left(\mathbf{7 h}, \mathrm{IC}_{50}=\right.$ $11.70 \mu \mathrm{M})$. Interestingly, the dioxolane derivative was not active $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}>50 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ despite it structural similarity to $\mathbf{1 0 h}$.

The SAR data involving the cyclohexylpiperazine C-2 group revealed an interesting pattern. With Cl-substituted benzylamine derivatives, the placement of the chlorine atom had a dramatic effect on BACE1 inhibition and the order of potency was $p-\mathrm{Cl}>m-\mathrm{Cl}>o-\mathrm{Cl}$. The bromo-substituted derivative was equipotent to the $m-\mathrm{Cl}$ analog $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50} \sim 2-3 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$, while the fluoro-substituted derivative exhibited similar activity to that of the $o-\mathrm{Cl}$ analog $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50} \sim 12-13 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. With EDG-based derivatives, activity ranged from $0.70 \mu \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{1 2 m})$ to $16.90 \mu \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{1 0 m})$ with the methyl, methoxy and tri-OMe derivatives exhibiting low micromolar potency $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50} \sim 2-5 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$.

Derivatives featuring the donepezil pharmacophore (benzylpiperidine, $\mathbf{3 u} \mathbf{- 1 2 u}$ ) exhibited a wide range of inhibition $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50} \sim 0.60\right.$ to $\left.>50 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. The EWG-based derivatives were potent inhibitors $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50} \sim\right.$ $0.60-3.20 \mu \mathrm{M})$ with the exception of the bromo-substituted analog $\left(6 \mathbf{u}, \mathrm{IC}_{50}>50 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. The EDG-based derivatives were moderate inhibitors with the exception of $9 \mathbf{u}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.60 \mu \mathrm{M}\right.$, equipotent to $\left.\mathbf{4 u}\right)$. The second most potent inhibitor within this sub-group was $\mathbf{1 2 u}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.40 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ and the methyl and tri-OMe analogs exhibited similar activities $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=8.90\right.$ and $11.10 \mu \mathrm{M}$; respectively). Surprisingly, the di-OMe analog (10u) was inactive up to $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ while $\mathbf{9 u}, \mathbf{1 1 u}$ and $\mathbf{1 2 u}$ (with the same C-2 pharmacophore) exhibited activity below $12 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

It is noteworthy that the 34 derivatives tested ( $\sim 40 \%$ of the chemical library) generally exhibited potent BACE-1 inhibition with a few exceptions. Derivatives $\mathbf{4 u}, \mathbf{7 u}, \mathbf{9 u}, \mathbf{1 0 g}$ and $\mathbf{1 2 m}$ were indentified as promising compounds as they exhibited potent anti-BACE-1 activity $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.60\right.$ to $\left.0.70 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. The activity profile is sensitive to the nature of the substituents at both the $\mathrm{C}-2$ and $\mathrm{C}-4$ positions of the $2,4-\mathrm{DPR}$ template but the overall design of these derivatives seem to be suitable to target BACE-1. Where AChE and BuChE have U-shaped active sites, BACE-1 has a more linear, cylindrical-type active site, which recognizes the linear and potential V-shaped conformations of 2,4-DPR derivatives.

### 4.1.4. Cell Viability (data presented here is a result of collaborations with other groups)

The results here represent the effects of select derivatives on the cell viability of neuroblastoma cells in the MTT assay. The SAR data (\% cell viability at $40 \mu \mathrm{M})^{\text {a }}$ of select derivatives is presented collectively in Table 11.

Table (11): Percent SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cell viability SAR data for select derivatives presented at $40 \mu \mathrm{M}$.



2, $R_{3}=H$
7, $R_{3}=4-F$
3, $R_{3}=2-\mathrm{Cl}$
8, $R_{3}=4-\mathrm{Me}$
4, $R_{3}=3-\mathrm{Cl}$
9, $\mathrm{R}_{3}=4-\mathrm{OMe}$
5, $\mathrm{R}_{3}=4-\mathrm{Cl}$
10, $\mathrm{R}_{3}=3,4-\mathrm{OMe}$




| Cpd. | X | R" | Cell Viability (\%) | Cpd. | X | R" | Cell Viability (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \mathrm{a}^{\text {b }}$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $101.70 \pm 16.40$ | $7 \mathbf{u}^{\text {c }}$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $8.90 \pm 8.10$ |
| $2 \mathrm{~b}^{\text {b }}$ | O | $n / a$ | $100.40 \pm 6.00$ | $8 \mathrm{~m}^{\text {c }}$ | N | Cyclohexyl | $35.0 \pm 1.00$ |
| $2 \mathrm{c}^{\text {b }}$ | S | $n / a$ | $97.80 \pm 11.50$ | $8 \mathrm{u}^{\text {c }}$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $5.30 \pm 2.60$ |
| $2 \mathrm{~d}^{\text {b }}$ | S | O | $89.70 \pm 11.50$ | $9 \mathrm{~g}^{\text {c }}$ | CH | Me | $8.60 \pm 3.40$ |
| $2 \mathrm{e}^{\text {b }}$ | S | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $91.80 \pm 2.20$ | $9 \mathrm{~m}^{\text {c }}$ | N | Cyclohexyl | $53.40 \pm 7.70$ |
| $2 \mathrm{f}^{\text {b }}$ | N | Me | $98.30 \pm 10.50$ | $9 \mathbf{u}^{\text {c }}$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $21.40 \pm 18.00$ |
| $2 \mathrm{~g}^{\text {b }}$ | CH | Me | $89.50 \pm 6.60$ | $10 \mathrm{f}^{\text {c }}$ | N | Me | $60.30 \pm 0.40$ |
| $2 \mathrm{~h}^{\text {b }}$ | N | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | $81.00 \pm 10.30$ | $10 \mathrm{~g}^{\text {c }}$ | CH | Me | $59.50 \pm 9.00$ |
| $2 \mathrm{i}^{\text {b }}$ | CH | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | $45.20 \pm 4.30$ | $10{ }^{\text {c }}$ | N | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | $61.90 \pm 6.30$ |
| $2 \mathrm{j}^{\text {b }}$ | N | $n \mathrm{Pr}$ | $87.90 \pm 4.70$ | $10 \mathrm{~m}^{\text {c }}$ | N | Cyclohexyl | $67.10 \pm 16.40$ |
| $2 \mathrm{k}^{\text {b }}$ | N | EtOH | $81.20 \pm 8.90$ | $10 u^{\text {c }}$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $81.00 \pm 7.20$ |
| $21^{\text {b }}$ | N | EtOMe | $78.60 \pm 6.70$ | $11{ }^{\text {c }}$ | N | Me | $60.50 \pm 4.70$ |
| $2 \mathrm{n}^{\text {b }}$ | N | Ac | $109.10 \pm 4.60$ | $11 \mathrm{~g}^{\text {c }}$ | CH | Me | $69.10 \pm 10.00$ |
| $2{ }^{\text {b }}$ | N | Boc | $107.90 \pm 0.50$ | $11 \mathrm{~m}^{\text {c }}$ | N | Cyclohexyl | $54.60 \pm 7.20$ |
| $2 q^{\text {b }}$ | N | $p-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Bn}$ | $74.90 \pm 4.00$ | $11 \mathrm{u}^{\text {c }}$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $36.90 \pm 5.50$ |
| $2 \mathrm{r}^{\text {b }}$ | N | $p-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Bn}$ | $67.50 \pm 3.40$ | $12 \mathrm{~g}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | CH | Me | $42.00 \pm 6.10$ |
| $2 \mathrm{~s}^{\text {b }}$ | N | $p$-F-Bn | $39.10 \pm 4.20$ | $12 \mathrm{~h}^{\text {c }}$ | N | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | $54.70 \pm 6.50$ |
| $2 \mathrm{t}^{\text {b }}$ | N | $p-\mathrm{CF}_{3}-\mathrm{Bn}$ | $-0.90 \pm 7.40$ | 12m ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | N | Cyclohexyl | $51.40 \pm 8.60$ |


| Cpd. | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{R} \boldsymbol{} \quad$ | Cell Viability (\%) | Cpd. | $\mathbf{X}$ | R" | Cell Viability (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 u}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $44.20 \pm 14.80$ | $\mathbf{1 2 u}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $n / a$ |  | $45.80 \pm 20.20$ |
| $\mathbf{3 m}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | N | Cyclohexyl | $36.50 \pm 4.70$ | $\mathbf{1 3 b}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | O | $n / a$ | $95.00 \pm 5.00$ |
| $\mathbf{3 u}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $0.60 \pm 0.30$ | $\mathbf{1 3 c}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | S | $n / a$ | $89.30 \pm 2.90$ |
| $\mathbf{4 f}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | N | Me | $72.00 \pm 0.90$ | $\mathbf{1 3 h}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | N | $i \operatorname{Pr}$ | $70.80 \pm 2.60$ |
| $\mathbf{4 u}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $1.40 \pm 1.00$ | $\mathbf{1 3 j}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | N | $n \operatorname{Pr}$ | $85.30 \pm 17.90$ |
| $\mathbf{5 g}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | CH | Me | $0.20 \pm 0.01$ | $\mathbf{1 3 m}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | N | Cyclohexyl | $30.80 \pm 1.80$ |
| $\mathbf{5 u}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $1.50 \pm 0.60$ | $\mathbf{1 4 h}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | N | $i \operatorname{Pr}$ | $93.20 \pm 6.30$ |
| $\mathbf{6 g}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | CH | Me | $0.50 \pm 0.20$ | $\mathbf{1 4 i}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | CH | $n \operatorname{Pr}$ | $2.00 \pm 0.50$ |
| $\mathbf{6 h}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | N | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | $67.40 \pm 8.20$ | $\mathbf{1 4 m}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | N | Cyclohexyl | $29.00 \pm 5.30$ |
| $\mathbf{6 u}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $1.30 \pm 0.30$ | $\mathbf{1 5 b}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | O | $n / a$ | $90.20 \pm 10.80$ |
| $\mathbf{7 f}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | N | Me | $63.00 \pm 6.70$ | $\mathbf{1 5 c}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | S | $n / a$ | $116.10 \pm 4.60$ |
| $\mathbf{7 m}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | N | Cyclohexyl | $45.40 \pm 7.60$ | $\mathbf{1 5 n}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | N | Ac | $82.60 \pm 6.70$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The results (\% cell viability) are the mean of at least two separate experiments $(n=4) \pm$ SD. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Derivative's cell viability data obtained from the collaboration with Dr. Yang's group. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Derivative's cell viability data obtained from the collaboration with Dr. Beazely's group.

Through the efforts of the collaborating groups, cell viability profiles were obtained for 60 of the 112 derivatives ( $\sim 54 \%$ screened) and the results covered the entire spectrum ranging from 0 up to $100 \%$ cell viability. About $40 \%$ of the tested derivatives did not exhibit significant toxicity effects on neuroblastoma cell function ( 24 showed $>70 \%$ cell viability at $40 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ); however, an equal number were significantly harmful to the cells ( 23 showed $<50 \%+$ cell viability at $40 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). The observations presented here suggest that the impact of $2,4-$ DPR derivatives on cell viability is dependent on the nature of the substituents at the C-2 and C-4 positions (ranges from 0 to $100 \%$ ) and that Clog P values alone could not be used to predict cell viability. The tendency is for hydrophobic compounds to be more toxic compared to their more hydrophilic relatives/bioisosteres and this pattern is observed when comparing derivatives with a Me-piperazine or $i \operatorname{Pr}$-piperazine C-2 group (f and $\mathbf{h}$ ) with their respective bioisosteric relatives with a Mepiperidine or $i$ Pr-piperidine C-2 group (g and $\mathbf{i}$ ).

### 4.2. Molecular modeling studies

Computational chemistry plays an important role in understanding a derivative's biological profile and corroborating the acquired SAR. The proceeding sub-sections describe the binding modes of the lead cholinesterase and $\beta$-secretase inhibitors within their respective enzymes. The docking images were oriented to correspond to those in Section 1.2.2 and 1.3.3 and only the key residues within the active site
are highlighted. Hydrogen atoms were also removed to improve clarity and hydrogen-bonding interactions are depicted in solid green lines.

### 4.2.1. Lead acetylcholinesterase inhibitor

■ $N$-(Naphthalen-1-ylmethyl)-2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine
Designation: 13a
Cholinesterase Profile: $\mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=5.50 \mu \mathrm{M}$, BuChE $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=8.90 \mu \mathrm{M}$; S.I $=0.62$
Amyloid Profile: $\beta$-secretase $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=n / d$; $\mathrm{A}_{1-40}$-aggreg. $(\%)=n / d$
SH-SY5Y Neuroblastoma Cell Viability (\% at $40 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ): $n / d$


Other Aspects: $\mathrm{MW}=304.39 \mathrm{gmol}^{-1} ; \mathrm{MV}=210.60 \AA^{3} ; \mathrm{ClogP}=4.14$


Fig. (31): Docking of $N$-(Naphthalen-1-ylmethyl)-2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (13a, ball and stick) in the active site of $h \mathrm{AChE}$. Green lines represent hydrogen bonding (distance $<3.5 \AA$ ). Hydrogen atoms are not shown for clarity. Green: CT; Red: Acyl pocket; Blue: Hydrophobic stabilizing residues; Yellow: gorge entry (PAS - AChE); Turquoise: hydrogen bonding residues.

The binding mode of 13a in $h \mathrm{AChE}$ (PDB: 1B41) (Fig. 31) indicated that the 2,4-DPR template was located midway through the active site gorge ( $\sim 6 \AA$ away from the catalytic triad His 447 residue at the bottom of the active site and $\sim 7 \AA$ away from the PAS Trp286). The ring was also equidistantly suspended between Tyr124 and Tyr337 allowing for two hydrogen bonding interactions between the tyrosine hydroxyl groups and the C-4 NH along with two additional interactions between the tyrosine hydroxyl groups and the pyrimidine N-3 (distances $<3.5 \AA$ ). Hydrophobic interactions with a nearby glycine pocket (Gly120122; $\sim 5 \AA$ away) assisted with template orientation. The naphthyl ring was tightly stacked between the aromatic segments of Tyr337 and Trp86 (distance $\sim 3.5 \AA$ ) and the C-3/C-4 carbons of the naphthyl ring were in close proximity to His447 (distances 3.5-4 $\AA$ ). The 5 -membered pyrrolidine substituent was oriented toward an aromatic region close to the PAS and was $\sim 4.5 \AA$ away from $\operatorname{Trp} 286$ and $\sim 3.5 \AA$ from Tyr341. It is noteworthy that although the catalytic site is relatively exposed in this binding pattern (e.g. no close interactions with Ser203), the placement of the bulky, planar naphthyl ring at the C-4 position most likely attributed to this derivative's potency considering its close proximity to $\operatorname{Trp86}$ (a key element in stabilizing ACh binding).

### 4.2.2. Lead butyrylcholinesterase inhibitor

■ 2-(4-cyclohexylpiperazin-1-yl)-N-(4-methylbenzyl)pyrimidin-4-amine

## Designation: 8m

Cholinesterase Profile: $\mathrm{AChE}_{50}=16.80 \mu \mathrm{M}$, $\mathrm{BuChE}_{50} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.70 \mu \mathrm{M}$; $\mathrm{S} . \mathrm{I}=0.62$

Amyloid Profile: $\beta$-secretase $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=3.10 \mu \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{A} \beta_{1-40}$-aggreg. (\%) $=$ Not active ( $h \mathrm{AChE}$-induced); 33.80 (self-induced)

SH-SY5Y Neuroblastoma Cell Viability (\% at $40 \mu \mathrm{M}): 35.00$
Other Aspects: $\mathrm{MW}=365.52 \mathrm{gmol}^{-1} ; \mathrm{MV}=264.10 \AA^{3} ; \mathrm{Clog} \mathrm{P}=5.03$


The binding mode of $\mathbf{8 m}$ in $h \mathrm{BuChE}$ (PDB: 1P0I) (Fig. 32) indicated that the 2,4-DPR template was immersed closer to the active site ( $\sim 7.5 \AA$ away from the catalytic triad His 438 residue at the bottom of the active site and $\sim 17 \AA$ away from the entry residue, Ala277). The ring stacked between $\operatorname{Trp} 82(\sim 4.5 \AA$
away) and the tri-glycine pocket comprised of Gly115-117 ( $\sim 3.8 \AA$ away). The 4-methylphenyl ring at C-4 is perpendicularly stacked atop His438 (distance $\sim 4.5 \AA$ ) with the methyl group pointed at Ala328, Met437 and Trp430 (distances $\sim 3.8,4.2$ and $4.8 \AA$; respectively). The only hydrogen bonding interaction observed in this binding mode is that of the C-4 NH with the side chain of Glu197 (distance $=3.3 \AA$ ). Interestingly, the entire C-4 group (4-methylbenzylamine) and the 2,4-DPR template form a V-shaped conformation over Trp82, which is likely to hinder any interaction with ACh. The bulky and sterically demanding cyclohexylpiperazine $\mathrm{C}-2$ substituent was oriented toward the entry site of BuChE and it exhibited an interesting Z-shaped conformation. The piperazine ring runs parallel along side a Thr 120 residue (distance $\sim 3-4 \AA$ ), while the cyclohexyl ring is hydrophobically interacting with Tyr332 and Asp70 (distances ~4-5 $\AA$ ) and is $\sim 10 \AA$ away from Ala277. Although this binding mode doesn't offer much in terms of hydrogen bonding interactions, its orientation and proximity to the active site allowed for a great deal of hydrophobic interactions at either side of the ligand. The sterics and interactions offered by the C-2 cyclohexylpiperazine group and the V-shaped conformation atop Trp82 likely attributed to this derivative's potent anti-BuChE profile.


Fig. (32): Docking of 2-(4-cyclohexylpiperazin-1-yl)-N-(4-methylbenzyl)pyrimidin-4-amine ( $\mathbf{8 m}$, ball and stick) in the active site of $h \mathrm{BuChE}$. Green lines represent hydrogen bonding (distance $<3.5 \AA$ ). Hydrogen atoms are not shown for clarity. Green: CT; Red: Acyl pocket; Blue: Hydrophobic stabilizing residues; Yellow: gorge entry; Turquoise: hydrogen bonding residues.

### 4.2.3. Lead dual cholinesterase inhibitor

■ $N^{2}$-(1-Benzylpiperidin-4-yl)- $N^{4}$-(4-fluorobenzyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine

## Designation: 7u

Cholinesterase Profile: $\mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=7.70 \mu \mathrm{M}$, $\mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=2.20 \mu \mathrm{M}$; S.I $=$ 3.50

Amyloid Profile: $\beta$-secretase $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.70 \mu \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{A} \beta_{1-40}$-aggreg. $(\%)=38.10$
(hAChE-induced); 29.80 (self-induced)


SH-SY5Y Neuroblastoma Cell Viability (\% at $40 \mu \mathrm{M}): 8.90$
Other Aspects: $\mathrm{MW}=391.48 \mathrm{gmol}^{-1} ; \mathrm{MV}=263.10 \AA^{3} ; \mathrm{Clog} \mathrm{P}=4.75$


Fig. (33): Docking of $N^{2}$-(1-Benzylpiperidin-4-yl)- $N^{4}$-(4-fluorobenzyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine (7u, ball and stick) in the active site of $h \mathrm{AChE}$. Green lines represent hydrogen bonding (distance $<3.5 \AA$ ). Hydrogen atoms are not shown for clarity. Green: CT; Red: Acyl pocket; Blue: Hydrophobic stabilizing residues; Yellow: gorge entry; Turquoise: hydrogen bonding residues.

The binding mode of $7 \mathbf{u}$ in $h \mathrm{AChE}$ (PDB: 1B41) (Fig. 33) indicated that the 2,4-DPR template was located midway through the active site gorge ( $\sim 6.5 \AA$ away from the catalytic triad His 447 residue at the bottom of the active site and $\sim 7 \AA$ away from the PAS Trp286). The ring was also equidistantly suspended between Tyr124 and Tyr337 allowing for six hydrogen bonding interactions between the tyrosine hydroxyl groups and the C-2 NH and pyrimidine N-3 (3 hydrogen bonding interactions per Tyr residue; distances < $3.5 \AA$ ). Hydrophobic interactions with a nearby glycine pocket (Gly121 and 122; ~ $5 \AA$ away) assisted with template orientation and C-5/6 of the ring are in close proximity to the catalytic residues ( $\sim 4-6 \AA$ ). The entire C-4 group (4-fluorobenzylamine) was arched at a $90^{\circ}$ angle off of the ligand's linear conformation to orient the fluorine atom in a polar pocket that allowed for two hydrogen bonding interactions to take place (Asp74 and Asn87 involved; distance $\sim 3.5 \AA$ ). This arch conformation was supported by Trp86 that held the C-4 phenyl ring in place (distance $\sim 4 \AA$ ). The donepezil pharmacophore (benzylpiperidine) was extended linearly from the C-2 amine group and was nestled by a large aromatic pocket comprised of Trp286, Tyr341 and Tyr337. Interestingly, the benzyl component of the pharmacophore was positioned at the posterior face of Trp286 and this prevents the C-2 group from fully interacting with the PAS. Overall, the ligand mainly exhibited a linear conformation spanning the CAS and PAS simultaneously. The arching conformation observed with the C-4 group was interesting as it denotes the unique properties offered by the small, yet highly electronegative halogen, fluorine.

On the other hand, the binding mode of $\mathbf{7 u}$ in $h \mathrm{BuChE}$ (PDB: 1P0I) (Fig. 34) indicated that the 2,4DPR template was located almost midway through the active site gorge ( $\sim 10 \AA$ away from the catalytic triad His 447 residue at the bottom of the active site and $\sim 12 \AA$ away from the entry site residue, Ala277). The ring was also stacked against Asp70 (distances $\sim 4-5 \AA$ ) and perpendicularly suspended between Thr120 and Tyr332 (equidistant at $\sim 4.5 \AA$ ). Similar to its $h$ AChE binding mode, the entire C-4 group (4fluorobenzylamine) was arched at a $90^{\circ}$ angle off of the template's linear conformation to orient the fluorine atom toward His438 (hydrogen bonding interaction; distance $\sim 3.5 \AA$ ) and Ser198. This arch conformation was supported by perpendicular stacking of the C-4 phenyl ring between Trp82 and Phe329 (distance $\sim 4-6 \AA$ ). The donepezil pharmacophore (benzylpiperidine) was also arched at a $90^{\circ}$ angle off of the template's linear conformation to run parallel to the orientation of the C-4 group. This placed the entire C-2 group in a hydrophobic region running along side Pro285 and the enzyme's acyl pocket (Leu286,

Ser287, Val288; distances $\sim 4-5 \AA$ ) with the phenyl ring $\sim 4 \AA$ away from Trp231 and Phe398. Despite the fact that the ligand was oriented in an inverse U-shaped conformation, which is considered unfavourable, it was able to interact with various key residues and exhibit a good anti-BuChE profile.


Fig. (34): Docking of $N^{2}$-(1-Benzylpiperidin-4-yl)- $N^{4}$-(4-fluorobenzyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine (7u, ball and stick) in the active site of $h \mathrm{BuChE}$. Green lines represent hydrogen bonding (distance $<3.5 \AA$ ). Hydrogen atoms are not shown for clarity. Green: CT; Red: Acyl pocket; Blue: Hydrophobic stabilizing residues; Yellow: gorge entry; Turquoise: hydrogen bonding residues.

### 4.2.4. Lead $\beta$-secretase inhibitor

■ $N^{2}$-(1-benzylpiperidin-4-yl)- $N^{4}$-(4-chlorobenzyl)pyrimidin-2,4-diamine
Designation: $\mathbf{4 u}$
Cholinesterase Profile: $\mathrm{AChE}_{\mathrm{IC}}^{50} 107.70 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=2.50 \mu \mathrm{M}$; S.I $=3.10$
Amyloid Profile: $\beta$-secretase $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.60 \mu \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{A} \beta_{1-40}$-aggreg. $(\%)=27.70$
(hAChE-induced); 39.90 (self-induced)
SH-SY5Y Neuroblastoma Cell Viability (\% at $40 \mu \mathrm{M}): 1.40$



Fig. (35): Docking of $N^{2}$-(1-benzylpiperidin-4-yl)- $N^{4}$-(4-chlorobenzyl)pyrimidin-2,4-diamine ( $4 \mathbf{u}$, ball and stick) in the active site of $h$ BACE-1. Green lines represent hydrogen bonding (distance $<3.5 \AA$ ). Hydrogen atoms are not shown for clarity. Green: Catalytic site; Red: Flap; Purple, grey and Blue: Some hydrophobic and hydrophilic residues of the various sub-site pockets in BACE-1; Yellow: Other key residues - part of the hydrogen-bond network; Turquoise: hydrogen bonding residues.

The binding mode of $\mathbf{4 u}$ in $h$ BACE-1 (PDB: 1FKN) (Fig. 35) indicated that the 2,4-DPR template was perpendicularly stacked between the active site Asp228 residue and Thr72 (distance ~ 3.5-5 $\AA$ ) allowing for a hydrogen-bonding interaction between the pyrimidine $\mathrm{N}-1$ and the Thr 231 OH (distance $<$ $2.5 \AA$ ). The 4-chlorobenzylamine substituent at the C-4 position was oriented toward a relatively hydrophobic pocket comprised of Val69, Pro70, Arg128, Tyr198 and Ile126 (distance $\sim 6.5 \AA$ ) allowing for a strong hydrogen-bonding interaction between the $\mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{NH}$ and the backbone $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of Gly34 (distance $=$ $2.7 \AA$ ). The benzylpiperidine substituent at C-2 exhibited a V-shaped conformation as a result of its interactions within a hydrophobic region comprised of Tyr71, Phe108, Ile110 and Trp115 (distances $\sim 6$ $\AA$ ). This conformation however, allowed for C-2 NH to undergo a strong hydrogen-bonding interaction with the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of Gly 230 (distance $<2.3 \AA$ ). Overall this derivative exhibited an S -shaped binding mode in BACE-1; the balance of hydrogen-bonding and hydrophobic interactions at both the $\mathrm{C}-2$ and $\mathrm{C}-4$ positions allowed this derivative to exhibit potent BACE-1 inhibition.

### 4.2.5. Key Superimpositions

Investigating the binding modes of related ligands by superimposition of docked structures (SODS) is a fast and convenient method to correlate their binding modes with the biological profiles.

Fig. (36) $-h$ AChE SODS of:
■ 2-(4-Cyclohexylpiperazin-1-yl)- $N$-(naphthalen-1-ylmethyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (13m):
AChE $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=8.70 \mu \mathrm{M}$
■ $N$-Benzhydryl-2-(4-cyclohexylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (14m):
$\mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=10.00 \mu \mathrm{M}$

The SODS of $\mathbf{1 3 m}$ and $\mathbf{1 4 m}$ elegantly corroborates the biological profiles of these derivatives in terms of their similar activity against $h \mathrm{AChE}(8.70$ and $10.00 \mu \mathrm{M})$ and $h \mathrm{AChE}$-induced aggregation of $\mathrm{A} \beta_{1-40}$ peptide $(\sim 32 \%$ at $100 \mu \mathrm{M})$.


Fig. (36): SODS of $\mathbf{1 3 m}$ (turquoise, ball and stick) and $\mathbf{1 4 m}$ (fuchsia, ball and stick) in the active site of $h \mathrm{BuChE}$. Hydrogen atoms are not shown for clarity. Green: CT; Red: Acyl pocket; Blue: Hydrophobic stabilizing residues; Yellow: gorge entry.

Fig. (37) $-h$ AChE SODS of:
■ $N^{2}$-(1-Benzylpiperidin-4-yl)- $N^{4}$-(4-methoxybenzyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine ( $\mathbf{9} \mathbf{u}$ ):
$\mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=9.40 \mu \mathrm{M}$
■ $N^{2}$-(1-Benzylpiperidin-4-yl)- $N^{4}$-(3,4-dimethoxybenzyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine (10u):
$\mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=9.90 \mu \mathrm{M}$
■ $N^{2}$-(1-Benzylpiperidin-4-yl)- $N^{4}$-(3,4,5-trimethoxybenzyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine (11u):
$\mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=10.30 \mu \mathrm{M}$
With donepezil for comparative purposes

The SODS of $\mathbf{9 - 1 1 u}$ along with donepezil show how the $2,4-$ DPR derivatives extend further toward the PAS compared to donepezil correlating with the enhanced inhibition of $h \mathrm{AChE}$-induced aggregation of $\mathrm{A} \beta_{1-40}$ peptide. Interestingly, $\mathbf{1 0 u}$ was completely blocking access to $\operatorname{Trp} 286$ compared to all the other
ligands shown. At the active site, the donepezil and 2,4-DPR derivative benzylpiperidine pharmacophores are all oriented toward Trp86 at varying angles.


Fig. (37): SODS of $\mathbf{9 u}$ (turquoise, ball and stick), $\mathbf{1 0 u}$ (fuchsia, ball and stick) and $\mathbf{1 2 u}$ (orange, ball and stick) in the active site of $h \mathrm{AChE}$. Donepezil (black, stick) is shown for comparison. Hydrogen atoms are not shown for clarity. Green: CT; Red: Acyl pocket; Blue: Hydrophobic stabilizing residues; Yellow: gorge entry.

Fig. (38) $-h$ BuChE SODS of:
■ 2-(4-Methylpiperazin-1-yl)- $N$-(naphthalen-1-ylmethyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (13f):
$\mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=2.60 \mu \mathrm{M}$
■ 2-(4-Methylpiperidin-1-yl)-N-(naphthalen-1-ylmethyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (13g):
$\mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=2.20 \mu \mathrm{M}$
■ $N$-Benzyl-2-(4-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (2g):
BuChE $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=3.40 \mu \mathrm{M}$

The SODS of $\mathbf{2 g}, \mathbf{1 3 f}$ and $\mathbf{1 3 g}$ corroborated the very similar anti-BuChE activities of these derivatives, regardless of the bioisosteric variances at either or both of the C-2 and C-4 positions. By interacting with Trp82 and the acyl pocket residues, these derivatives were able to provide potent BuChEI $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}<4 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$.


Fig. (38): SODS of $\mathbf{2 g}$ (grey, ball and stick), $\mathbf{1 3 f}$ (fuchsia, ball and stick) and $\mathbf{1 3 g}$ (turquoise, ball and stick) in the active site of $h$ BuChE. Hydrogen atoms are not shown for clarity. Green: CT; Red: Acyl pocket; Blue: Hydrophobic stabilizing residues; Yellow: gorge entry.

Fig. (39) $-h$ BuChE SODS of:
■ $N$-(2-Chlorobenzyl)-2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (3h):
$\mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=4.70 \mu \mathrm{M}$
■ $N$-(3-Chlorobenzyl)-2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (4h):
$\mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=5.90 \mu \mathrm{M}$
■ $N$-(4-Chlorobenzyl)-2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (5h):
BuChE $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=6.70 \mu \mathrm{M}$

The SODS of $\mathbf{3 - 5}$ corroborated the very similar anti-BuChE activities of these derivatives, regardless of the bioisosteric placement of the chlorine atom (2-, 3- or 4-position; respectively) on the C-4 benzylamine group. Interestingly, $\mathbf{3 h}$ and $\mathbf{4 h}$ were overlapping for most of their binding conformations (C4 groups ran along side the acyl pocket), but $\mathbf{5 h}$ was rotated $180^{\circ}$ along the $x$-axis (C-4 group ran between Trp82 and Phe329).


Fig. (39): SODS of 3-5h (fuchsia, turquoise and grey, ball and stick; respectively) in the active site of $h$ BuChE. Hydrogen atoms are not shown for clarity. Green: CT; Red: Acyl pocket; Blue: Hydrophobic stabilizing residues; Yellow: gorge entry.

Fig. (40) - $h$ BACE-1 SODS of:
■ $N$-(2-Chlorobenzyl)-2-(4-cyclohexylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (3m):
$h$ BACE- $1 \mathrm{IC}_{50}=13.30 \mu \mathrm{M}$
■ $N$-(3-Chlorobenzyl)-2-(4-cyclohexylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine ( $\mathbf{4 m}$ ):
$h$ BACE-1 $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=2.60 \mu \mathrm{M}$
■ $N$-(4-Chlorobenzyl)-2-(4-cyclohexylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine ( $\mathbf{5 m}$ ):
$h$ BACE-1 $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.30 \mu \mathrm{M}$

The SODS of $\mathbf{3 - 5 m}$ corroborated the anti-BACE-1 inhibitory pattern of these derivatives, where the placement of the chlorine atom (2-, 3- or 4-position; respectively) impacted BACE-1 inhibition drastically. Interestingly, $\mathbf{3 m}$ and $\mathbf{4 m}$ had similar binding modes and orientation while $\mathbf{5 m}$ was oriented in an opposite fashion with its C-4 group near the enzyme's mouth, allowing for the pyrimidine ring to lie suspended over the active site aspartic acid residues.


Fig. (40): SODS of 3-5m (turquoise, fuchsia and orange, ball and stick; respectively) in the active site of $h$ BACE-1. Hydrogen atoms are not shown for clarity. Green: Catalytic site; Red: Flap; Purple, turquoise and Blue: Some hydrophobic and hydrophilic residues of the various sub-site pockets in BACE-1; Yellow: Other key residues - part of the hydrogen-bond network.

## CHAPTER V

## - Conclusion and Future Outlook •

Over the course of the research program, a chemical library of 112 derivatives (series $2-12$ ) based on a $2,4-$ DPR template were designed and synthesized using simple and efficient synthetic methods (2 or 3 step reactions) and screened against multiple pathways of AD ( $\mathrm{AChE}, \mathrm{BuChE}, \mathrm{AChE}$-induced $\mathrm{A} \beta_{1-40^{-}}$ aggregation, self-induced $A \beta_{1-40}$-aggregation and $\beta$-secretase).

The design aspect of the project relied on the review of recent literature and preliminary molecular modeling studies to assess the potential of a $2,4-\mathrm{DPR}$ template. The synthetic methods utilized (N.A.S, oxidation and hydrolysis) were successful and provided yields ranging from 45-90\%. Biological screening was accomplished using previously established methods or commercially available assay kits to obtain SAR data. Molecular modeling studies were conducted to investigate the docking of lead derivatives within the target enzymes and to corroborate their biological profiles. A collective summary of the various parameters for library characterization is presented below:

- Molecular Weights (MWs): $254.33-463.57 \mathrm{gmol}^{-1}$
- Molecular Volumes: $174.90-319.30 \AA^{3}$
- Partition Coefficients (ClogPs): 1.18-6.32
- AChE Inhibition Range ( $\mathbf{I C}_{50}$ ): $5.50->100 \mu \mathrm{M}$
- BuChE Inhibition Range ( $\mathbf{I C}_{50}$ ): $1.70->100 \mu \mathrm{M}$

○ $\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{1-40}$ Aggregation Inhibition (AChE-induced): $0-59 \%$
$\bigcirc \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{1-40}$ Aggregation Inhibition (Self-induced): $0-48 \%$

- BACE-1 Inhibition Range ( $\mathbf{I C}_{50}$ ): $0.60->50 \mu \mathrm{M}$
- Neuroblastoma Cell Viability (at $\mathbf{4 0} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{M}$ ): 0 - 100\%

The majority of 2,4-DPR derivatives ( 94 out of 112 or $84 \%$ ) were classified as dual ChEI (Fig. 25). With respect to AChE inhibition, the derivatives were not as potent as Aricept ${ }^{\circledR}$ (donepezil; AChE IC ${ }_{50}$ of 32 nM ) but the most potent derivative (13a, $N$-(Naphthalen-1-ylmethyl)-2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine;

AChE $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $5.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) exhibited an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ close to that of Reminyl ${ }^{\circledR}$ (galantamine; AChE $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $3.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). A small fraction of 2,4-DPR derivatives ( 39 out of 112 or $35 \%$ ) exhibited good activity ranging from 1-15 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ (Fig. 26) and this highlights the challenge in designing potent AChE inhibitors with dual ChE activity. On the other hand, 60 out of 112 derivatives $(\sim 54 \%)$ exhibited good BuChEI ranging from $1-15 \mu \mathrm{M}$, despite the enzyme's larger and more open active site. Of those 60 derivatives, 13 exhibited better or equipotent activity compared to both Aricept ${ }^{\circledR}$ (donepezil; BuChE $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $3.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and Reminyl ${ }^{\circledR}$ (galantamine; AChE $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $13.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) including the most potent BuChEI, 8m (2-(4-cyclohexylpiperazin-1-yl)- N -(4-methylbenzyl)pyrimidin-4-amine; $\left.\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.70 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$.

In an effort to identify novel and multifunctional candidates for the potential treatment of AD , select derivatives were screened for their ability to target some amyloid pathways of $A D$. In regards to $A \beta_{1-40}$ peptide aggregation, 2,4-DPR derivatives exhibited a wide range of activity toward both mechanisms of aggregation (AChE-induced - from 0 up to $59 \%$ and self-induced - from 0 up to $48 \%$ ). With the first mechanism, derivatives that interacted with the PAS of AChE demonstrated good activity against peptide aggregation when compared to Aricept ${ }^{\circledR}$ (donepezil; \% inhibition at $100 \mu \mathrm{M}=17 \%$ ) and Reminyl ${ }^{\circledR}$ (galantamine; \% inhibition at $100 \mu \mathrm{M}=0 \%$ ). Top candidates included 2 f ( $N$-benzyl-2-(4-methylpiperazin1 -yl)pyrimidin-4-amine) and 10u ( $N^{2}$-(1-Benzylpiperidin-4-yl)- $N^{4}$-(3,4-dimethoxybenzyl)pyrimidine-2,4diamine) that exhibited equipotent reduction in peptide aggregation (59\%; $\sim 3.5$-fold more active compared to Aricept ${ }^{\circledR}$ and $\sim 1.4$-fold less active compared to propidium) and of the derivatives tested, 23 out of 68 ( $\sim$ $34 \%$ ) surpassed the activity of Aricept ${ }^{\circledR}$. With the second mechanism, derivatives that disrupted $\beta$-sheet formation demonstrated good activity against peptide aggregation when compared to Reminyl ${ }^{\circledR}$ (galantamine; \% inhibition at $100 \mu \mathrm{M}=48 \%$ ). Derivative 6u ( $N^{2}$-(1-benzylpiperidin-4-yl)- $N^{4}$-(4-bromobenzyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine) was the only equipotent inhibitor of self-induced $\mathrm{A} \beta_{1-40}$ aggregates compared to Reminyl ${ }^{\circledR}$ ( $\%$ inhibition at $100 \mu \mathrm{M}=48 \%$ ). To halt the generation of pro-A $\beta$ peptides and to offer a degree of a DME, select derivatives were also screened for their ability to inhibit BACE-1. Of the 38 derivatives tested, $32(\sim 84 \%)$ exhibited superior activity toward BACE-1 compared to the peptide-like inhibitor ( $N$-Benzyloxycarbonyl-Val-Leu-Leucinal; BACE-1 $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=14 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Derivatives $\mathbf{4 u}, 7 \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{9 u}, \mathbf{1 0 g}$ and $\mathbf{1 2 m}$ were the top candidate with a BACE- $1 \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $600-700 \mathrm{nM}$.

The neuroblastoma cell viability measurements in the presence and absence of test compounds was ranged from 0 to $100 \%$. The data implied a relationship between lipophilicity parameters, bioisosteres and their impact on cell viability.

The SAR data acquired for dual $\mathrm{ChE}, \mathrm{A} \beta_{1-40}$ aggregation and $\mathrm{BACE}-1$ inhibition supports the hypothesis that a $2,4-$ DPR can serve as a suitable template to develop multifunctional candidates for the potential treatment of $A D$. In this regard, a lead candidate from the chemical library generated (10u) was identified that fits the criteria of exhibiting multifunctional activity as shown below:

■ $N^{2}$-(1-benzylpiperidin-4-yl)- $N^{4}$-(3,4-dimethoxybenzyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine

## Designation: 10u

Cholinesterase Profile: $\mathrm{AChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=9.90 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{BuChE} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=11.40$
$\mu \mathrm{M}$; S.I $=0.90$

Amyloid Profile: $\beta$-secretase $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=34 \%$ inhibition at $10 \mu \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{A} \beta_{1-40^{-}}$
aggreg. $(\%)=59.30(h \mathrm{AChE}-$ induced $) ; 17.10($ self-induced $)$
SH-SY5Y Neuroblastoma Cell Viability (\% at $40 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ): 81.00


Other Aspects: $\mathrm{MW}=433.55 \mathrm{gmol}^{-1} ; \mathrm{MV}=240.10 \AA^{3} ; \mathrm{Clog} \mathrm{P}=4.26$

Future studies regarding this research program can be outlined as follows:

- Further examine lead derivatives such $\mathbf{1 0 u}$ in other pathological parameters of AD such as metal chelation, anti-oxidant properties, inflammation and ability to prevent NFT formation.
- Modifications to structure could lead to an enhanced biological profile.
- Examine lead candidates in an AD animal model to assess in vivo potential.

Overall, the outcome of the research program was successful in providing some key insights into the development of novel pyrimidine-based templates as multifunctional small molecules to potentially treat AD. Current evidence supports the need to develop a multi-pronged approach to achieve the desired DMEs and potentially halt/reverse the rapid onset of AD as compared to the traditional "one drug, one target" approach.

## CHAPTER VI

## - Experimental -

### 6.1. Chemistry

All necessary solvents and reagents were obtained from various vendors (Acros Organics ${ }^{\circledR}$, SigmaAldrich ${ }^{\circledR}$ and Alfa Aesar ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) with a minimum purity of $95 \%$ and were used without further purification. Melting points were determined on a Fisher-Johns apparatus and are uncorrected. Infrared (IR) spectra were obtained from microfilms on NaCl plates using a Perkin Elmer FT-IR spectrometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra were recorded on a Bruker ${ }^{\circledR}$ Avance 300 MHz series spectrometer using $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{MeOD}_{4}$ as the solvent). Coupling constants ( $J$ values) were recorded in hertz ( Hz ) and the following abbreviations were used for multiplicity of NMR signals: $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, $\mathrm{br}=$ broad. High-resolution electron ionization mass spectral (HREIMS) analysis was obtained using a JEOL HX110 double focusing mass spectrometer. Residue purification was accomplished using i) SGCC using Merck 230-400 mesh silica gel 60 and, if necessary ii) HPLC. Combustion analysis was carried out by Midwest Microlab, LLC (Indianapolis, IN ) and the $\% \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ of select compounds were within $\pm 0.4 \%$ of theoretical values for all elements listed indicating a purity of $>95 \%$. All test derivatives showed single spot on thin-layer chromatography (TLC) performed on Merck 60F254 silica gel plates ( 0.2 mm ) using three different solvent systems (9:1 EtOAc: MeOH; 3:1 EtOAc: hexanes and 3:1 EtOAc: DCM) and spots were visualized with UV 254 nm or stained with iodine or potassium permanganate $\left(\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}\right)$.

### 6.1.1. General Method to Prepare Intermediates 2-15

To a mixture of 2,4-dichloropyrimidine (1, Fig. 11) $(5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 33.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ and primary amines $\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}=\right.$ benzylamine, 2-, 3-, 4-chloro, 4-bromo, 4-fluoro, 4-methyl, 4-methoxy, 3,4-dimethoxy, 3,4,5-trimethoxy benzylamines, benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-methylamine, naphthalen-1ylmethylamine, diphenylmethylamine or phenylethylamine; respectively, 33.60 mmol ) in $50-60 \mathrm{~mL}$ of EtOH , kept at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ice-bath), DIPEA ( 6.08 $\mathrm{mL}, 36.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The reaction was stirred on the ice-bath for 5 minutes then refluxed at 80-85 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hrs . After cooling to r.t, $15-20 \mathrm{~mL}$ of EtOAc was added and solution was neutralized with drop-
wise addition of $\sim 6 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH}=7-7.5)$, washed with a saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and NaCl solution $(1: 3,1 \times 50$ $\mathrm{mL})$. Aqueous layer was re-washed with EtOAc ( $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The organic layer was evaporated in vacuo and the resulting residue was further purified using either one or both of the following methods: 1) Method A: SGCC using EtOAc: hexanes twice (3:1 and 1:3, respectively) or 9:1 DCM: EtOAc to afford solid products (60-65\%) or 2) Method B: Differential melting point separation - The collected organic layers are evaporated in vacuo and the oily residue is vigorously mixed with a solution of hexanes to afford a precipitate that was filtered, washed with hexanes and dried on filter paper at $75-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $\sim 2-3 \mathrm{hrs}$ to afford solid products ( $60-89 \%$ ). Some physical and spectroscopy data are provided below.
$N$-Benzyl-2-chloropyrimidin-4-amine (2): The product was obtained as a white/light yellow solid after coupling with benzylamine ( $3.67 \mathrm{~mL}, 33.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Method $\mathrm{A}-4.78 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%$; Method $\mathrm{B}-5.31 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \%$. mp: 130-132 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3434 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.28-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.53(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{ClN}_{3}$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z$ 219.6702, observed 219.0498. Anal. Calcd for: $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \bullet 0.32 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; C, 58.55 ; $\mathrm{H}, 4.43$; N , 18.63. Found: C, 58.61 ; H, 4.76; N, 18.64.

2-Chloro- $N$-(2-chlorobenzyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (3): The product was obtained as a light yellow solid after coupling with 2-chlorobenzylamine ( $4.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 33.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Method $\mathrm{B}-5.97 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \% . \mathrm{mp}: 95-97{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.30-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.16-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.26$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.80(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.51(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} 254.1153$, observed 253.0196.

2-Chloro- $N$-(3-chlorobenzyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (4): The product was obtained as a yellow solid after coupling with 3 -chlorobenzylamine $(4.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 33.60 \mathrm{mmol})$. Method $\mathrm{B}-5.98 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \% . \mathrm{mp}: 128-130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR (300 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.24-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.08-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.27(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.81(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.53(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ 254.1153, observed 253.0168.

2-Chloro- $N$-(4-chlorobenzyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (5): The product was obtained as an off-white solid after coupling with 4-chlorobenzylamine ( $4.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 33.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Method $\mathrm{B}-5.13 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \% . \mathrm{mp}: 138-140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR (300 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.30-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.21-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.20(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.54(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.52(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} 254.1153$, observed 253.0176.
$N$-(4-bromobenzyl)-2-chloropyrimidin-4-amine (6): The product was obtained as a yellow solid after coupling with 4-bromobenzylamine ( $5.39 \mathrm{~mL}, 33.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Method $\mathrm{B}-4.85 \mathrm{~g}, 66 \% . \mathrm{mp}: 115-117{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR (300 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.45-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.17-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.25(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.73(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.51$ (br s, 2H). HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{BrClN}_{3}$ 298.5663, observed 296.9669.

2-Chloro- $\boldsymbol{N}$-(4-fluorobenzyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (7): The product was obtained as an off-white solid after coupling with 4-fluorobenzylamine ( $3.86 \mathrm{~mL}, 33.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Method $\mathrm{B}-5.62 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \% . \mathrm{mp}: 150-152{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.25-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.00-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.22(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.49(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.51(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{ClFN}_{3}$ 237.6607, observed 237.0474.

2-Chloro- $\boldsymbol{N}$-(4-methylbenzyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (8): The product was obtained as a yellow solid after coupling with 4-methylbenzylamine ( $4.29 \mathrm{~mL}, 33.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Method $\mathrm{B}-6.95 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \% . \mathrm{mp}: 97-99{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.16-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.10-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.21(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.47(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{ClN}_{3}$ 233.6968, observed 233.0715 .

2-Chloro- $N$-(4-methoxybenzyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (9): The product was obtained as a yellow solid after coupling with 4-methoxybenzylamine ( $4.39 \mathrm{~mL}, 33.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Method $\mathrm{B}-7.45 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \% . \mathrm{mp}: 89-91{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR (300 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.23-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.86-6.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.23(\mathrm{~d}, J$
$=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.45(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O} 249.6962$, observed 249.0675 .

2-Chloro- $N$-(3,4-dimethoxybenzyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (10): The product was obtained as a yellow solid after coupling with 3,4-dimethoxybenzylamine ( $5.11 \mathrm{~mL}, 33.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Method $\mathrm{B}-6.95 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%$. mp: 79$81{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.79-6.84(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.45(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ 279.7222, observed 279.0778.

2-Chloro- $\boldsymbol{N}$-(3,4,5-trimethoxybenzyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (11): The product was obtained as a beige solid after coupling with 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzylamine ( $5.71 \mathrm{~mL}, 33.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Method B $-4.33 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \% \mathrm{mp}$ : $93-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.53(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.78(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.46(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ 309.7481, observed 309.0880.
$N$-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-ylmethyl)-2-chloropyrimidin-4-amine (12): The product was obtained as a light orange/brown solid after coupling with benzo $[d][1,3]$ dioxol- 5 -ylmethylamine ( $4.23 \mathrm{~mL}, 33.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Method B-7.07 g, $80 \%$. mp: $110-112{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.81$ (br s, 1H), $\delta 6.76(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.94(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.42(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ 263.6797, observed 263.0462.

2-Chloro- $N$-(naphthalen-1-ylmethyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (13): The product was obtained after coupling with naphthalen-1-ylmethylamine $(4.95 \mathrm{~mL}, 33.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ and was a light orange/brown solid (Method A $5.43 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$; Method $\mathrm{B}-6.83 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ ): mp: $158-160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3432 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(300$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.82-7.96(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.40-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H) $\delta 4.70$ (br s, 2H). HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{ClN}_{3}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 269.7289$, observed 269.0737. Anal. Calcd for: $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \bullet 0.17 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 66.79 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.48 ; \mathrm{N}, 15.58$. Found: C, 66.04; H, 4.56; $\mathrm{N}, 15.40$.
$N$-Benzhydryl-2-chloropyrimidin-4-amine (14): The product was obtained after coupling with diphenylmethylamine ( $5.81 \mathrm{~mL}, 33.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and was a light yellow solid (Method A using 9:1 DCM: EtOAc followed by Method B - $5.46 \mathrm{~g}, 55 \%$ ): mp: $133-135^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $3412 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.27-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ 5.78 (br s, 2H). HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{ClN}_{3}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 295.7662$, found 295.0871.

2-Chloro- $N$-phenethylpyrimidin-4-amine (15): The product was synthesized after coupling with 2phenylethylamine ( $4.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 33.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The residue was re-dissolved in a solvent mixture of EtOAc, DCM and MeOH in $\sim 4: 2: 1$ ratio. The resulting oily residue was further purified by SGCC (Method A) to afford a white/off-white solid ( $4.70 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ ): mp: $75-77{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3433 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.17-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.60(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.88-2.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{ClN}_{3}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 233.6968$, observed 233.0606.

### 6.1.2. General Method to Prepare Derivatives 2a-c,f-o,q-t, 3-12a,f-h,m, 13a-c,f-o, 14f-o, 15a-c,f,g,n

To a solution of $\mathbf{2 - 1 5}(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.65-0.91 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 3 mL of $n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ kept in a PV with stirring, a cyclic amine $\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}=\right.$ pyrrolidine, morpholine, thiomorpholine, methylpiperazine, methylpiperidine, isopropyl piperazine, isopropylpiperidine, propyl-, hydroxyethyl-, methoxyethyl-, cyclohexyl-, acetyl-, Bocpiperazine, 4-chloro, 4-bromo, 4-fluoro or 4-trifluoromethylbenzylpiperazine $-0.85-1.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The sealed PV was placed in an oil bath at $150-155^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for $1 \mathrm{hr} . n$-BuOH was evaporated in vacuo and the residue was re-dissolved in 3:1 EtOAc: DCM ( 15 mL ) and washed successively with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and NaCl solution (1:2, $1 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The aqueous layer was washed with EtOAc (2 x 5 mL ) and the organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ then filtered. The solution was evaporated in vacuo to afford either solid or semisolid product. Some physical and spectroscopy data are provided below.

### 6.1.2.1. Benzylamines (2a-c,f-o,q-t)

$N$-Benzyl-2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (2a): The product was obtained after coupling 2 with pyrrolidine ( $0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to afford a light brown solid $(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%) . \mathrm{mp}: 103-105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3435 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.26-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ $5.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.86(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.49-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.90-1.94(\mathrm{~m}$, 4H). HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z$ 254.3302, observed 254.1964. Anal. Calcd for: $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{C}, 66.15 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.40 ; \mathrm{N}, 20.57$. Found: C, 66.15; H, 7.40; N, 20.57.
$N$-Benzyl-2-morpholinopyrimidin-4-amine (2b): The product was obtained after 2 coupling with morpholine $(0.11 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ to afford an orange/light brown solid $(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%) . \mathrm{mp}: 93-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3434 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.29-7.31(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.91(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.70-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z$ 270.3296, observed 270.1605. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 66.64$; H , 6.71; N, 20.73. Found: C, 66.45; H, 6.72; N, 20.46.
$N$-Benzyl-2-thiomorpholinopyrimidin-4-amine (2c): The product was obtained after 2 coupling with thiomorpholine $(0.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ to afford a light brown solid $(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 77 \%) . \mathrm{mp} 85-87{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $3258(\mathrm{NH}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.24-7.33(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.03(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.03-4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.54-$ $2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z$ 286.3952, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 286.1872. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 62.91 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.33 ; \mathrm{N}, 19.56$. Found: C, $62.62 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.33 ; \mathrm{N}, 19.31$.
$N$-Benzyl-2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (2f): The product was obtained after coupling 2 with methylpiperazine $(0.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ to afford a light yellow solid $(0.22 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%) . \mathrm{mp}: 150-153{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3454 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.27-7.32$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.87(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.77(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $\delta 2.42(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 283.3714$, found 283.1804.

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \bullet 0.6$ EtOAc: C, $65.74 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.74 ; \mathrm{N}, 20.83$. Found: C, 65.64; H, 7.75; N, 20.67.
$N$-Benzyl-2-(4-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (2g): The product was obtained after coupling 2 with methylpiperidine ( $0.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ to afford a light pink solid $(0.22 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%) . \mathrm{mp}: 83-85^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{IR}$ (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3433 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.28-7.32(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.84(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.63-4.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.73-$ $2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.62-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.22-1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.10-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 0.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z$ 282.3834, found 282.2376. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4} ; \mathrm{C}, 72.31$; H , 7.85 ; N, 19.84. Found: C, 72.18; H, 7.85; N, 19.60.
$N$-Benzyl-2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (2h): The product was obtained after coupling 2 with isopropylpiperazine $(0.18 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ and was purified using a 3:1 EtOAc: hexanes SGCC to afford a white solid ( $0.14 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%$ ). mp: 103-105 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3438 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.24-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.93(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.73-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.62-2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.50-2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.03(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 311.4246, found 311.2552. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} ; \mathrm{C}, 69.42 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.09 ; \mathrm{N}, 22.49$. Found: C, $69.69 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.31 ; \mathrm{N}, 22.15$.
$N$-Benzyl-2-(4-isopropylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (2i): The product was obtained after coupling 2 with isopropylpiperidine ( $0.18 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and was purified using $3: 1$ ether: hexanes SGCC to afford a yellow solid ( $0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 55 \%$ ). mp: 58-60 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3438 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.22-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.84(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ 4.71-4.75 (m, 2H), $\delta 4.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.66-2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.65-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.38-1.48(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.06-1.21(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 0.85-0.88(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 310.4365$, found 310.3086. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{4} ; \mathrm{C}, 73.51 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.44 ; \mathrm{N}, 18.05$. Found: C, 73.51; H, 8.57; N, 17.91 .

N-Benzyl-2-(4-propylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (2j): The product was obtained after coupling 2 with $n$-propylpiperazine $.2 \mathrm{HBr}(0.35 \mathrm{~g}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ and was purified using a $3: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc}$ : hexanes SGCC to
afford a light orange solid ( $0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 55 \%$ ). mp: 93-95 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3436 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(300$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.21-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.93(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $\delta 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.71-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.39-2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.27-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.49-1.56(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 0.87-0.91(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 311.4246, observed 311.2008. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \cdot 0.6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{C}, 67.09 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.20 ; \mathrm{N}, 21.73$. Found: C, 67.11; H, 7.85; N, 21.55.

2-[4-(4-(Benzylamino)pyrimidin-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl]ethanol (2k): The product was obtained after coupling 2 with hydroxyethylpiperazine $(0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol})$. Residue was re-dissolved in $1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc}$ : DCM and was purified using a 3:1 EtOAc: hexanes SGCC to afford a brownish yellow solid ( $0.14 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%$ ). mp: 103-105 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3439 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.24-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.01(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.73-$ $3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.60-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.51-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.47-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z 313.3974$, found 313.1637. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.3 \mathrm{DCM}$; C, 60.20; H, 6.78; N, 20.65. Found: C, 60.03; H, 6.89; N, 20.10.
$N$-Benzyl-2-[4-(2-methoxyethyl)piperazin-1-yl]pyrimidin-4-amine (21): The product was obtained after coupling 2 with methoxyethylpiperazine $(0.18 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ to afford an orange semi-solid $(0.17 \mathrm{~g}$, $57 \%$ ). mp: 63-65 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3433 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.21-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.06(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ 3.74-3.77 (m, 4H), $\delta 3.48-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.54-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.45-2.48(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z$ 327.4240, found 327.2040.
$N$-Benzyl-2-(4-cyclohexylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (2m): The product was obtained after coupling 2 with cyclohexylpiperazine $(0.21 \mathrm{~g}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ and was purified using a $3: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc}$ : hexanes SGCC to afford an orange solid ( $0.21 \mathrm{~g}, 66 \%$ ). mp: $60-62{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3435 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.21-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.07(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.72-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.54-2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.86(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $\delta 1.75(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.58-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.13-1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$
351.4885, found 351.2259. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \bullet 0.5 \mathrm{DCM} ; \mathrm{C}, 65.55 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.68 ; \mathrm{N}, 17.78$. Found: C, 65.77; H, 7.70; N, 17.85.

1-[4-(4-(Benzylamino)pyrimidin-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl]ethanone (2n): The product was obtained after coupling 2 with acetylpiperazine $(0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ to afford a yellowish white solid $(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%) . \mathrm{mp}$ : $150-153{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3437 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ $7.28-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.93(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.72-3.79(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.61-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.44-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 311.3815, found 311.1746. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.4 \mathrm{EtOAc} ; \mathrm{C}, 64.46 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.04 ; \mathrm{N}, 20.21$. Found: C , 64.43; H, 7.04; N, 20.15.

Tert-butyl 4-[4-(Benzylamino)pyrimidin-2-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylate (20): The product was obtained after coupling 7 with tert-butyl piperazine-1-carboxylate ( $0.23 \mathrm{~g}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Product was purified using a 3:1 EtOAc: hexanes SGCC to afford a light yellowish solid ( $0.31 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ) mp : $115-117{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3438 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.21-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ $5.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.91(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.66-3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.37-3.40(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 369.4607$, found 369.2163. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$; C, 65.02; H, 7.37; N, 18.96. Found: C, $65.54 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.33 ; \mathrm{N}, 18.76$.
$N$-Benzyl-2-[4-(4-chlorobenzyl)piperazin-1-yl]pyrimidin-4-amine (2q): The product was obtained after coupling 2 with 4 -chlorobenzylpiperazine $(0.23 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol})$. The sample was purified using a $9: 1$ EtOAc:DCM SGCC to afford a yellowish solid ( $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 55 \%$ ). mp: $88-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3437 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (NH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.24-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.87(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.73-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.47(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.41-2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{ClN}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z ~ 393.9125$, found 393.2443. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} ; \mathrm{C}, 67.08$; H, 6.14; N, 17.78. Found: C, 67.44; H, 6.13; N, 17.68.
$N$-Benzyl-2-[4-(4-bromobenzyl)piperazin-1-yl]pyrimidin-4-amine (2r): The product was obtained after coupling 2 with 4-bromobenzylpiperazine $(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ to afford a yellowish solid ( $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%$ ). mp: 90-93 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3434 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $\delta 7.41-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.24-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.19-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.87(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $\delta 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.73-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.45(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.41-2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{BrN}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z$ 438.3635, found 438.2430. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{BrN}_{5} \cdot 0.2 \mathrm{EtOAc} ; \mathrm{C}, 60.06 ; \mathrm{H}$, 5.66; N, 15.36. Found: C, 60.07; H, 5.65; N, 15.39.
$N$-Benzyl-2-[4-(4-fluorobenzyl)piperazin-1-yl]pyrimidin-4-amine (2s): The product was obtained after coupling $\mathbf{2}$ with 4-fluorobenzylpiperazine ( $0.23 \mathrm{~g}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to afford an orange semi-solid ( $0.22 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%$ ). IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3439 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.26-7.29$ $(\mathrm{m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.96-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.17(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ 3.74-3.76(m, 4H), $\delta 3.46(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.40(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{FN}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 377.4579, found 377.1980. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{FN}_{5} \bullet 0.5 \mathrm{DCM} ; \mathrm{C}, 64.36 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.00 ; \mathrm{N}, 16.68$. Found: C, 63.95; H, 5.97; N, 16.54.
$N$-Benzyl-2-[4-(4-trifluoromethylbenzyl)piperazin-1-yl]pyrimidin-4-amine (2t): The product was obtained after coupling 2 with 4-trifluoromethylbenzylpiperazine ( $0.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to afford a yellowish orange solid ( $0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 64 \%$ ). mp: 88-90 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3437 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(300$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.55-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.44-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.24-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ $5.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.00(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.75-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.55(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ 2.43-2.46 (m, 4H). HREIMS Calcd $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 427.4654, found 427.2203. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{5}$; C, 64.62; H, 5.66; N, 16.38. Found: C, 64.39; H, 5.64; N, 16.12.

### 6.1.2.2. 2-Chlorobenzylamines (3a,f-h,m)

$N$-(2-Chlorobenzyl)-2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (3a): The product was obtained after coupling 3 with pyrrolidine ( $0.08 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford a
light brown semi-solid ( $0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.34-7.41$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.17-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.98(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ 3.50-3.53 (m, 4H), $\delta 1.91-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClN}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 288.7753, observed 288.1145.
$N$-(2-Chlorobenzyl)-2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (3f): The product was obtained after coupling 3 with methylpiperazine ( $0.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford a beige solid ( $0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \%$ ). mp: 105-107 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.33-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.17-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.98(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.59$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.74-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.39-2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{ClN}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z 317.8165$, found 317.1403.
$N$-(2-Chlorobenzyl)-2-(4-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (3g): The product was obtained after coupling 3 with methylpiperidine ( $0.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford a light orange/yellow semi-solid ( $0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.35-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.18-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.95(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.63-$ $4.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.73-2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.62-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.22-1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ 1.10-1.18 (m, 2H), $\delta 0.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{ClN}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 316.8284$, found 316.1452.
$N$-(2-Chlorobenzyl)-2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (3h): The product was obtained after coupling 3 with isopropylpiperazine $(0.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ and was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford a light brown solid ( $0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%$ ). mp: 97-99 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ $7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.32-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.16-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.97(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.74-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.66-2.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.50-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.02(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{ClN}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z 345.8697$, found 345.1729.
$N$-(2-Chlorobenzyl)-2-(4-cyclohexylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (3m): The product was obtained after coupling 3 with cyclohexylpiperazine $(0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ and was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford an orange solid ( $0.21 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ ). mp: 56-58 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.85$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.32-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.16-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.97(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $\delta 4.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.72-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.55-2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.88(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ 1.77 (br s, 2H), $\delta 1.58-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.13-1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z$ 385.9335, found 385.2027.

### 6.1.2.3. 3-Chlorobenzylamines (4a,f-h,m)

$N$-(3-Chlorobenzyl)-2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (4a): The product was obtained after coupling 4 with pyrrolidine $(0.08 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ and was purified using a $9: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc}$ : MeOH SGCC to afford a light orange/brown solid $(0.14 \mathrm{~g}, 61 \%) . \mathrm{mp}: 90-92{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.27-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.13-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.35(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.49-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.90-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClN}_{4}$ 288.7753, observed 288.1134.

N -(3-Chlorobenzyl)-2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (4f): The product was obtained after coupling 4 with methylpiperazine $(0.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ and was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford an off-white solid $(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%) . \mathrm{mp}: 98-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.26-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.16-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.94(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ $4.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.75-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.41-2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} 317.8165$, observed 317.1404.
$N$-(3-Chlorobenzyl)-2-(4-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (4g): The product was obtained after coupling 4 with methylpiperidine ( $0.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford an off-white solid $(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%) . \mathrm{mp}: 88-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.27-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.19-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.00(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.60-$ $4.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.72-2.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.58-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.53-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta$
1.05-1.17 (m, 2H), $\delta 0.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} 316.8284$, observed 316.1452 .
$N$-(3-Chlorobenzyl)-2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (4h): The product was obtained after coupling 4 with isopropylpiperazine $(0.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ and was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford a beige solid ( $0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 55 \%$ ). mp: 105-107 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.86$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.27-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.19-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $\delta 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.74-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.69-2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.50-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{ClN}_{5}$ 345.8697, observed 345.1724.

N -(3-Chlorobenzyl)-2-(4-cyclohexylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (4m): The product was obtained after coupling 4 with cyclohexylpiperazine $(0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ and was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford a whitish solid ( $0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 59 \%$ ). mp: 90-92 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.86$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.28-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.19-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $\delta 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.74-3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.57-2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.30(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.89(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ 1.78 (br s, 2H), $\delta 1.59-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.15-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} 385.9335$, observed 385.2022 .

### 6.1.2.4. 4-Chlorobenzylamines (5a,f-h,m)

$N$-(4-Chlorobenzyl)-2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (5a): The product was obtained after coupling 5 with pyrrolidine $(0.08 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ and was purified using a $9: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc}$ : MeOH SGCC to afford a light orange/brown solid ( $0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 64 \%$ ). mp: $129-131{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.24-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.12(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ 3.50-3.54 (m, 4H), $\delta 1.90-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClN}_{4}$ 288.7753, observed 288.1136.
$N$-(4-Chlorobenzyl)-2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (5f): The product was obtained after coupling 5 with methylpiperazine $(0.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ and was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc: MeOH

SGCC to afford an off-white solid (0.22 g, 88\%). mp: 135-137 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.86(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.26-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.21-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ $4.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.76-3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.43-2.46(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} 317.8165$, observed 317.1410.
$N$-(4-Chlorobenzyl)-2-(4-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (5g): The product was obtained after coupling 5 with methylpiperidine ( $0.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford a light orange solid ( $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%$ ). mp: 86-88 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.26-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.22-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.89(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ $4.60-4.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.72-2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.62-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.56-1.61(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.03-1.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 0.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} 316.8284$, observed 316.1449.
$N$-(4-Chlorobenzyl)-2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (5h): The product was obtained after coupling 5 with isopropylpiperazine $(0.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ to afford a beige solid $(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%) . \mathrm{mp}$ : $120-122{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.26-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.21-7.26(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.89(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.74-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.70-$ $2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.52-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{ClN}_{5}$ 345.8697, observed 345.1724 .
$N$-(4-Chlorobenzyl)-2-(4-cyclohexylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (5m): The product was obtained after coupling 5 with cyclohexylpiperazine $(0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ and was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford an orange/brown solid ( $0.26 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ ). mp: 55-57 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.26-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.21-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.88(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.74-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.58-2.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.29-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.79(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.61-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.20-1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} 385.9335$, observed 385.2035 .

### 6.1.2.5. 2-Bromobenzylamines (6a,f-h,m)

$N$-(4-Bromobenzyl)-2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (6a): The product was obtained after coupling 6 with pyrrolidine $(0.07 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.87 \mathrm{mmol})$ and was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford a light yellow solid ( $0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 54 \%$ ). mp: 122-124 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $\delta 7.39-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.17-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.06(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.46-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.91-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} 333.2263$, observed 332.0640 .
$N$-(4-Bromobenzyl)-2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (6f): The product was obtained after coupling 6 with methylpiperazine $(0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.87 \mathrm{mmol})$ and was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford an orange/brown solid ( $0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ ). mp: 65-67 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.85$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.40-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.15-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.05(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $\delta 4.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.90-3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.61-2.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{BrN}_{5} 362.2675$, observed 361.0903.
$N$-(4-Bromobenzyl)-2-(4-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine ( $\mathbf{6 g}$ ): The product was obtained after coupling 6 with methylpiperidine ( $0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.87 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford a light orange solid $(0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%) . \mathrm{mp}: 85-87{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.40-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.17-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.03(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ 4.60-4.64 (m, 2H), $\delta 4.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.75-2.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.63-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.57-1.61(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.07-1.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 0.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} 361.2794$, observed 360.0953.
$N$-(4-Bromobenzyl)-2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (6h): The product was obtained after coupling 6 with isopropylpiperazine $(0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.87 \mathrm{mmol})$ to afford an orangey/brown solid $(0.18 \mathrm{~g}$, $69 \%$ ). mp: $115-117{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.39-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ 7.16-7.24 (m, 2H), $\delta 5.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.60-3.66(\mathrm{~m}$,
$4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.59-2.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.30-2.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{BrN}_{5}$ 390.3207, observed 389.1213 .
$N$-(4-Bromobenzyl)-2-(4-cyclohexylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine ( $6 \mathbf{m}$ ): The product was obtained after coupling 6 with cyclohexylpiperazine $(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 0.87 \mathrm{mmol})$ and was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford an orange/brown solid ( $0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ ). mp: 51-53 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.39-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.16-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.60-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.59-2.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.30-2.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.91(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.79(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.60-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.20-1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{BrN}_{5} 430.3845$, observed 429.1529 .

### 6.1.2.6. 4-Fluorobenzylamines (7a,f-h,m)

$N$-(4-Fluorobenzyl)-2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (7a): The product was obtained after coupling 7 with pyrrolidine $(0.09 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ and was purified using a $9: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc}$ : MeOH SGCC to afford a white solid ( $0.14 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ ). mp: 120-122 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.26-$ $7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.96-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.43(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $\delta$ 3.51-3.55 (m, 4H), $\delta$ 1.91-1.95 (m, 4H). HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{FN}_{3}$ 272.3207, observed 272.1437.
$N$-(4-Fluorobenzyl)-2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (7f): The product was obtained after coupling 7 with methylpiperazine $(0.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ and was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford a light yellow solid ( $0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \%$ ). mp: 152-154 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.86(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.24-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.96-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.94(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ $4.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.85-3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.53-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{FN}_{5}$ 301.3619, observed 301.1701.
$N$-(4-Fluorobenzyl)-2-(4-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (7g): The product was obtained after coupling 7 with methylpiperidine $(0.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ and was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc: MeOH

SGCC to afford a light orange solid ( $0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 69 \%$ ). mp: $90-92{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.24-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.89-6.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.07(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ 4.55-4.61 (m, 2H), $\delta 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.90-2.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.71-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.09-1.23(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 0.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{FN}_{4} 300.3738$, observed 300.1748.
$N$-(4-Fluorobenzyl)-2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (7h): The product was obtained after coupling 7 with isopropylpiperazine $(0.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ to afford an orangey/brown solid $(0.22 \mathrm{~g}$, $85 \%$ ). mp: 85-87 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.23-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.96-$ $7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.01(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.60-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $\delta 2.89-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.65-2.69(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{FN}_{5}$ 329.4151, observed 329.2025 .

2-(4-Cyclohexylpiperazin-1-yl)-N-(4-fluorobenzyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (7m): The product was obtained after coupling 7 with cyclohexylpiperazine $(0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 1.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ and was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford an orange/brown solid $(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%) . \mathrm{mp}: 50-52{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.24-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.94-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.80-3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.62-2.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.34-2.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.02(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.92(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.60-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.14-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{FN}_{5} 369.4789$, observed 369.2341 .

### 6.1.2.7. 4-Methylbenzylamines (8a,f-h,m)

$N$-(4-Methylbenzyl)-2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (8a): The product was obtained after coupling 8 with pyrrolidine $(0.09 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ and was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford a yellow solid $(0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%) . \mathrm{mp}: 90-92{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $\delta 7.16-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.07-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.54-3.58$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.91-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} 268.3568$, observed 268.1688.
$N$-(4-Methylbenzyl)-2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (8f): The product was obtained after coupling 8 with methylpiperazine $(0.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ and was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford a yellow solid ( $0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 63 \%$ ). mp: $95-97{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.18-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.05-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.86(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.44(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.76-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.41-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{5}$ 297.3980, observed 297.1949.
$N$-(4-Methylbenzyl)-2-(4-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (8g): The product was obtained after coupling 8 with methylpiperidine ( $0.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford a light yellow solid ( $0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 49 \%$ ). mp: $96-98{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.18-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.11-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.80(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ 4.64-4.68 (m, 2H), $\delta 4.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.72-2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.62-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ 1.52-1.59 (m, 1H), $\delta 1.10-1.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 0.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ 296.4100, observed 296.1997.

2-(4-Isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)- $N$-(4-methylbenzyl)pyrimidin-4-amine ( $\mathbf{8 h}$ ): The product was obtained after coupling $\mathbf{8}$ with isopropylpiperazine ( $0.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to afford an orangey/brown semi-solid ( $0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.16-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.09-7.14$ (m, 2H), $\delta 5.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.85(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.79-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ 2.71-2.75 (m, 1H), $\delta 2.52-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{5} 325.4512$, observed 325.2271.

2-(4-Cyclohexylpiperazin-1-yl)-N-(4-methylbenzyl)-pyrimidin-4-amine (8m): The product was obtained after coupling 8 with cyclohexylpiperazine $(0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ and was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford a light orange solid $(0.21 \mathrm{~g}, 67 \%) . \mathrm{mp}: 55-57{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.16-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.10-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.84(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.74-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.57-2.61(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.25-2.31(\mathrm{~m}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.88(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.78(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.60-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.19-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{5} 365.5150$, observed 365.2570 .

### 6.1.2.8. 4-Methoxybenzylamines (9a,f-h,m)

$N$-(4-Methoxybenzyl)-2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (9a): The product was obtained after coupling 9 with pyrrolidine ( $0.08 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford a yellow solid $(0.14 \mathrm{~g}, 61 \%) . \mathrm{mp}: 96-98{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $\delta 7.22-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.81-6.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.85(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.49-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.90-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}$ 284.3562, observed 284.1646.
$N$-(4-Methoxybenzyl)-2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (9f): The product was obtained after coupling 9 with methylpiperazine $(0.11 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ and was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford a yellow solid ( $0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \%$ ). mp: $105-107{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.21-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.82-6.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.83(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.41$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.75-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.43-2.47(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O} 313.3974$, observed 313.1895.
$N$-(4-Methoxybenzyl)-2-(4-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (9g): The product was obtained after coupling 9 with methylpiperidine $(0.11 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ and was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford an off-white solid $(0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \%) . \mathrm{mp}: 84-86^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.20-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.83-6.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.78(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.64-$ $4.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.73-2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.62-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.56-$ $1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.11-1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 0.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O} 312.4094$, observed 312.1944 .

2-(4-Isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)- $N$-(4-methoxybenzyl)pyrimidin-4-amine ( 9 h ): The product was obtained after coupling 9 with isopropylpiperazine $(0.11 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ to afford a beige solid $(0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 49 \%) . \mathrm{mp}$ : $128-130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.21-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.83-6.86(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.82(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.74-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.67-$ $2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.51-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O} 341.4506$, observed 341.2221 .

2-(4-Cyclohexylpiperazin-1-yl)-N-(4-methoxybenzyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (9m): The product was obtained after coupling 9 with cyclohexylpiperazine $(0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ and was purified using a $9: 1$ EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford an orange brown solid ( $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ ). mp: 51-53 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.21-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.83-6.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ $4.81(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.71-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.58-2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.28-2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ $1.89(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.77(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.60-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.16-1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}$ 381.5144, observed 381.2533.

### 6.1.2.9. 3,4-Dimethoxybenzylamines (10a,f-h,m)

$N$-(3,4-Dimethoxybenzyl)-2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (10a): The product was obtained after coupling 10 with pyrrolidine $(0.07 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.93 \mathrm{mmol})$ and was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford a beige solid $(0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 55 \%) . \mathrm{mp}: 68-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ 6.78-6.88(m, 3H), $\delta 5.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.82(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ $3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.46-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.90-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} 314.3822$, observed 314.1736.
$N$-(3,4-Dimethoxybenzyl)-2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (10f): The product was obtained after coupling 10 with methylpiperazine ( $0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and was purified using a $9: 1$ EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford a yellow solid ( $0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%$ ). mp: $75-77{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.79-6.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.82(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=$
$6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.76-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.40-2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ 343.4234, observed 343.2011.
$N$-(3,4-Dimethoxybenzyl)-2-(4-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (10g): The product was obtained after coupling $\mathbf{1 0}$ with methylpiperidine $(0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.93 \mathrm{mmol})$ and was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford a beige semi-solid ( $0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 46 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.79-6.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.79(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.64-4.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ $4.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.72-2.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.63-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.55-$ $1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.10-1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 0.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} 342.4353$, observed 342.2043.
$N$-(3,4-Dimethoxybenzyl)-2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (10h): The product was obtained after coupling $\mathbf{1 0}$ with isopropylpiperazine ( $0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to afford a light brown semisolid (0.16 g, 60\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.79-6.89(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.65(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.83(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.76-3.80(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.64-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.51-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ 371.4766, observed 371.2318 .

2-(4-Cyclohexylpiperazin-1-yl)-N-(3,4-dimethoxybenzyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (10m): The product was obtained after coupling 10 with cyclohexylpiperazine ( $0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 0.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and was purified using a $9: 1$ EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford an orangey brown solid ( $0.21 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \%$ ). mp: 56-58 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.79-6.89(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.82(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.42$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.76-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.56-2.61(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.27-2.32$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.78(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.59-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.17-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} 411.5404$, observed 411.2635.

### 6.1.2.10. 3,4,5-Trimethoxybenzylamines (11a,f-h,m)

2-(Pyrrolidin-1-yl)-N-(3,4,5-trimethoxybenzyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (11a): The product was obtained after coupling 11 with pyrrolidine ( $0.06 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc : MeOH SGCC to afford a beige solid ( $0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 67 \%$ ). mp: $66-68{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.55(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.85(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.82(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.50-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.90-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ 344.4082, observed 344.1847 .
$N$-(3,4,5-Trimethoxybenzyl)-2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (11f): The product was obtained after coupling 11 with methylpiperazine ( $0.09 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and was purified using a $9: 1$ EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford a yellow solid ( $0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 54 \%$ ). mp: 55-57 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.54(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.84(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.76-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.40-2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ 373.4494, observed 373.2124.
$N$-(3,4,5-Trimethoxybenzyl)-2-(4-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (11g): The product was obtained after coupling 11 with methylpiperidine ( $0.09 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and was purified using a $9: 1$ EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford a beige solid ( $0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 48 \%$ ). mp: 65-67 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.54(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.78(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.65-4.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ $4.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.78-2.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.64-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.58-$ $1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.07-1.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 0.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} 372.4613$, observed 372.2162 .

2-(4-Isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)- $N$-(3,4,5-trimethoxybenzyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (11h): The product was obtained after coupling 11 with isopropylpiperazine ( $0.09 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to afford a light orange solid ( $0.14 \mathrm{~g}, 54 \%$ ). mp: $100-102{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ $5.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.83(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.76-$
$3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.64-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.53-2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} 401.5025$, observed 401.2429.

2-(4-Cyclohexylpiperazin-1-yl)- $N$-(3,4,5-trimethoxybenzyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (11m): The product was obtained after coupling 11 with cyclohexylpiperazine ( $0.14 \mathrm{~g}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford an orange brown solid ( $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ ) $\mathrm{mp}: 56-58{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.54(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.83(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.41(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.75-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.58-2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.24-2.29(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.89(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.77(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.59-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.15-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} 441.5664$, observed 441.2744 .

### 6.1.2.11. Benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-methylamines (12a,f-h,m)

$N$-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-ylmethyl)-2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (12a): The product was obtained after coupling 12 with pyrrolidine $(0.07 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.99 \mathrm{mmol})$ and was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford a beige solid $(0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 48 \%) . \mathrm{mp}: 60-62{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.76-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.82(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.47(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.49-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.91-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ 298.3397, observed 298.1436.
$N$-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-ylmethyl)-2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (12f): The product was obtained after coupling $\mathbf{1 2}$ with methylpiperazine ( $0.11 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.99 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford a light yellow solid ( $0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ ). mp: $75-77{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.80-6.89(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.92(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.80$ (br s, 1H), $\delta 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.72-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.40-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} 327.3809$, observed 327.1700.
$N$-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-ylmethyl)-2-(4-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (12g): The product was obtained after coupling $\mathbf{1 2}$ with methylpiperidine ( $0.11 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.99 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford a beige semi-solid ( $0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 46 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.72-6.80(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.91(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.78(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.63-4.67$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.73-2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.62-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.56-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.08-$ $1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 0.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} 326.3929$, observed 326.1742.
$N$-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-ylmethyl)-2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (12h): The product was obtained after coupling 12 with isopropylpiperazine ( $0.11 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.99 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to afford a beige solid ( $0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \%$ ). mp: $119-121^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.74-6.84$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.91(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.80(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.74-3.79$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.67-2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.50-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} 355.4341$, observed 355.2007.
$N$-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-ylmethyl)-2-(4-cyclohexylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (12m): The product was obtained after coupling 12 with cyclohexylpiperazine ( $0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 0.99 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc: MeOH SGCC to afford an orangey brown solid ( $0.19 \mathrm{~g}, 63 \%$ ). mp: $52-54{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.72-6.80(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.90(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.80(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.72-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.55-2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.25-2.30(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.88(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.77(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.60-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.15-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} 395.4980$, observed 395.2311 .

### 6.1.2.12. Naphthalen-1ylmethylamines (13a-c,f-o)

$N$-(Naphthalen-1-ylmethyl)-2-(pyrrolidine)pyrimidin-4-amines (13a): The product was obtained after coupling 13 with pyrrolidine $(0.08 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol})$ to afford a light brown solid $(0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%) . \mathrm{mp}$ : 105$107{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3433 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.78-$ $7.91(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.38-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.79(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$\delta 3.53-3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.91-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 304.3889, found 304.2086. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \bullet 0.2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{C}, 74.02 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.49 ; \mathrm{N}, 18.18$. Found: C, $74.09 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.68 ; \mathrm{N}, 18.19$.

2-Morpholino- $\boldsymbol{N}$-(naphthalen-1-ylmethyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (13b): The product was obtained after coupling 13 with morpholine $(0.08 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol})$ to afford a light yellow solid ( $0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%) . \mathrm{mp}$ : 170$172{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3437 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ $7.79-7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.39-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.77(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$, $\delta$ 3.69-3.77 (m, 8H). HREIMS Calcd $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 320.3883$, found 320.1825. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{C}, 71.23 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.29$; N, 17.49. Found: C, $71.28 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.37 ; \mathrm{N}, 17.08$.
$N$-(Naphthalen-1-ylmethyl)-2-thiomorpholinopyrimidin-4-amine (13c): The product was obtained after coupling 13 thiomorpholine $(0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol})$ to afford a yellowish brown solid $(0.19 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%) . \mathrm{mp}$ : $105-107{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3439 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ 7.73-7.87 (m, 3H), $\delta 7.39-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.82(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.05-4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.59-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 336.4539$, found 336.2171. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S} ; \mathrm{C}, 67.83 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.99$; N, 16.65. Found: C, 67.78; H, 5.86; N, 16.50 .

2-(4-Methylpiperazin-1-yl)- $N$-(naphthalen-1-ylmethyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (13f): The product was obtained after coupling 13 with methylpiperazine $(0.11 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol})$ to afford a yellow solid $(0.23 \mathrm{~g}$, $80 \%$ ). mp: $118-120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3436 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 8.03$ (d, $J=6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.78-7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.39-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ $4.80(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.81-3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.41-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$ $m / z 333.4301$, found 333.1961. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \bullet 0.5 \mathrm{EtOAc} ; \mathrm{C}, 70.01 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.21 ; \mathrm{N}, 18.55$. Found: C, 69.72; H, 7.25; N, 18.21.

2-(4-Methylpiperidin-1-yl)-N-(naphthalen-1-ylmethyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (13g): The product was obtained after coupling 13 with methylpiperidine ( $0.11 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The residue was purified using a 3:1 ether: hexanes column to afford an off-white/light yellow semi-solid ( $0.14 \mathrm{~g}, 55 \%$ ). IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ):
$3439 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.78-7.91(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.38-7.53$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.75(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.68-4.72(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ $2.76-2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.64-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.57-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.10-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z$ 332.4421, found 332.2246. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \bullet 0.5 \mathrm{EtOAc}$; C, 73.38; H, 7.50; N, 14.88. Found: C, 73.07; H, 7.52; N, 14.64.

2-(4-Isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)- $N$-(naphthalen-1-ylmethyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (13h): The product was obtained after coupling 13 with isopropylpiperazine ( $0.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The residue was purified using a 3:1 EtOAc: hexanes column to afford a yellow semi-solid ( $0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ ). IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3437 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (NH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.78-7.87(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.41-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ $5.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.79(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.78-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.65-2.74(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.53-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 361.4833$, found 361.2267. Anal. Calcd for: $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \bullet 0.5 \mathrm{DCM} ; \mathrm{C}, 66.90 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.99 ; \mathrm{N}, 17.34$. Found: C, $66.68 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.97 ; \mathrm{N}$, 17.26.

2-(4-Isopropylpiperidin-1-yl)- $N$-(naphthalen-1-ylmethyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (13i): The product was obtained after coupling 13 with isopropylpiperidine ( $0.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to afford a light orange semisolid ( $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ ). IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3438 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.78-7.95(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.38-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.92(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.75-4.80(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.69-2.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.66-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.41-1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.07-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 0.87-0.89(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z$ 360.4952, found 360.2317. Anal. Calcd for: $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} ; \mathrm{C}, 76.63 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.83 ; \mathrm{N}, 15.54$. Found: C, $76.34 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.80 ; \mathrm{N}, 15.47$.
$N$-(Naphthalen-1-ylmethyl)-2-(4-propylpiperazin-1-yl)-pyrimidin-4-amine (13j): The product was obtained after coupling 13 with $n$-propylpiperazine. $2 \mathrm{HBr}(0.29 \mathrm{~g}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol})$ to afford a light brown solid ( $0.19 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ ). mp: $100-102{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3435 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 7.88$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.75-7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.40-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.80(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.77-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.42-2.46(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.27-2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.46-1.52(\mathrm{~m}$,
$2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 0.87-0.96(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 361.4833$, found 361.2275 .

2-[4-(4-[(Naphthalen-1-ylmethyl)amino]pyrimidin-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl]ethanol (13k): The product was obtained after coupling 13 with hydroxyethylpiperazine ( $0.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to afford a brown solid ( 0.21 g, $78 \%$ ). mp: $53-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3436 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.77-7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.37-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.93(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.77-3.80$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.60-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.50-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 363.4561$, found 363.2045 .

2-[4-(2-Methoxyethyl)piperazin-1-yl]-N-(naphthalen-1-ylmethyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (131): The product was obtained after coupling 13 with methoxyethylpiperazine $(0.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol})$ to afford a dark brown semi-solid ( $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ ). IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3436 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.77-7.87(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.37-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.92(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.81-$ $3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.49-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.56-2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.51-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z$ 377.4827, found 377.2214.

2-(4-Cyclohexylpiperazin-1-yl)- N -(naphthalen-1-ylmethyl)pyrimidin-4-amine (13m): The product was obtained after coupling 13 with cyclohexylpiperazine $(0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol})$ to afford a yellow solid $(0.24 \mathrm{~g}$, $80 \%$ ). mp: $53-55{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3435 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.74-7.87(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.37-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.92(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.76-3.80$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.56-2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.26-2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.80-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.67-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.59-1.63$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.17-1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 401.5471$, found 401.2948.

1-[4-(4-[(Naphthalen-1-ylmethyl)amino]pyrimidin-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl]ethanone (13n): The product was obtained after coupling 13 with acetylpiperazine ( $0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to afford a light orange solid ( $0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%$ ). mp: $70-72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3437 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.77-7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.37-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.88(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.72-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.58-3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.40-3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z ~ 361.4402$, found 361.1899.

Tert-butyl 4-[4-([Naphthalen-1-ylmethyl]amino)pyrimidin-2-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylate (130): The product was obtained after coupling 13 with tert-butyl piperazine-1-carboxylate ( $0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The residue was purified using a 3:1 EtOAc: hexanes column to afford a yellow solid ( $0.21 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%$ ). mp: 70-72 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3438 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.84-$ $7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.36-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.05(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $\delta 3.71-3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.41-3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 419.5194, observed 419.2325.

### 6.1.2.13. Diphenylmethylamines (14f-o)

$N$-Benzhydryl-2-(methylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (14f): The product was obtained after coupling 14 with methylpiperazine $(0.17 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.14 \mathrm{mmol})$. The residue was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc: MeOH column to afford a yellow solid $(0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%)$. mp: $128-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $3431 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH})$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.27-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.93(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.62(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.15(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.73-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.41-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z 359.4674$, found 359.2105.
$N$-Benzhydryl-2-(methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (14g): The product was obtained after coupling 14 with methylpiperidine $(0.17 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.14 \mathrm{mmol})$. The residue was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc: MeOH column to afford a light orange/yellow semi-solid ( $0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%$ ). IR (film, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $3432 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH})$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.26-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.94(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.58(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.17(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.51-4.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.68-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.50-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.02-$ $1.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 0.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z$ 358.4793, found 358.2155.
$N$-Benzhydryl-2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (14h): The product was obtained after coupling 14 with isopropylpiperazine $(0.17 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.14 \mathrm{mmol})$. The residue was purified using a 9:1 EtOAc:

MeOH column to afford an off-white solid ( $0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ ). mp: 103-105 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $3429 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ $(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.24-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.94(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ $5.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.66-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.62-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.43-2.47$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 387.5206$, found 387.2414.
$N$-Benzhydryl-2-(4-isopropylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (14i): The product was obtained after coupling $\mathbf{1 4}$ with isopropylpiperidine ( $0.17 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The residue was purified using a 3:1 EtOAc: DCM column to afford an orange semi-solid ( $0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%$ ). IR (film, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $3427 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3} \delta 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $\delta 7.27-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.96(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.74(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ $5.60(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \delta 4.60-4.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.62-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.60-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.40-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.14-$ $1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 0.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 386.5325$, found 386.2462 .
$N$-Benzhydryl-2-(4-propylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (14j): The product was obtained after coupling $\mathbf{1 4}$ with $n$-propylpiperazine. $2 \mathrm{HBr}(0.34 \mathrm{~g}, 1.15 \mathrm{mmol})$. The residue was purified using a $9: 1$ EtOAc: MeOH column to afford an off-white semi-solid ( $0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 57 \%$ ). IR (film, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $3423 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH})$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.24-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.92(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.61(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.68-3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.39-2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.29-2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $\delta 1.50-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 0.87-0.92(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 387.5206$, found 387.2434.

2-[4-(4-[Benzhydrylamino]pyrimidin-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl]ethanol (14k): The product was obtained after coupling 14 with hydroxyethylpiperazine ( $0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to afford a light yellow solid ( 0.17 g , $65 \%$ ). mp: $155-157^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $3421 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 7.84$ (d, $J=6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.27-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.93(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.19(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.76-3.80$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.68(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.62(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.56-2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z ~ 389.4934$, found 389.2217.
$N$-Benzhydryl-2-[4-(2-methoxyethyl)piperazin-1-yl]pyrimidin-4-amine (141): The product was obtained after coupling 14 with methoxyethylpiperazine $(0.17 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ to afford a light orange/yellow semisolid ( $0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%$ ). IR (film, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $3428 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.25-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.93(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.65-$ $3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.47-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.51-2.55(\mathrm{~m} \mathrm{2H}), \delta 2.40-2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z$ 403.5200, found 403.2378. Anal. Calcd for: $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{C}, 71.44 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.24$; N, 17.36. Found: C, 71.31; H, 7.15; N, 17.14.
$N$-Benzhydryl-2-(4-cyclohexylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (14m): The product was obtained after coupling 14 with cyclohexylpiperazine $(0.19 \mathrm{~g}, 1.15 \mathrm{mmol})$. The residue was purified using a $3: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc}$ : DCM column to afford a light yellow solid ( $0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ ). mp: 53-55 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $3424 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (NH). H-NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.24-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.92(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ $5.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.14(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.64-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.49-2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.27-2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ 1.81-1.86 (m, 2H), $\delta 1.75-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \delta 1.60-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.21-1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z$ 427.5844, found 427.2733.

1-[4-(4-[Benzhydrylamino]pyrimidin-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl]ethanone (14n): The product was obtained after coupling 14 with acetylpiperazine $(0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 1.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ to afford a light yellow solid $(0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%) . \mathrm{mp}$ : 182-184 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $3429 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ $7.21-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.96(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$ HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z$ 387.4775, found 387.2059. Anal. Calcd for: $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{C}, 71.29$; H, 6.50; N, 18.07. Found: C, 71.11; H, 6.52; N, 18.02.

Tert-butyl 4-[4-(Benzhydrylamino)pyrimidin-2-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylate (14o): The product was obtained after coupling 14 with tert-butyl piperazine-1-carboxylate ( $0.21 \mathrm{~g}, 1.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The residue was purified using a $3: 1$ EtOAc: DCM column to afford an off-white solid ( $0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ ) $\mathrm{mp}: 68-70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $3442 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.22-7.32(\mathrm{~m}$,
$10 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.95(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.58-3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.31-$ $3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z$ 445.5566, found 445.2489. Anal. Calcd for: $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} ; \mathrm{C}, 70.09 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.01 ; \mathrm{N}, 15.72$. Found: C, $70.17 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.02 ; \mathrm{N}, 15.71$.

### 6.1.2.14. Phenylethylamines (15a-c,f,g,n)

$N$-Phenethyl-2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amines (15a): The product was obtained by coupling 15 with pyrrolidine $(0.09 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ to afford a light yellowish brown solid ( $0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%) . \mathrm{mp}$ : 85-87 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3436 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.18-$ $7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.56(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.55(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.50(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.86-2.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.90-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 268.3568, found 268.2125. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{C}, 69.29 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.63 ; \mathrm{N}, 20.2$. Found: C, $69.51 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.32 ; \mathrm{N}, 20.11$.

2-Morpholino- $\boldsymbol{N}$-phenethylpyrimidin-4-amine (15b): The product was obtained after coupling $\mathbf{1 5}$ with morpholine $(0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ to afford a light brown solid $(0.21 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%) . \mathrm{mp}: 93-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3433 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.18-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ $5.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.59(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.68-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.55-3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.86-2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z$ 284.3562, found 284.1725. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{C}, 67.58 ; \mathrm{H}$, 7.09; N, 19.70. Found: C, 67.71; H, 7.14; N, 19.42.
$N$-Phenethyl-2-thiomorpholinopyrimidin-4-amine (15c): The product was obtained after coupling $\mathbf{1 5}$ with thiomorpholine $(0.11 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ to afford a brown solid $(0.21 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \%) . \mathrm{mp}: 60-62{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3437 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.18-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ $5.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.58(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.98(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.54(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.86-2.90$ (m, 2H), $\delta 2.61(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 284.3562, found 284.1725. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S} ; \mathrm{C}, 63.97 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.71 ; \mathrm{N}, 18.65$. Found: C, $64.12 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.85 ; \mathrm{N}, 18.46$.

2-(4-Methylpiperazin-1-yl)-N-phenethylpyrimidin-4-amine (15f): The product was obtained after coupling 15 with methylpiperazine ( $0.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to afford a light yellow solid ( $0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 69 \%$ ). mp: $58-60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3436 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ $7.18-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.57(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.76-3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.52-3.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ 2.86-2.90 (m, 2H), ס 2.41-2.44 (m, 4H), $\delta 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 297.3980, found 297.1958. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \bullet 1.3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{C}, 68.05 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.67$; $\mathrm{N}, 23.35$. Found: C, 68.12; $\mathrm{H}, 7.82$; N, 23.36.

2-(4-Methylpiperidin-1-yl)-N-phenethylpyrimidin-4-amine (15g): The product was obtained after coupling 15 with methylpiperidine ( $0.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to afford a light brown solid ( $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 79 \%$ ). mp: $65-67^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3439 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ 7.18-7.33 (m, 5H), $\delta 5.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.64-4.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.53(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.52-3.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ 2.86-2.90 (m, 2H), $\delta 2.73-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.63-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.33-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.08-1.16(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ $0.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z 296.4100$, found 296.2380.

1-[4-(4-(Phenethylamino)pyrimidin-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl]ethanone (15n): The product was obtained after coupling 15 with acetylpiperazine ( $0.14 \mathrm{~g}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to afford a yellow solid ( $0.24 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ ). mp: $150-152$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3437 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.19-$ $7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.61(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.73-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.65(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ $3.55(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.46-3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.86-2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 325.4081$, found 325.1913. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \bullet 0.6$ EtOAc; C, 64.78; H, 7.41; N, 18.52. Found: C, 64.66; H, 7.43; N, 18.30.

### 6.1.3. General method to prepare derivatives 2-12u.

To a solution of 4-aminobenzylpiperidine and DIPEA (2.58-3.64 mmol, each) in 1 mL of $n$-BuOH kept in a PV with stirring, an intermediate 2-15 ( $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.65-0.91 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The sealed PV was placed in an oil bath at $185-195^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred overnight ( $\sim 14-16 \mathrm{hrs}$ ). Solution was neutralized with 6 M

HCl , diluted with 15 mL EtOAc and washed successively with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and NaCl solution (1:3, $1 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was washed with $\operatorname{EtOAc}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ then filtered. The solution was evaporated in vacuo and purified using 9:1 Acetone: MeOH SGCC and (if necessary) de-greased by boiling in hexanes for 5 min . then decanting the contaminated solvent and re-dried to afford either solid or semisolid orange/light brown products. Some physical and spectroscopy data are provided below.
$\boldsymbol{N}^{4}$-Benzyl- $\boldsymbol{N}^{\mathbf{2}}$-(1-benzylpiperidin-4-yl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine (2u): The product was obtained after coupling 2 with 4 -aminobenzylpiperidine ( $0.74 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) ( $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 59 \%) . \mathrm{mp}: 88-90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3438 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.21-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ $5.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.92(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.76-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.48(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ 2.76-2.80 (m, 2H), 2.09-2.16 (m, 2H), 1.95-1.99 (m, 2H), 1.42-1.55 (m, 2H). HREIMS Calcd $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{5}$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z$ 373.4940, found 373.2008. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \cdot \mathrm{DCM} ; \mathrm{C}, 62.88 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.38 ; \mathrm{N}, 15.28$. Found: C, 62.88; H, 6.38; N, 15.28.
$N^{\mathbf{2}}$-(1-Benzylpiperidin-4-yl)- $\boldsymbol{N}^{4}$-(2-chlorobenzyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine (3u): The product was obtained after coupling 3 with 4 -aminobenzylpiperidine ( $0.64 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) ( $0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 51 \%$ ). mp : 65-67 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.17-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.99(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.73(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \delta 4.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.75-3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.48(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ 2.76-2.80 (m, 2H), 2.09-2.16 (m, 2H), 1.95-1.99 (m, 2H), 1.42-1.55 (m, 2H). HREIMS Calcd $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{ClN}_{5}$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z 407.9390$, found 407.1872.
$N^{2}$-(1-Benzylpiperidin-4-yl)- $\boldsymbol{N}^{4}$-(3-chlorobenzyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine (4u): The product was obtained after coupling 4 with 4 -aminobenzylpiperidine ( $0.64 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.15 \mathrm{mmol})(0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 51 \%) . \mathrm{mp}$ : 62-63 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.17-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.00(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.81(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.73-3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ 2.77-2.81 (m, 2H), $\delta 2.09-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.97-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.44-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{ClN}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z 407.9390$, found 407.1877 .
$\boldsymbol{N}^{2}$-(1-Benzylpiperidin-4-yl)- $\boldsymbol{N}^{4}$-(4-chlorobenzyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine (5u): The product was obtained after coupling 5 with 4 -aminobenzylpiperidine ( $0.64 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.15 \mathrm{mmol})(0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 54 \%) . \mathrm{mp}$ : 64-66 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.25-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.16-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ $5.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.00(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.87(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.73-3.79(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.50(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.77-2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.09-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.97-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.44-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{ClN}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z 407.9390$, found 407.1879.
$\boldsymbol{N}^{2}$-(1-Benzylpiperidin-4-yl)- $\boldsymbol{N}^{4}$-(4-bromobenzyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine (6u): The product was obtained after coupling 6 with 4 -aminobenzylpiperidine ( $0.54 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) ( $0.14 \mathrm{~g}, 48 \%$ ). mp : 59-61 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.55-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.21-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ 7.14-7.17 (m, 2H), $\delta 5.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.99(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.74-3.80(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.50(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.77-2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.09-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.97-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.44-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{BrN}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z 452.3900$, found 451.1361 .
$\boldsymbol{N}^{\mathbf{2}}$-(1-Benzylpiperidin-4-yl)- $\boldsymbol{N}^{4}$-(4-fluorobenzyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine (7u): The product was obtained after coupling 7 with 4 -aminobenzylpiperidine ( $0.68 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.37 \mathrm{mmol})(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \%) . \mathrm{mp}: 61-63{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.28-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.21-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.96-7.02$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $\delta 3.75-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.77-2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.09-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.97-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.44-$ 1.54 (m, 2H). HREIMS Calcd $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{FN}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 391.4844$, found 391.2165.
$N^{2}$-(1-Benzylpiperidin-4-yl)- $\boldsymbol{N}^{4}$-(4-methylbenzyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine (8u): The product was obtained after coupling 8 with 4 -aminobenzylpiperidine ( $0.69 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) ( $0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%$ ). mp: 60-62 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.25-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.19-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ 7.10-7.15 (m, 2H), $\delta 5.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.88(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.76-3.82(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.77-2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.09-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.97-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.44-$ $1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 387.5206$, observed 387.2415 .
$N^{2}$-(1-Benzylpiperidin-4-yl)- $N^{4}$-(4-methoxybenzyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine (9u): The product was obtained after coupling 9 with 4 -aminobenzylpiperidine ( $0.65 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) ( $0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \%$ ). mp: 55-57 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.21-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.83-6.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ $5.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.81(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.77(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.77-2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.10-2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.96-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.66-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ 1.42-1.52 (m, 2H). HRMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O} 403.5200$, observed 403.2361.
$N^{2}$-(1-Benzylpiperidin-4-yl)- $N^{4}$-(3,4-dimethoxybenzyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine (10u): The product was obtained after coupling 10 with 4 -aminobenzylpiperidine ( $0.58 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) ( $0.14 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \%$ ). mp: $52-54$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.27-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.79-6.86(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ $5.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.83(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.76(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta \delta 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ $3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.77-2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.11-2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.97-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.55-1.62(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.44-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} 433.5459$, observed 433.2472.
$N^{2}$-(1-Benzylpiperidin-4-yl)- $N^{4}$-(3,4,5-trimethoxybenzyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine (11u): The product was obtained after coupling 11 with 4 -aminobenzylpiperidine ( $0.52 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) ( $0.14 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \%$ ). mp: 63$65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.29-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.51(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.67$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.84(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.77(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.82(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.78-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.11-2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.97-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.55-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta$ 1.45-1.52 (m, 2H). HRMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} 463.5719$, observed 463.2578 .
$N^{4}$-(Benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-ylmethyl)- $N^{2}$-(1-benzylpiperidin-4-yl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine (12u): The product was obtained after coupling $\mathbf{1 2}$ with 4 -aminobenzylpiperidine ( $0.61 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.03 \mathrm{mmol})(0.14 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \%)$. mp: 56-58 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.22-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.70-6.77$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.90(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.01(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.35(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.47(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.76-2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.09-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.95-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.74-1.79$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 1.44-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} 417.5035$, observed 417.2175.

### 6.1.4. General method to prepare derivative 2d

## 4-(4-(benzylamino)pyrimidin-2-yl)thiomorpholine-1-oxide

To a mixture of $N$-benzyl-2-thiomorpholinopyrimidin-4-amine (2c) $(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 3 mL of 1,4-dioxane, kept at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ice-bath), mCPBA ( $0.19 \mathrm{~g}, 1.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1 mL 1,4-dioxane was added dropwise. The reaction is allowed to stir on the ice-bath for 5 minutes and then was kept at r.t for 3 hrs. DCM was added to the mixture to aid in the 1,4-dioxane in vacuo evaporation. The residue was redissolved in a $3: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc}$ and DCM and successfully washed with a concentrated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and NaCl solution (1:2, $1 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. Aqueous layer was washed with EtOAc $(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The organic layer was evaporated in vacuo and the resulting solid residue was further purified using $3: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc}$ : hexanes SGCC to afford a light yellow solid ( $0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ ). mp: $78-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3439 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 7.87$ (d, $J$ $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.24-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.99(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $\delta 4.37(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.32(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-4.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{OS}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z$ 302.3946, found 302.1259. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{OS} \cdot 0.3 \mathrm{DCM}$; C, 56.05; H , 5.72; N, 17.09. Found: C, 56.38; H, 5.74; N, 17.22.

### 6.1.5. General method to prepare derivative 2 e

## 4-(4-(benzylamino)pyrimidin-2-yl)thiomorpholine 1,1-dioxide

To a mixture of $N$-benzyl-2-thiomorpholinopyrimidin-4-amine (7c) $(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 5 mL of MeOH , kept at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ice-bath), potassium peroxymonosulphate $(0.23 \mathrm{~g}, 1.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 0.5 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added dropwise. The reaction was allowed to stir on the ice-bath for 5 minutes, then heated at $70-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hr and finally moved to $\mathrm{r} . \mathrm{t}$ for 4 hrs . MeOH was evaporated in vacuo and the residue was re-dissolved in 3:1 EtOAc: DCM and successfully washed with a concentrated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and NaCl solution (1:2, $1 \times 15$ $\mathrm{mL})$. Aqueous layer was washed with $\operatorname{EtOAc}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The organic layer was evaporated in vacuo and the resulting solid residue was further purified using 3:1 EtOAc: hexanes SGCC to afford a light orange solid ( $0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ ). mp : 65$67{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3464 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.25-$
$7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.14(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.21-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $\delta$ 2.88-2.92 (m, 4H). HREIMS Calcd $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z$ 318.3946, found 318.1310. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S} \bullet 0.2 \mathrm{DCM} ; \mathrm{C}, 51.95 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.28 ; \mathrm{N}, 15.94$. Found: C, 52.04; H, 5.34; N, 15.71 .

### 6.1.6. General method to prepare derivatives $2 \mathrm{p}, 13 \mathrm{p}$ and 14 p

To a mixture of $\mathbf{2 0}, \mathbf{1 3 0}$ or $\mathbf{1 4 0}(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.45-0.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 4 mL of DCM , kept at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ice-bath), TFA ( $4 \mathrm{~mL}, 53.83 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise. The reaction was allowed to stir on the ice-bath for 5 minutes and then was kept at r.t for 2 hrs . DCM and TFA were evaporated in vacuo with the aid of toluene and the residue was re-dissolved in 3:1 EtOAc: DCM and successfully washed with a concentrated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and NaCl solution (1:1, $1 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Aqueous layer was washed with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The organic layer was evaporated in vacuo to afford a solid or semi-solid product. Some physical and spectroscopy data are provided below.
$N$-benzyl-2-(piperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (2p): The product was a light yellow solid ( $0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ ). $\mathrm{mp}: 70-72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3438 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $\delta 7.24-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.91(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.73-3.76$ (m, 4H), 3.09 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.88-2.91(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 269.3449$, found 269.1953.
$N$-(naphthalen-1-ylmethyl)-2-(piperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (13p): The product was a yellow semisolid ( $0.06 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ ). IR (film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $3438 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.84-7.87(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.36-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 4.90$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.95-4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.07-3.13(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 319.4035 , found 319.1785 .
$N$-Benzhydryl-2-(piperazin-1-yl)pyrimidin-4-amine (14p): The product was an orange/yellow semi-solid $(0.07 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%)$. IR (film, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 3426 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $\delta 7.25-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.80(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 5.41(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 3.94-3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.98-$
$3.02(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. HREIMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 345.4408$, found 345.1954.

### 6.2. Biochemistry

### 6.2.1. Cholinesterase Assay

The assay utilizes $h \mathrm{AChE}$ (product number C3389; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and equine serum BuChE (product number C1057; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) along with tacrine. HCl (item number 70240; Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI), bis(7)-tacrine (item number 10005836; Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI), donepezil. $\mathrm{HCl} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (product number D6821; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and galanthamine. HBr (product number G1660; Sigma, St. Louis, MO) as reference agents. Derivative stock solutions were dissolved in a minimum volume of DMSO (1\%) and diluted using a 50 mM Tris- HCl , $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.02 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ buffer solution. In a standard 96-well plate, $160 \mu \mathrm{~L} 5,5$ ' -dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic acid) ( 1.5 mM DTNB prepared in buffer), $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $h \mathrm{AChE}(0.22 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{mL}$ prepared in 50 mM Tris $-\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 8.0,0.1 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ bovine serum albumin, BSA ) or $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{BuChE}(0.06 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{mL}$ prepared in 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 8.0,0.1 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ BSA) were incubated with the various concentrations of test compounds $(0.001-100 \mu \mathrm{M}, 10 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ at r.t for 5 min followed by the addition of $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the respective substrates ( 15 mM AThC or BuThC). The absorbance was measured at different time intervals ( $0-3 \mathrm{~min}$ ) at a wavelength of 405 nm using a BioTek ELx800 microplate reader and percent inhibition was calculated by the comparison of compound treated to various control incubations that included $1 \%$ DMSO. The concentration of the test compound causing $50 \%$ inhibition $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}, \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ was calculated from the concentration-inhibition response curve on logarithmic scale (duplicate to quadruplicate determinations).

### 6.2.2. A $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1-40}$ Aggregation Assay

The $h \mathrm{AChE}$-induced aggregation assay from Dr. Yang's group was previously reported in Ref. 121. The HFIP salt of $A \beta_{1-40}$ was purchased from Anaspec, Inc. (Cat. 64128-1), human recombinant AChE lyophilized powder and ThT were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Cat. C1682 and T3516; respectively) and the $h$ AChE-induced assay was run using propidium iodide (Cat. P4170; Sigma Aldrich) as a control. $\mathrm{A} \beta_{1-40}$ was dissolved in DMSO ( $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mg}$ ) and sonicated for 30 min to obtain a $232 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution. $h \mathrm{AChE}$
was dissolved in 215 mM sodium phosphate buffer ( pH 8.0 ) to obtain a $4.69 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution. For the $h \mathrm{AChE}-$ induced assay, $4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{A} \beta_{1-40}$ were incubated with $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $h \mathrm{AChE}$ to give a final concentration of 23.2 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ of $\mathrm{A} \beta_{1-40}$ and $2.35 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of $h \mathrm{AChE}$ (10:1 ratio). For co-incubation experiments, $16 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of test samples in 215 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 8.0 solution ( $6 \% \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) (final concentration $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) were used. For the self-induced assay, $4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{A} \beta_{1-40}$ was incubated with $16 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of test samples in 215 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 8.0 solution ( $6 \%$ DMSO) (final concentration $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). The black Costar ${ }^{\circledR}$, clear bottom 96-well plates were incubated at room temperature for 24 hrs followed by the addition of $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $15 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of thioflavin T in 50 mM glycine- NaOH buffer ( pH 8.5 ). The plate was gently rocked for 2 min . and the fluorescence was monitored at 446 nm (excitation) and 490 nm (emission) using a Molecular Devices SpectraMax spectrofluorometer. The fluorescence intensities in the presence and absence of inhibitors before and after the incubation period were compared and the percentage of inhibition was calculated with equation: $100 \%$ control value (i.e. no inhibitor) $-\left[\left(\mathrm{IF}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{IF}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)\right]$ where $\mathrm{IF}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{IF}_{\mathrm{o}}$ are the fluorescence intensities in the presence of ThT and absence of ThT before 24 hrs incubation, respectively.

### 6.2.3. $\beta$-secretase Assay

The instructions outlined in the PanVera ${ }^{\circledR}$ BACE-1 screening kit (part \# P2985; Madison, WI) were followed as directed with no deviations. Test samples were screened at various concentrations $(0.1,1,10$, 50 and $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) to obtain an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value along with donepezil (product number D6821; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and a peptidic derivative (product number 565749; EMD-Merck) as reference agents. The results were an average of duplicate readings $(n=2)$.

### 6.2.4. MTT Assay

The cell viability assay from Dr. Yang's group (UCSD) was previously reported in Ref. 121 and the proceeding method was carried out Dr. Beazely's group (UW). The SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells were plated at density of $4 \times 10^{5}$ per mL in 96-well plates with complete growth media consisting of DMEM and Ham's F12 in a $1: 1$ ratio, supplemented with 2.5 mM glutamate and $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $5 \%$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. The cells were incubated overnight and treated with the test samples at a $40 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration, for 24 hr at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(n=4)$. The MTT in an amount equal to $10 \%$ of the culture medium volume was added to each
well and the cells were cultured for additional 3 hr at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. After incubation, the resulting formazan crystals were solubilized with MTT reagent solution ( $10 \%$ Triton X-100 and 0.1 N HCl in anhydrous isopropanol) in each well and the absorbance was recorded at 570 nm . All results were expressed as a percent reduction of MTT relative to untreated controls.

### 6.3. Computational Chemistry

Docking experiments were performed using Discovery Studio Client v2.5.0.9164 (2005-09), Accelrys Software Inc. The X-ray crystal structure coordinates for $h \mathrm{AChE}, h \mathrm{BuChE}$ and $h \mathrm{BACE}-1$ were obtained from the RCSB Protein Data Bank (PDB: 1B41, 1P0I, 1FKN) and hydrogens were added. The ligand molecules were constructed using the Build Fragment tool and energy minimized for 1000 iterations reaching a convergence of $0.01 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} \AA$. Docking experiments were carried out using the Libdock command in the receptor-ligand interactions protocol of Discovery Studio and the energy-minimized ligands after defining a $10-12 \AA$ sphere radius subset within the enzyme. The Chemistry at HARvard Macromolecular Mechanics (CHARMM) force field, was employed for all docking purposes. The ligandenzyme assembly was then subjected to a molecular dynamics (MD) simulation using Simulation protocol at a constant temperature of 300 K with a 100 step equilibration for over 1000 iterations and a time step of 1 fs using a distance dependent dielectric constant $4 r$. The optimal binding orientation of the ligand-enzyme assembly obtained after docking was further minimized for 1000 iterations using the conjugate gradient method until a convergence of $0.001 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} \AA$ was reached after which $E_{\text {intermolecular }}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ of the ligand-enzyme assembly was evaluated and the distances measured.
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